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The Mantle Odes: Arabic Praise Poems to the Prophet Muhammad offers original translations and contextualized interpretations of the three most renowned praise poems to the Prophet (madāʾīh nabawiyyah) in the Arab-Islamic tradition. The three odes span the arc of Islamic history: the first dates from the lifetime of the Prophet (7th c. CE); the second from the medieval Mamlūk period (late 13th c.); and the third from the Modern colonial period (1910). It is the intention of this study to bring these Arab-Islamic devotional masterpieces into the purview of contemporary literary interpretation in a way that makes them culturally relevant and poetically effective for the modern reader, whether Muslim or non-Muslim.

Chapter 1: Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr and the Mantle of the Prophet. The first poem is the conversion ode of the celebrated pre-Islamic poet, Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr. The poet, who faced a death sentence for his failure to convert to Islam, in the end came to the Prophet in submission and presented the ode of praise (qaṣīdat al-madh) that opens “Suʿād Has Departed” (Bānat Suʿād). As a sign of his protection and acceptance of Kaʿb’s submission, the Prophet bestowed his mantle on the poet, and the poem became known as “The Mantle Ode” (Qaṣīdat al-Burdah). Suʿād Has Departed is a striking example of the panegyric ode in the pre-Islamic tradition and demonstrates the power and plasticity of that form to become the dominant genre of the courtly and religious poetry of the Islamic tradition.

Chapter 1 opens with an introductory section that presents in a succinct manner the form and function of the pre-Islamic ode of praise to Arab kings and tribal chieftains. In light of theories of rite of passage
and gift exchange, it presents the Arabic praise ode as part of a multifaceted exchange ritual, whereby a bond of mutual obligation and allegiance is formed between the poet and patron. Above all, it demonstrates that the three-part praise ode incorporates a supplicatory ritual that forms the basis for the poem’s performative functions. Three renowned examples of the pre-Islamic ode, by ‘Alqamah ibn ‘Abadah, al-Nāighbah al-Dhubyānī, and Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmá, along with the prose anecdotes that contextualize them in the Arabic literary tradition, thus set the stage for the examination of the dramatic conversion narrative and celebrated ode of Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr.

The interpretation of Ka‘b’s Su‘ād Has Departed demonstrates that Ka‘b has captured in poetic form the life-and-death drama that is so evident in the prose narratives about his risking his life to submit to the Prophet and convert to Islam. Through viewing the poem as a ritual of submission and supplication—what I term the Supplicatory Ode—this study reveals as well how and why Ka‘b’s Su‘ād Has Departed has provided a spiritual model for Muslims seeking redemption throughout the ages. Chapter 1 closes with a brief look at another poem to the Prophet, the elegy of Ḥassān ibn Thābit, to demonstrate how the supplication ritual and the exchange of poem for prize in this world (i.e., the exchange of Ka‘b’s poem for the Prophet’s mantle) can be translated, after the death of the Prophet, to the next world.

**Chapter 2: Al-Būṣirī and the Dream of the Mantle.** The second poem to receive the sobriquet of Mantle Ode is the most famous devotional poem in the Islamic world, the Mantle Ode (Qaṣīdat al-Burdah) of the 7th/13th century poet of Mamlūk Egypt, al-Būṣirī. The legend goes that the poet, afflicted with incurable hemiplegia, composed, out of hope and despair, a praise poem to the Prophet. That night he saw the Prophet in a dream and recited his poem to him. The Prophet, delighted with the poem, bestowed his mantle on the poet. Al-Būṣirī awoke the next day, completely cured. His Mantle Ode has, ever since, been credited in the Islamic world with curative, talismanic, and spiritual powers. The renowned 9th/14th century historian and sociologist of the Maghrib, Ibn Khaldūn, considered a copy of al-Būṣirī’s Burdah a fit gift to present to the Mongol conqueror, Timūrlank.¹ With the nineteenth-century Ottoman restoration project, verses of the Burdah, along with those of the Qurʾān, adorned the domes of the Mosque of the Prophet in Medina.²
Al-Būṣīrī’s Mantle Ode generated a massive production of manuscripts, commentaries, expansions, imitations, and translations, and continues to be widely performed and printed throughout the Islamic world.

Chapter 2 begins with a brief overview of the developments in genre and style that took place in the centuries of Classical Arab-Islamic poetry that intervened between Ka‘b’s poem and al-Būṣīrī’s distinctly Post-Classical poem. After offering an interpretation of the narratives of the poet’s miraculous cure and the talismanic powers of the verses of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, it offers a structural interpretation on which to base the reading of the poem. It argues that the overarching structure of the poem is that of the Supplicatory Pattern examined in chapter 1 and, further, that the ritual of supplication in medieval praise poems to the Prophet invariably involves presenting the poem of praise in exchange for the Prophet’s intercession on Judgment Day. It then argues that the extended poetic passages concerning themes and events from the life of the Prophet have been appended to the praise section of the poem and serve to promote an ideology of what I term “Islamic Manifest Destiny”—an argument for worldly dominion that serves as an earthly counterpart or complement to the otherworldly concern with Judgment Day. The poem as a whole and these passages about the Prophet in particular provide a window onto traditional Islamic beliefs and practices that continue until our own day.

Chapter 3: Ahmad Shawqi and the Reweaving of the Mantle. The third poem is The Way of the Mantle (Nahj al-Burdaḥ) by the preeminent Egyptian Neo-Classical poet, Ahmad Shawqi (d. 1932). It is, as its title suggests, a praise poem to the Prophet composed as a formal imitation of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah. Written in 1910, it ostensibly celebrates the Hajj pilgrimage of the poet’s patron, the khedive of Egypt, ʿAbbās Ḥilmi II. The poem continues to be widely appreciated, both in written form and in the tremendously popular musical rendition by the Egyptian singer, Umm Kulthūm (d. 1975).

Chapter 3 opens with a brief survey of the political and cultural context of early twentieth-century Egypt. Egypt finds itself politically trapped between the moribund Ottoman Empire and the British Occupation. In response to its double exposure to the West—both its liberal humanism and its brutal imperialism—the Arab world produced the literary and cultural renascence termed the Nahḍah (Arab Awakening) or Iḥyā’ (Revival). This background material paves the way for the in-
terpretation of The Way of the Mantle as Shawqi’s literary formulation of an anticolonialist stance, what I have termed his Iḥyāʾ (Revival) Project. Through a close reading of the poem in light of the commentary by Shaykh Salim al-Bishri, this section demonstrates how Shawqi has “rewoven” al-Būṣīrī’s Mantle into a forceful and eloquent plea for the restoration of the Islamic Ummah based on “humanistic” concepts which he locates in the Classical Arab-Islamic past.

Until now, scholarship on praise poems to the Prophet has, for the most part, been limited to descriptive accounts of their historical circumstances and thematic contents, without any recognition of their ritual structure and its relation to their poetic (and spiritual) efficacy. By interpreting these poetic works in light of the structural elements of the supplicatory ode, by highlighting the performative function of the odes as speech acts with transformative power, and by viewing them as part of the ritual exchange of poem for prize, The Mantle Odes demonstrates why these praise poems to the Prophet have continued through the centuries to inform the poetic and religious life of the Arab and Islamic world.
Acknowledgments

This book represents work I have done over the past eight years, during which I have benefited from the kindness and expertise of many friends and colleagues. I would like to express my thanks in particular to my colleagues Professors Ḥasan al-Bannāʿ ʿIzz al-Dīn, Muḥṣin Jāsim al-Mūsawi, the late ʿIzz al-Dīn Ismāʿīl, Maḥmūd ʿAlī Makkī, Sulaymān al-ʿAṭṭār, Michael Sells, and Consuelo López-Morillas. For emergency aid with references and texts as I was finalizing the manuscript in Cairo, I am grateful to my colleague, Professor Kevin Martin, and to Indiana University graduate students Ahmad al-Mallah and Hassan Lachheb. For the typesetting of the Appendix of Arabic Texts, I thank Mike Kelsey of Inari Information Services, and for proofreading it, Indiana University graduate student Bilal Maanaki. I am likewise grateful to my copy-editor, Candace McNulty, for her diligence and expertise with a technically demanding manuscript. For her encouragement, advice, and support of this project at various stages, I thank Janet Rabinowitch, the director of Indiana University Press. A special category of thanks is reserved for my closest friend and colleague, my husband, Professor Jaroslav Stetkevych. All the shortcomings of this work are my own.

I owe a debt of gratitude as well to the institutions whose financial and material support were essential to the completion of this book. I would like to thank the National Endowment for the Humanities and the American Research Center in Egypt for a fellowship in Egypt, January–June 2001, that allowed me to undertake the initial research for this project; the Dār al-Kutub al-Miṣriyyah for providing access to mi-
crofilms and manuscripts; the Staatsbibliothek of Berlin for providing microfilms of manuscripts in its collections; the Herman B Wells Library at Indiana University for its extraordinary service in making available research materials of all kinds; and the College Arts and Humanities Institute of Indiana University for granting me a course-release research fellowship for fall semester 2005.

Finally, my thanks are due to the Library of Alexandria, Egypt, and especially its manuscript collection director, Professor Youssef Zeidan, for granting permission and providing the photograph of the Takhmîs Qaṣīdat al-Burdah manuscript page that graces the cover of my book, and I would like to express my appreciation to Koninklijke Brill N.V. for permission to republish: “From Text to Talisman: Al-Būṣīrī’s Qaṣīdat al-Burdah (Mantle Ode) and the Poetics of Supplication,” Journal of Arabic Literature 37 no. 2 (2006), 145–89; and “From Sīrah to Qaṣīdah: Poetics and Polemics in al-Būṣīrī’s Qaṣīdat al-Burdah (Mantle Ode), Journal of Arabic Literature 38 no. 1 (2007), 1–52. These appear in revised form in chapter 2 of this book.
All the translations from Arabic and other languages in this study are my own, except where otherwise noted. Particularly in the case of the poetry texts, I have tried to honor the original while at the same time taking the liberties necessary to produce a readable and, I hope, engaging English rendition. With a view to a smooth English reading of both poetry and prose translations, I have not used square brackets [ ] for minor interpolations that are simply a matter of clarification or style, but rather only in cases where the interpolation is open to doubt, such as the identification of the antecedent of a pronoun. In addition, as the full repetition of the honorific of the Prophet Muḥammad, ṣallā Allāhu ʿalayhi wa-sallama (“God bless him and give him peace”) proves cumbersome to the English reader, I have used the standard English abbreviation “pbuh” (peace and blessings upon him) in all translations, whether the original has the Arabic siglum or the full phrase. All translation is a matter of interpretation, and interpretations, especially of poetry, are often quite an individual matter. For the Arabic reader, bracketed numbers at the right-hand margin following each poetry translation serve as the key to the Appendix of Arabic Texts. Specialists will want to refer as well to the Arabic source materials for textual variants and to the commentaries for varying interpretations.

In the transliteration of Arabic, I have followed the Library of Congress system, with the following modifications: ίyy for iy; ąy for ai; ưww for ūw; and aw for au. For the transliteration of extended phrases, sen-
tences, and verses, I have added end-vowels and initial hamzat al-qat\(^{c}\) and have included all letters as they appear in written form, not as they are elided or assimilated in pronunciation.
ABBREVIATIONS

(Consult the bibliography for complete references)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>al-Buṣīrī’s Qaṣīdat al-Burdaḥ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI2</td>
<td>Encyclopaedia of Islam, New Edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI2online</td>
<td>Encyclopaedia of Islam, Second Edition, Brill Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL</td>
<td>Carl Brockelmann, Geschichte der Arabischen Litteratur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>Edward William Lane, Arabic-English Lexicon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisān</td>
<td>Muḥammad ibn Mukarram ibn Manṣūr, Lisān al-ʿArab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>Aḥmad Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdaḥ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pbuh</td>
<td>peace and blessings upon him = ṣallā Allāhu ʿalayhi wa-sallama (lit. “God bless him and give him peace,” the honorific phrase traditionally used after the name of the Prophet Muḥammad)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QK</td>
<td>al-Qurʾān al-Karīm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN</td>
<td>Wādāḥ al-Nahj, Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī’s commentary on Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdaḥ</td>
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THE MANTLE ODES
ONE

Kaʻb ibn Zuhayr and the Mantle of the Prophet

Introduction

The Pre-Islamic Prototype

The first poem to bear the sobriquet of Mantle Ode (Qaṣīdat al-Burdah) is Kaʻb ibn Zuhayr’s Su‘ād Has Departed (Bānat Su‘ād) that, tradition tells us, the pagan poet presented to the Prophet Muḥammad on the occasion of his conversion to Islam (see below). In many respects, then, Kaʻb’s poem marks the transition from the pre-Islamic poetic tradition, the earliest extant examples of which are dated to around 500 ce, to the Islamic, which begins 622 ce = 1 ah of the Islamic calendar, i.e., the year of the Hijrah or Migration of the Prophet Muḥammad from Mecca to Medina. Kaʻb was the scion of an illustrious poetic family of the Jāhiliyyah (“the Age of Ignorance,” as the Islamic tradition terms its pagan pre-Islamic past), whose most notable member was his father, Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmá, the famed panegyrist and moralist of pre-Islamic Arabia. We therefore know from the start that Kaʻb’s ode to the Prophet is based on the rich tradition of pre-Islamic poetry, especially of the panegyric genre, the qaṣīdat al-madhī. This genre served as a vehicle for the praise of the kings and tribal lords of the pre-Islamic warrior aristocracy and, in Islamic times, was to become the preeminent form of courtly ode that dominated the Arab-Islamic poetic tradition until the early twentieth century.¹

The pre-Islamic odes, especially the celebrated masterpieces among them, were orally composed and preserved, until the collecting and edit-
ing process (tadwîn) undertaken by Muslim scholars in the 2nd–3rd AH/8th–9th CE centuries. We must keep in mind, therefore, that the entire pre-Islamic literary corpus, including the poetic texts, the prose narratives and anecdotes that accompany them, and the extensive biographical and genealogical information, is the product of Arab-Islamic culture that over a period of centuries transmitted, selected, edited, and shaped and re-shaped—in the form of poetic diwâns, anthologies, commentaries, literary compendia, etc.—the originally oral tribal materials to its own ends. It is therefore impossible when dealing with pre- and early Islamic materials to speak of the historical and textual accuracy of individual poems (shi‘r, pl. ash‘âr) or prose anecdotes (khabar, pl. akh-bâr). Instead, our approach in this study will be to accept the pre- and early Islamic poems and anecdotes that have been preserved in authoritative works of the classical Arabic literary canon as authentic Arab-Islamic texts. The choice of a particular recension of a poetic text or version of an anecdote over another does not, in this case, constitute a claim to its greater authenticity or historicity.2

When read in the context of the prose anecdotes that accompany the poems in the classical Arabic literary compendia and, further, in light of recent work on the ritual and performative aspects of poetry, it is evident that within pre-Islamic tribal society these poems performed multifaceted ritual, moral, political, and economic functions. At the same time, the pre-Islamic poetic tradition encapsulates and preserves for us the essential features of the autochthonous Arab Semitic culture, grounded in the civilizational bedrock of the Ancient Near East and subject to Christian, Judaic, Persian, and Byzantine influences, upon which the religion and civilization of Islam were founded. It is therefore necessary, as a prelude to the study of Ka‘b’s Su‘âd Has Departed, to understand both the literary form of the pre-Islamic panegyric ode and the range of its sociomorphic functions. In doing so we will focus on how the pre-Islamic ode serves as a prototype for Ka‘b’s Su‘âd Has Departed in particular and for the Islamic tradition of court poetry in general, and further, on the way in which Islamic culture appropriated its pagan tradition by interpreting it as proto-Islamic. To begin, we will briefly examine three renowned pre-Islamic odes that will serve as paradigms for our reading of Ka‘b’s ode of conversion.
1. ‘ALQAMAH’S A HEART TURBULENT WITH PASSION:  
THE POEM AS RANSOM PAYMENT

‘Alqamah’s ode comes down to us mainly through Al-Mufaddaliyyāt, the authoritative anthology compiled by the Kūfan philologist, al-Mufaḍḍal al-Ḍabbī (d. 164/780–81), at the bidding, it is said, of the ‘Abbāsid Caliph al-Manṣūr for the education of his son, the future Caliph al-Mahdī. The anecdotes that accompany the poem in the commentary of Abū Muḥammad al-Qāsim al-Anbārī (d. 304/916 or 305/917) provide insight into the function of the poem, which, we will argue, is essentially that of a ransom payment, that is, a ritual of exchange in which the poet presents the patron a gift of praise and the patron responds with a counter-gift—normally a material prize of gold, fine steeds or camels, slave girls, etc.,—in this case, the return of the poet’s brother and other captive kinsmen.

The Arabic sources tell us that the poet ‘Alqamah ibn ‘Abadah, known as al-Fahl, “the Stallion,” for his masterful poetry, composed A Heart Turbulent with Passion after the Battle of Ayn Ubāgh, which historians date to 554 CE. This was a battle between the two Arab kingdoms of the Jāhiliyyah: the Lakhmids with their capital at al-Ḥirah in Iraq, who were vassals of Sāsānid Persia and professed Nestorian Christianity, and the Ghassānids, a Byzantine client state based in Syria, who were adherents of Monophysite Christianity. On the battle-day in question, the Ghassānid King al-Ḥārith ibn Jabalah defeated and slew the Lakhmid King al-Mundhir ibn Mā‘ al-Samā‘. ‘Alqamah’s tribe, the Banū Tamīm, were clients fighting on the vanquished Lakhmid side, and a number of them were taken prisoner, including the poet’s brother (or nephew), Sha‘s. ‘Alqamah is said to have addressed his poem to the victorious King al-Ḥārith on this occasion to plead for the release of his brother. In the context of the present study, we will argue that the poem is not merely a rhymed and metered plea for a prisoner’s release, but rather serves as a commodity in a complex ritual exchange that simultaneously performs a variety of functions, such as transfer of allegiance, supplication, and negotiation.

The prose anecdotes (akhbār) that accompany the poem in al-Anbārī’s commentary on Al-Mufaḍḍaliyyāt serve both to indicate the standard ritual expectations of the exchange of poem (qaṣīdah) for prize
(jāʾizah) and the poet’s dilemma: that if he uses the poem in exchange for his kinsmen’s release, he will have to forgo the material prize that he desires. Fortunately, the wily poet has a solution for this dilemma, as al-Anbārī tells us in his commentary on verse 36:

Sha’s was ʿAlqamah’s brother. . . . When al-Ḥārith heard him say, “Then Sha’s too deserves a bucket of your bounty’s flood” [v. 36], he exclaimed, “Buckets and more buckets!” and then ordered the release of Sha’s and all the prisoners of the Banū Tamīm. But ʿAlqamah said to al-Ḥārith, “Don’t release the prisoners of the Banū Tamīm until I’ve gone to see them.” When he went to see them, he said, “I have asked the king for you as a gift and he has given you to me [istawḥabtukum min al-malikī fa-wahabakum lī]. Now he is going to clothe you and bestow gifts upon you; so if you give me whatever clothes and gifts he bestows upon you, I will have you released; otherwise, I’ll leave you here.” So they agreed to his conditions and when they were led out, he took what had been given to them and released them.5

He adds a variant of the same story:

Sha’s was ʿAlqamah’s brother or some say his brother’s son. He was taken captive on that day, so ʿAlqamah came seeking his release. . . . When he reached the verse, “Then Sha’s too deserves a bucket of your bounty’s flood!” al-Ḥārith exclaimed, “Yes, and many bucketfuls!” and said to him, “Choose between a generous gift [al-hibāʾ al-jazīl] and the captives of the Banū Tamīm.” “You have exposed me to the calumny of the tongues of the Banū Tamīm,” replied ʿAlqamah, “Give me a day to consider the matter.” Then he went to the captives and informed them of the offer the king had made him. “Woe to you!” they replied, “Will you abandon us and go?!” So ʿAlqamah said, “The king will certainly bestow clothes and mounts and provisions upon you. So, when you reach the tribe, then the mounts, clothes, and provisions will be mine.” They agreed to this and the king released them.6

As both the sense and the etymologically revealing diction of these anecdotes make clear, ʿAlqamah’s ode to al-Ḥārith is not perceived as an “occasional poem” to plead for or thank the king for the release of the prisoners, nor to celebrate that event. Rather it clearly functions as a valuable commodity in a gift exchange. But what makes it so valuable? And how does this exchange ritual work? Marcel Mauss’s seminal study, *The Gift (Essai sur le don)*, has much to tell us about the functions and character of gift exchange. He defines gifts as
prestations which are in theory voluntary, disinterested, spontaneous, but are in fact obligatory and interested. The form usually taken is that of the gift generously offered; but the accompanying behaviour is formal pretense and social deception, while the transaction itself is based on obligation and economic self-interest.7

In the case at hand, ʿAlqamah’s primary obligation is to secure the release of his kinsmen. The anecdotes reveal both the elements of obligation and economic self-interest entailed in the exchange by showing the poet’s ambivalence over parting with his valuable poem/commodity to no personal profit, and more explicitly in the poet’s remark that he will be exposed to calumny should he choose material gifts over the release of his kinsmen. Through a clever ruse, however, the poet manages both to fulfill his obligation and to satisfy his economic self-interest.

Al-Ḥārith’s behavior, too, is ruled by the imperatives of the exchange ritual, which explains first of all why he releases all the prisoners—not just Sha’s whose release is requested in the poem—as well as bestowing generous gifts upon the released captives. As Mauss further explains, ritual exchange entails three obligations: giving, receiving, and repaying.8 “Failure to give or receive,” he remarks, “like failure to make return gifts, means a loss of dignity.”9 In other words, to accept a gift is to accept the challenge to repay that it implies. The picture becomes clearer: to maintain his prestige among the Banū Tamīm, their poet ʿAlqamah must ransom his kinsmen; as for the victorious king al-Ḥārith, to maintain his royal dignity and not lose face, he must accept the gift (poem) and the challenge to repay it with interest. For, as Mauss states, “no less important is the role which honor plays in such transactions. . . . Nowhere else is the prestige of an individual as closely bound up with expenditure, and with the duty of returning with interest gifts received in such a way that the creditor becomes the debtor.”10 In other words, the gift exchange becomes a ritual negotiation of relative rank and prestige. As Mauss expresses it: “Between vassals and chiefs, between vassals and their henchmen, the hierarchy is established by means of these gifts. To give is to show one’s superiority, to show that one is something more and higher, that one is magister. To accept without returning or repaying more is to face subordination, to become a client and subservient, to become minister.”11 It is precisely this principle that is captured in the anecdote: when ʿAlqamah requests a mere “bucket of your bounty’s flood” (v. 36), “Buckets and more buckets!” exclaims the king.
What is crucial in the context of the present study is my contention that Mauss’s formulation of archaic gift exchange is fully applicable to the ritual exchange of poem for prize that is characteristic of Arabic praise poetry—whether court or tribal panegyric or Prophetic praise—and is essential to our understanding of it.

The forms and functions of gift exchange have far-reaching implications for both the literary-ritual structure of the poem and the performative roles that it plays. E. E. Evans Pritchard’s summary of Mauss’s conclusions concerning the nature of archaic exchange will serve in the context of our argument as a starting point for understanding the multifaceted and multifunctional nature of the classical Arabic ode: “The exchanges of archaic societies . . . are total social movements or activities. They are at the same time economic, juridical, moral, aesthetic, religious, mythological and socio-morphological phenomena. Their meaning can therefore only be grasped if they are viewed as a complex concrete reality.” It is our contention that in the Arabic panegyric ode, too, inasmuch as it is at the heart of this “total social phenomenon” “all kinds of institutions will find simultaneous expression.”

Having established the role of the poem as a commodity in an exchange ritual, we will now turn to the literary form of ‘Alqamah’s panegyric ode with a view to discovering what sociomorphic institutions it expresses and how. Let us begin with its poetic structure. In this respect, ‘Alqamah’s poem is a paradigmatically structured three-part panegyric ode. The three thematic sections, as conventionally described, are as follows: a lyric-elegiac prelude (nasīb), vv. 1–10; the poet’s desert journey by she-camel toward the patron (raḥīl), vv. 11–20; and the praise of the patron (mādīḥ), vv. 21–37. As useful as these conventional labels are, they tell us little about the meaning of this poetic form. For this we can turn to Arnold van Gennep’s formulation of the rite of passage, which will provide us with a sense of the ritual, social, and psychological trajectory that such a tripartite structure can express and enact. Van Gennep, followed by his more recent acolytes such as Victor Turner and Mary Douglas, derived from the analysis of tribal rituals a pattern of transition or passage in three identifiable phases: Separation consists of symbolic behavior expressing detachment of an individual or group from a previous fixed point in the social structure or social state; Liminality or Marginality describes an ambiguous and therefore dangerous state, outside of
society, betwixt and between the normal statuses assigned by society; and Reaggregation or Reincorporation signals the completion of the passage or transition, which finds the ritual passenger in a new and stable state, i.e., a new and defined social status with the rights and obligations that society assigns thereto.¹⁴

What is most important in terms of the present study is the idea that the paradigm of the classical Arabic three-part qaṣīdah structure, with its nasīb, rahīl, and madīh, is not arbitrary or static, but rather that it shapes out and indeed performs a ritual that entails an emotional trajectory of the poet/passenger from 1) a previous, invariably failed, state (which may be social, political, psychological, spiritual, etc.—any or all of these) that leads to crisis and departure, through 2) a transitional period outside or on the margins of society, of indeterminacy, uncertainty, danger, and turmoil—but always, in the full-form ode, directed to 3) the arrival at (or return to) a new and successful status (again, social, political, spiritual, etc.). The pattern of passage invites the very common imagery, in religious ritual especially, of death and rebirth, drought and fertility, etc., and by its almost universal psychosocial nature it can and does serve as a template for a vast variety of ritual and ceremonial, including literary, productions. In terms of the qaṣīdah, the identification of an underlying ritual pattern helps us understand that its ritual role, as demonstrated in our discussion of gift exchange, is grounded in a ritual structure, which, in turn, is capable of performing many varied functions. This goes far in explaining the multifaceted roles that the qaṣīdah-form and its variants play in Arabic literature and culture.

‘Alqamah’s nasīb begins with the theme of lost love, as the poet-speaker, now in old age, is stirred to passion by the memory of the unattainable Laylá. In this he combines two traditional nasīb themes, that of the description of the beloved and the poet’s passion for her (termed tashbīb or ghazal) and the complaint against old age (al-shakwā min al-shayb):

‘Alqamah ibn ‘Abadah: A Heart Turbulent with Passion¹⁵

1. A heart turbulent with passion has borne you off,
   Long after youth has passed and the time of old age come.

2. Thoughts of Laylá trouble me, though her dwelling is now far,
   Though there have come between us hostile fates and grave events.
3. She lives in guarded luxury, all talk with her forbidden,
   At her door a guard wards off all visitors.

At the end of the *nasīb* we detect a shift of mood as the poet overcomes his passion and despair and regains a sense of maturity; “do not compare me to an untried youth” he states (v. 5), for,

8. If you ask me about womankind, I am indeed
   Discerning in their ailments, eminently skilled:

9. Should a man’s head hoary or his wealth decrease,
   He will find no share in their affections,

10. For they seek abundant wealth wherever they know it’s found;
    In youth’s first bloom alone they take delight.

‘Alqamah provides us with a very clear sense of direction, both psychological/emotional and geographical, as he opens the *rahīl* section with his departure by she-camel to the court of al-Ḥārith. The key element here in terms of the psychological trajectory of the *qaṣīdah* is the transition from the sense of loss and despair in the *nasīb*, to the sense of resolve and determination in the *rahīl*. It is the poet’s mental fortitude and sense of direction, expressed above all in the Arabic poetic tradition through the physical fortitude and instinctual sense of direction of the poet’s mount and poetic surrogate—the she-camel—that determines the success of this dangerous desert crossing. The poet first apostrophizes himself and then turns to relate his journey in the first person:

11. So leave her and dispel your cares with a tall mount, as bold as your resolve,
    That even with a second rider keeps up a lively trot.

12. To al-Ḥārith the Munificent I hastened my she-camel
    At such a pace her chest and end-ribs throb.

As he reaches the end of the *rahīl*, ‘Alqamah stresses the hardships and death-defying perils of his liminal journey, but also a celestial sense of guidance and the well-worn track of the many who have gone before him in hopes of benefiting from al-Ḥārith’s fabled generosity:
17. To you—may you repel all curses!—did she direct her gait
   Through signless wastes full of dreadful terrors.

18. The twin polestars guided me to you, and a clear road,
   On whose stony tracts rock piles and worn traces showed the way,

19. Upon which lay the corpses of abandoned beasts,
   Their bones blanched, stiff their desiccated skin.

   [4]

Having thus expressed first his loss and despair in the *nasīḥ*, which ends
in the separation and departure that initiate the liminal *raḥīl*—where
hope for the patron’s bounty overcomes fear of the perilous journey—the
poet-passenger arrives in verse 21 at the *madīḥ* or praise section. Al-
though the final section is indeed dominated by motifs of encomium for
the patron, much else is taking place. We can clearly detect the poet’s
incorporation into (a new) society with a new status, as he arrives as a
stranger and supplicant pleading for the Ghassānid king’s (al-Ḥārith)
acceptance and favor, and transferring his allegiance to him even as he
renounces his former but now failed allegiance to the slain Lakhmid
king (al-Mundhir):

21. Do not withhold your favor from me, a foreigner,
   For amidst the king’s domed tents, I am a stranger.

22. You are the man in whom I place my trust,
   For lords before have ruled me, then I was lost.

23. For the Banū Ka‘b ibn ‘Awf restored their king,
   While in the armies’ midst another king was left for dead.

   [5]

Moreover, we witness in verses 21–23 what we will term the “ritual core”
of the poem. As we will see further on in other *qaṣīdahs*, the ritual core
consists of eminently performative language, characterized by very
simple straightforward diction, and a clarity and transparency that often
stand out from the rhetorical or metaphorical opacity of the surrounding
poetic discourse. It is, however, far from simple. The three verses encaps-
ulate a rite of passage: separation from a former state—that of allegiance
to the Lakhmid king, now “left for dead”; entry into a liminal state “lords
before have ruled me, then I was lost,” where the passenger/poet de-
scribes himself as a “foreigner,” “a stranger”—that is, having lost his previous position or status as a Lakhmid client, he now finds himself, as we would say, a “stateless person”; and then, incorporation into the Ghassānid fold through his declaration of allegiance and fealty to his new king, al-Ḥārith: “You are the man in whom I place my trust.” We must, above all, be aware of the performative nature not only of the full qaṣīdah but of these verses of the ritual core in particular. These three verses are quite explicitly speech acts, which is to say that by the very act of uttering them the poet is renouncing his former allegiance and affirming his new one; that is, a vanquished enemy is surrendering and submitting to the victorious king. Through the very utterance of these verses, the poet cuts off one moral bond and ties another. We can link this ritual of transfer of allegiance to the idea of gift exchange, when we remember that the purpose of gift exchange, as Mauss clearly realized, is not the transfer of material goods as much as it is a means of establishing social bonds. In the ritual exchange of ʿAlqamah’s panegyric ode for the release of the captives of the Banū Tamīm, what is really occurring is a peace treaty and cessation of hostilities, whereby al-Ḥārith’s former enemies become his liege men, clients, or allies—as indeed the gifts he confers upon them confirm.

The ritual core is followed by a long passage praising—as is appropriate in a poem that is, among other things, a victory ode—the king al-Ḥārith’s valor on the field of battle:

25. Into the fray you advance on your steed until his pasterns’ white is black with blood,
And all the while you smite the casques of armor-clad foes.

26. You were clad in double coats of mail from which are hung
The choicest of blades—the Slicer, the Gasher.

The madīḥ section is sealed by verses that, like vv. 21–23, are explicitly performative and exhibit the forceful clarity of the ritual core. And likewise, their apparently stylistic simplicity belies the complex social phenomena that they embody. For if the poet threw himself at the mercy of his mamdūḥ (the recipient of his praise, the patron) in verses 21–23, here he sets out the terms of the contract of allegiance and the exchange ritual
that will confirm it: the poet is performatively giving his gift of praise—the poem; what he asks in return is the generous release of the captive Sha’s. ‘Alqamah begins by evoking his mamduḥ’s twinned virtues of might and magnanimity, then petitions for Sha’s’s release, and closes the ode with a sort of conundrum: that the king possesses on the one hand a rank that none can approach; and yet through his generosity to them, both prisoner and kinsmen feel they have been brought close to him:

35. You are the one whose traces on the enemy, Of harm and benefit, leave lasting scars.
36. On every tribe you have bestowed a boon; Sha’s, too, deserves a bucket of your bounty’s flood.
37. To al-Ḥārith’s high rank none draw nigh, except his prisoner, His kinsman likewise, is not abased.

[7]

Verse 35 is quite brilliant in its evocation of the power of generosity, suggesting, as it does, that bounty can establish one’s permanent subjugation of the enemy as well as violence can. In this context, verse 36, requesting bounty, namely release, for Sha’s, reads as an offer for the cessation of hostilities—that is, the king can subdue the Banū Tamīm through his generous gifts and the obligation of loyalty that they entail, rather than the brute military force that he has shown in battle. This then relates back to the hope or trust that the poet expressed in verses 21–22. While he fears the king’s might, he hopes for his magnanimity.

This brings us to the final, and perhaps in the context of the present study, most important, aspect of the many-faceted social and ritual movement of ‘Alqamah’s ode: the Ritual of Supplication. As we will see throughout this study, the supplicatory structure is an essential aspect of the type of praise poem to the Prophet that we will discuss, and its elements are well established in the subcategory of the qaṣīdah that I have termed the Supplicatory Ode. The key elements of the supplicatory structure, as I have defined it, are: Lyric-Elegiac Prelude (nasīb), essentially an expression of Loss; Self-Abasement and Submission; Praise of the one supplicated; Supplication, that is, the poet’s petition to the patron (often accompanied in Islamic poetry by the poet’s Benediction for the patron). As we will see throughout this study, the order of these elements varies from poem to
poem and within a single poem they often overlap. These four are easily identifiable in ʿAlqamah’s qaṣidat al-madḥ to al-Ḥārith: the description of the poet’s passion for his long lost Laylá (vv. 1–10) forms the Lyric-Elegiac Prelude or nasib; the poet’s Self-Abasement can be seen in the arduous journey that he undertakes in the rahil (vv. 11–20) in the hope of reaching the patron, but also in the pleading tone with which the poet throws himself at the patron’s mercy, essentially an act of Submission (vv. 21–22). This leads into a long passage of Praise (madīḥ), especially for al-Ḥārith’s military prowess and valor, but also his generosity; and finally, the element of Supplication appears in two installments, first in the poet’s plea for the patron’s favor and protection (v. 21) and then in the closing petition for the release of Sha’s (v. 36). In both cases, the object of supplication is ultimately absolution for past transgression (i.e., having been allies of al-Ḥārith’s enemy) through incorporation into the king’s realm. The poem not only redeems the poet’s captive kinsmen, it also is the payment for the poet’s own redemption. This supplicatory ritual is intimately bound up with the complex of rituals—gift exchange, transfer of allegiance, incorporation, etc.—that are performed through the utterance of the poem. What all of these ritual patterns share is the transformative trajectory of the rite of passage.

A similar ritual complex forms, to varying degrees, the performative substructure of the other pre-Islamic qaṣidahs that we will examine, as well as the praise poems to the Prophet that are the central subject of this study.

2. Al-Nābighah’s O ABOde Of Mayyah: Transgression And Redemption

The acclaimed ode of apology (iʿtidhāriyyah) by one of the master poets of the Jāhiliyyah, al-Nābighah al-Dhubyānī (active 570–600 ce),19 to the Lakhmid King al-Nuʿmān ibn al-Mundhir is, we will argue, essentially a poem of supplication for the poet’s absolution and reinstatement in his patron’s court. Tradition tells us that al-Nābighah, who had been the king’s favorite poet and boon companion, composed his poem of apology for having had an affair with the king’s wife, an accusation leveled at the poet as a result of his scandalous erotic description of the queen in his notorious Description of al-Mutajarridah ode. One version, found
in Abū al-Faraj al-Iṣbahānī’s (d. 356/967) Kitāb al-Aghānī, presents al-Nābighah’s flight from his liege lord’s court as the result of the jealousy and court intrigue of a poetic rival, al-Munakhkhal:

The reason that al-Nābighah fled from al-Nu’mān was that once he and al-Munakhkhal . . . al-Yashkūrī were sitting before the king. Now al-Nu’mān was misshapen, leprous, and ugly, whereas al-Munakhkhal . . . was one of the most comely of the Arabs, and al-Mutajarridah, al-Nu’mān’s wife, had had her eye on him. Indeed, it was rumored among the Arabs that al-Nu’mān’s two sons by her were actually al-Munakhkhal’s. Thus, when al-Nu’mān said to al-Nābighah, “O Abū Umāmah, describe al-Mutajarridah in your poetry,” and he composed his qaṣīdah in which he described her: her abdomen, her buttocks, and her private parts, this roused al-Munakhkhal’s jealousy. So he said to al-Nu’mān, “No one could have composed such a poem except one who had tried her!” Al-Nu’mān took this to heart, and when news of this reached al-Nābighah, he was afraid and fled to the Ghassānids.

Thus, the poet fled for his life to the rival Ghassānid court, but soon desired to return to his former Lakhmid liege lord. Inasmuch as the offense was above all poetic in form, so too are the poet’s plea for absolution and redemption payment. The object of the poet’s supplication is therefore absolution and reinstatement in the king’s inner circle. We should note that in terms of the poetic text of the apology ode, there is no reference to any sexual impropriety nor is there any admission of guilt. In other words, the poet’s transgression, which the anecdotes present as sexual, may well rather have been of a political nature.

In terms of the general thematic structure, al-Nābighah’s poem is, like ʿAlqamah’s ode, a classically structured tripartite qaṣīdah: the lyric-elegiac prelude (nasīb): vv.1–6, featuring the poet’s stopping over the abandoned campsite of his beloved; the journey (raḥīl): vv. 7–20, presenting the poet’s she-camel through its comparison to an oryx bull beset by hunters and their hounds; the praise (madiḥ): vv. 20–49, comparing the king to Sulaymān (Solomon), praising his generosity, comparing him in farsightedness (that is, perspicacity) to Zarqāʾ al-Yamāmah, and in might and generosity to the formidable Euphrates.

The key elements of the supplicatory structure, which are what concern us in the present context, are: Lyric-Elegiac Prelude (nasīb) with its evocation of Loss; Self-Abasement and Submission; Praise of the One
Supplicated; Supplication. It should be noted from the outset that the last three elements do not always occur in the same order in every supplicatory panegyric and are often not entirely distinct from one another, but these elements otherwise are fairly predictably found in what is normally termed the *madīḥ* section. In addition, elements of what could be termed Self-Abasement can often be identified in the *nasīb* and, in the tripartite ode, in the central *raḥīl* (journey) section. In general, it soon becomes evident that an essential element of the self-abasement is the poet’s expression of fear and hope, that is, his throwing himself upon the mercy of the formidable *mamdūḥ*.

The element of Loss that is distinctive of the Lyric-Elegiac Prelude (*nasīb*) takes the form not of a direct description of the poet’s passion for the lost beloved herself, as in ‘Alqamah’s ode, but of the closely related theme of the evocation of the abandoned campsite where the poet’s beloved, Mayyah, once dwelt. Termed “stopping at the traces” (*al-wuqūf ʿalā al-ṭīlāl*), this is undoubtedly the most highly developed and evocative elegiac theme of the Arabic lyrical tradition.²²

Al-Nābighah al-Dhubyānī: O Abode of Mayyah²³

1. O abode of Mayyah on height and peak! It lies abandoned
   And so long a time has passed it by.

2. I stopped there in the evening, to question it;
   It could not answer for in the vernal camp there was no one.

6. By evening the abode was empty, by evening its people had packed
   up and left;
   It was destroyed by the same fate by which Lubad was destroyed.

7. Turn away from what you see, for it is irredeemable,
   And raise the saddle-rods on a she-camel, brisk as an onager, solid.

The sense of loss in such a *nasīb* is projected onto the physical traces or remains of the campsite, which bear a metonymic relation to the lost beloved. The *nasīb* ends with the separation and departure of the tribe, or rather, the poet’s recollection of it (v. 6). There he invokes Lubad, the symbol of mortality, the last of the long-lived vultures whose life-spans measured out the life of the legendary sage Luqmān and whose death
marked the sage’s own demise. The poet’s departure, which entails his abandoning the site of loss and strengthening his resolve to head for his patron’s court, is expressed in the transitional verse (v. 7), which moves from despair to determination as the poet puts the past behind him and saddles up his sturdy energetic she-camel for the journey. The rahīl, in turn, leads into an extended simile, comparing the poet’s she-camel in her fortitude to a lone oryx bull. The oryx bull’s travails and ultimate triumph, as he suffers through a cold night of freezing rain and then at dawn triumphantly fights off a hunter and his hounds, is in effect an allegory of the poet/passenger who himself undergoes a similar passage from passive suffering to life-affirming resolve (vv. 7–20).

The supplicatory element of Self-Abasement is to some extent implied in the hardships of the desert journey that the poet/passenger undergoes in the rahīl, which, in effect, says to the mamdūh that the poet has suffered hardship and faced perils to get to him. But the element of Self-Abasement, and with it Submission, is more explicit in the expression of twinned fear and hope that occurs midway through the madīḥ section. These verses are, at the same time, glaringly performative and constitute what we termed above, in our discussion of ‘Alqamah’s ode, the ritual core of the poem, with its characteristically transparent and straightforward diction. The poet proclaims his innocence, which he confirms with an oath, one of the major categories of speech act or performative speech (vv. 37–39):

37. No, by the life of Him whose Ka’bah I have anointed
   And by the blood I have spilled out upon stone altars,

38. And by the Protector of the birds that seek the refuge of the sanctuary,
   Unmolested by the riders of Mecca between the spring and the thicket,

39. I never said those evil things that were reported to you.
   If I did, then let my hand be palsied till I cannot hold a whip.

40. It was nothing but the calumny of enemies for which I suffered;
   their speech was like a stab that pierced my liver.

Verse 41 conveys the sense of Self-Abasement in the fear of al-Nu‘mān that strikes the poet’s heart. In verse 42 the poet begs the king to be merciful and to “go easy” on him, which is an expression of Self-
Abasement and Submission. At the same time he proposes a contract: in exchange for King al-Nu‘mān’s “going easy” on him, that is, forgiving and accepting him rather than punishing him for his transgression, the poet offers his allegiance, as expressed in the Arabic tradition, “May my soul be your ransom” (nafsī fidāka), which is to say, “I pledge my life to you.” Verse 43 reiterates the plea for mercy that opens verse 42:

41. I’ve been told that Abū Qābūs* has threatened me, [* = al-Nu‘mān] And no one can withstand the lion when it roars.

42. Go easy! May the tribes, all of them, be your ransom, And all my herds’ increase, and all my progeny!

43. Don’t fling at me more than I can withstand, Even though my foes should rally to support you.

[10]

It is obvious as well that verses 42 and 43, with their pleas for mercy, involve simultaneously the elements of Self-Abasement and Supplication (see more, below).

The Praise of the One Supplicated in al-Nābighah’s ode includes the standard elements of generosity and might, which we can understand as the counterparts to, respectively, the poet’s hope and fear:

20. Such a she-camel conveys me to Nu‘mān, Whose beneficence to mankind, both kin and foreigner, is unsurpassed.

............

27. I see no one more generous in bestowing a gift, Followed by more gifts and sweeter, ungrudgingly given.

28. The giver of a hundred bulky she-camels, Fattened on the Sa‘dān plants of Tūḍīḥ, with thick and matted fur,

29. And white camels, already broken in, wide-kneed, On which fine new Ḥīran saddles have been strapped,

30. And slave girls kicking up the trains of long white veils, Pampered by cool shade in midday heat, lovely as gazelles,

31. And steeds that gallop briskly in their reins Like a flock of birds fleeing a cloudburst of hail.

.............
44. Not even the Euphrates when the winds blow over it,
   Its waves casting up foam on its two banks,

45. When every wadi rushes into it, overflowing and tumultuous,
   Sweeping down heaps of thorny carob bush and sticks and boughs,

47. Is ever more generous than he is in bestowing gifts,
   Nor does a gift today preclude a gift tomorrow.

However powerful and beautiful these verses are, al-Nābighah’s praise also includes two more unusual passages that are particularly suited to his dilemma. One suggests the comparison of al-Nu‘mān to Sulaymān (Solomon) in such a way as to suggest that God’s exhortations to Sulaymān should apply to the king’s treatment of the poet:

24. Then whoever obeys you, reward his obedience
   In due measure and guide him on righteousness’ path.

25. And whoever defies you, chastise him with a chastisement
   That will deter the evil-doer—but do not harbor rancor.

A second comparison is between al-Nu‘mān and Zarqāʾ (= Blue-Eyed) al-Yamāmah, whom legend credits with the first use of kohl and with extraordinarily keen eyesight. When she warned her tribesmen that the enemy, camouflaged with tree-branches, was approaching, they dismissed her warning and were defeated in a surprise attack.25 In al-Nābighah’s poem, a riddle based on her ability to count a distant flock of doves extends her physical ability to mental acuity and insight, hence al-Nābighah’s exhortation to the king, “Judge with perspicacity like the girl of the tribe” (v. 32).

The element of Supplication itself, the poet’s petition or entreaty to the patron, is evident in the many imperative pleas that punctuate the poem: v. 32 “Judge with perspicacity”; vv. 42–43 “Go easy,” and the offer of allegiance that it entails. However, it comes to the fore particularly in the closing verses of the poem, vv. 48–49. These verses, too, constitute a complex social movement, for the poet does not merely present his plea for forgiveness, but encapsulates the entire exchange ritual of praise for prize that constitutes the performative core of the ode. He states clearly, however, that he desires no material gift, but only the mamdūḥ’s forgive-
ness. That is, in a sort of ritual substitution, “apology” and “forgiveness” stand in for “praise” and “prize”:

48. This is my praise: if it sounds good to you,
I have alluded—may you disdain all curses—to no gift.

49. This is an apology: if it has availed me nothing,
Then its author is indeed down on his luck.

Al-Nābighah’s poem succeeded, the literary tradition tells us. We read in an anecdote that the poet Ḥassān ibn Thābit (later the famed poet-laureate of the Prophet Muḥammad) had come to al-Nuʿmān’s court in al-Nābighah’s absence hoping to make his fortune there, only to witness, with despair, the return of the king’s erstwhile favorite with his master-piece of apology:

At this, Ḥassān ibn Thābit declared, “I begrudged al-Nābighah three things, and I don’t know which I envied the most: al-Nuʿmān’s drawing him into his intimate circle once more after his estrangement and sitting up at night conversing with him and listening to him; or the excellence of his poetry; or the hundred purebred royal camels that the king bestowed on him.”

King Nuʿmān not only forgave the poet and reinstated him as poet-laureate and boon companion in his court, but, as we have come to expect from Mauss’s rules of gift exchange and our reading of ʿAlqamah’s ode in the context of its literary anecdotes, he bestowed upon him as well a gift of one hundred of the Lakhmid’s coveted royal purebred camels.

As was the case with ʿAlqamah’s ode, our reading of al-Nābighah’s O Abode of Mayyah in the context of its literary anecdotes reveals that this qaṣīdat al-madhī, here in the form of a poem of apology, likewise exhibits a multifaceted complex of ritual and performative functions. It performs the court ceremonial of the exchange of praise poem for prize: that is, a ritualized enactment of the relation of subject to ruler. The poem’s tripartite structure of nasīb, raḥīl, and madiḥ (lyric-elegiac prelude, desert journey, and praise) effects a rite of passage through which the poet makes the transition, as the anecdotes tell us, from banishment to reinstatement in the Lakhmid court. The key elements of the Supplicatory Ode are likewise in evidence in the Loss expressed in the abandoned
campsite of the *nasib*, the elements of Self-Abasement in the poet’s expressions of fear and hope, the Praise of King al-Nu‘mān for might and generosity, and the Supplication itself, the poet’s plea for the king’s forgiveness. Overtly performative acts (speech acts) such as the exculpatory oath and oath of allegiance take place within the poem as well.

Finally, once again we need to emphasize that not only does the *qaṣīdah* incorporate speech acts, but the entire *qaṣīdat al-madh* itself in its recitation before the *mamdūḥ* constitutes a multifaceted performative utterance. In the case of al-Nābighah’s O Abode of Mayyah the overarching ritual structure is that of supplication for the king’s forgiveness. In the literary tradition, the most celebrated versions identify al-Nābighah’s transgression as a sexual-poetic scandal involving the king’s wife. As we noted above there is no evidence of its nature in the poem itself, and the poet, rather than confessing to any wrongdoing, denies it. As we will see, below, in our discussion of Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s ode to the Prophet, this appears to be the ritual-poetic norm for what is termed in the Arabic tradition *ī’tidhāriyyah* (poem of apology). In this case, the literary tradition, broadly speaking, presents al-Nābighah’s poem describing the king’s wife al-Mutajarridah in obscene terms as the transgression, which means that it does not take the poet’s denial in his poem of apology to be true.27 That being the case, we have to understand the denials and their accompanying oaths as the sanctioned form of the ritual apology. That is, the oath of denial is an element of what Mauss terms the “formal pretense and social deception” that characterize ritual exchange (cited above). Whatever precise nature of the poet’s transgression, the poem of apology is the poet’s redemption payment.

If, in our reading, the ritual complexes of ‘Alqamah’s A Heart Turbulent with Passion and of al-Nābighah’s O Abode of Mayyah feature a pronounced supplicatory aspect, in our final pre-Islamic example the supplicatory aspect is far less pronounced as other aspects of the panegyrical pact between poet and patron come to the fore.28

3. Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmā’s The Tribe Set Out: The Tacit Panegyrical Pact

It behooves us to speak of another of the master poets of the Jāhiliyyah, Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmā,29 in part because he is the father of Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr, whose poem to the Prophet Muḥammad, *Su‘ād Has Departed,*
is the first poem to be given the sobriquet of Mantle Ode and the most renowned poem of praise presented to the Prophet in his lifetime. But it is of further interest because the relationship between Zuhayr and his Murrite kinsman, the chieftain Harim ibn Sinān, to whom his most famous praise poems are addressed, became the proverbial and paradigmatic model throughout the Arabic-Islamic poetic tradition, especially, as we shall see (chapter 2) in the medieval madiḥ nabawī tradition, for the exchange of praise/poem for prize. We will term the relationship between poet and patron that is established through this ritual exchange of poem for prize the “panegyric pact.”

Zuhayr is said to have been a well-to-do lord (sayyid) of the Banū Murrah (his father’s maternal clan, whose association Abū Sulmá preferred after an act of treachery on the part of his paternal kin, the Banū Muzaynah); he was known for forbearance and possessed a reputation for piety (al-wara).30 Two points are given prominence in the biographical and critical anecdotes concerning Zuhayr: that he was both the scion of a prominent poetic family of the Jāhiliyyah and the progenitor of Islamic poets (Zuhayr’s father Abū Sulmá was a poet, as were his maternal uncle, Bashāmah ibn al-Ghadir, his stepfather, Aws ibn Ḥajar, and his sisters, Salmá and al-Khansā; his two sons Ka‘b and Bujayr, as we will see below, were poets who converted to Islam);31 and that in his panegyrics he never composed praise of anyone that was not true (lam yamda`adan illā bi-mā fīh).32 Inasmuch as the classical Arabic tradition came to attack the qaṣīdat al-madh, especially in the Islamic periods, as insincere flattery in exchange for material gain, this last point exculpates Zuhayr from the first part of the criticism. A charming anecdote absolves him of the second. It presents the poet not as greedy for gain but rather as somewhat embarrassed by his patron’s unbounded munificence. Whereas we saw ʿAlqamah (above) scheming to maximize the return on his poem, we find Zuhayr, in an anecdote related in Kitab al-Aghānī, concocting a ruse to evade his patron’s oath of generosity:

[Al-Aṣmaʿī] said: It reached me that Harim had once sworn an oath that every time Zuhayr presented him a praise poem he would give him a gift, and any time he petitioned him he would grant his request, and even if the poet so much as greeted him, he would give him a gift—whether a slave, or a slave-girl, or a horse. Zuhayr became so embarrassed at how much bounty he received from Harim that, when he saw him surrounded
by his retinue, he greeted them, “Good morning to all of you, except for Harim—and that exception is the best among you!”33

Thus the poet fulfills the obligation to greet and praise his patron, but with a verbal ruse that does not incur for Harim his oath-bound obligation to confer a gift. In terms of Mauss’s formulation of gift exchange, we can understand that the gist of such anecdotes is that the poet should keep up the pretense of a gift (of praise) freely and spontaneously given, not merely proffered in the hope or expectation of reward. Moreover, in light of both Mauss’s remarks and ‘Alqamah’s verse 35 (above) about the power of gifts to negotiate status and to force subjection and submission, we can understand Zuhayr’s “gift avoidance” as a ploy to maintain his own dignity.

Zuhayr is most renowned for his panegyric ode rhymed in mīm, included in the most illustrious collection of pre-Islamic odes, Al-Mu’allaqāt (The Suspended Odes).34 It was composed in praise of two chieftains of the Banū Murrah, Harim ibn Sinān and al-Ḥārith ibn ‘Awf, in honor of their having put an end to the forty-year tribal feud, the Ḥarb Dāḥis and Ghabrā’ (between the Banū ‘Abs and the Banū Dhubyān), a truce that they concluded by putting up their own wealth (camel herds) to pay the blood price of the unavenged dead of the Banū ‘Abs.35 Zuhayr’s Mu’allaqah is famous for its condemnation of the horrors of war and the series of moral sententiae or proverbs (amthāl) with which it concludes. Here, however, we will examine his renowned qaṣīdat al-madḥ to Harim, the poem rhymed in qāf that opens “The tribe set out for distant lands” (‘Inna al-khalīṭa ‘ajadda al-bayna) that is more paradigmatic in its form and thus more suitable for our discussion of the poet-patron relationship. It is interesting, as well, that in his panegyrics to Harim, Zuhayr does not present himself explicitly as a supplicant; rather, the exchange of poem for prize seems to be implicit in his poems. Our contention in discussing The Tribe Set Out and the anecdotes surrounding Zuhayr’s poetry in the classical Arabic compendia will be that, however discreet the poet may be, the panegyric poem implies a prize, just as, in terms of Mauss’s formulation of ritual exchange, a gift is ipso facto a challenge to reply with a greater gift.

Zuhayr’s qaṣīyyah, The Tribe Set Out, is second only to his Mu’allaqah in Ṭaḥlāb’s recension of the Diwān and, for example, figures prominently, again right after his Mu’allaqah, in al-Iṣbahānī’s notice on the poet in Kitāb al-Aghānī.36 The poem exhibits in classic proportions the
the three-part structure we saw in our two earlier examples: the lyric-elegiac prelude (nasīb), vv. 1–16; the desert crossing (rahīl), vv. 17–32; and the encomium (madīḥ), vv. 33–49. This qaṣīdah is remarkable as well for the clear and conventional articulation of its structural sections. We do not find, however, whether in the poetic text or its accompanying prose anecdotes, any explicit presentation of the poet as supplicant or as a passenger undergoing any profound transformation, such as the rituals of (re)incorporation and transfer of allegiance that were so prominent in ʿAlqamah’s and al-Nābighah’s poems. Rather, we will argue, in Zuhayr’s poems the three-part structure of the qaṣīdat al-madh is used in a more formal way to perform a courtly ceremony of allegiance. Absent here, too, are the elements of Self-Abasement and Submission that are characteristic of the Supplicatory Ode. As a lord (sayyīd) of his tribe, Zuhayr wants to express his allegiance and loyalty to Harim, but without the asymmetrical power relation we saw in the odes of ʿAlqamah and al-Nābighah, which are the odes of subjects to kings. Further, Zuhayr’s ode seems to be confirming an already existing bond more than tying a new one. The effect of this is that the poem lacks the immediacy and urgency, the life-and-death drama, of the other two qaṣīdahs we discussed, but it gains instead an elegance and refinement, and a sense of timelessness or universality. This sense of refinement and polish is reflected in the critic Ibn Qutaybah’s (d. 276/889) remark that Zuhayr termed his long qaṣīdahs “ḥawlīyyāt,” that is, odes that took a full year (ḥawl) to compose and polish. The emotional trajectory of the three-part structure is there, but it has the more thoughtful and contemplative feel of something considered from an objectivizing distance.

The ode opens with one of the most classic nasīb motifs, that of the tribal departure, which is essentially an image of separation and the cutting of ties. This opening motif leads inevitably to the person of the beloved, who is duly introduced in verses 2–4: “the Bakrī’s daughter.” The physical separation and cutting of ties of verse 1 quickly transforms into a cutting or breakdown of emotional and moral bonds: the breaking of promises and the forfeiting of pledges:

Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmā: The Tribe Set Out

1. The tribe set out for far-off lands, till it was cut off from us,
   While my heart remained bound, tight, to Asmāʾ.
2. She left your heart pledged irredeemably to her,
   But on the day of parting, she forfeited her pledge.\(^{39}\)

3. The Bakrī’s daughter broke her promise to you
   And the bond that bound you to her is now weak and frayed.

The poet then shifts subtly into the purely passionate, to describe the beloved (vv. 5–7):

5. A neck like the white outstretched neck of a gazelle doe, newly fawned,
   Lagging behind the herd and warily watching over her wobbly fawn.

6. Her mouth after slumber tastes as if she’s had a drink at nightfall
   Of a fine sweet wine, aged to perfection,

The poet then reverts to the image of the departing caravan of the beloved’s tribe that opened the nasīb (vv. 8–9), to conclude the nasīb with an extended simile in which he describes his tears as so copious that they could fill the buckets of a camel drawing water from a well (vv. 10–16):

8. I kept on gazing after them until their camels knelt
   To set them down in Rākis Hollow.

10. As if my eyes wept till they filled the two buckets
    Of a worn-out water-camel watering a distant palm grove.

The effect of this long and finely achieved simile of the water-camel (sāniyah) is to reduce the immediacy of the sense of loss that otherwise dominates the nasīb. Our attention is drawn instead to the poet’s artistry.

The transition from the nasīb to the rahīl is signaled in the clearest and most classical fashion in verses 17–18 by the imperative ‘addī ‘ān (turn away from!). The second step of the transition, the saddling up of the she-camel, inevitably follows. This two-part process and its conventions are already familiar to us from ‘Alqamah’s A Heart Turbulent with Passion (v. 11) and al-Nābighah’s O Abode of Mayyah (v. 7). What is important, especially in terms of our rite of passage paradigm, is the psychological transition that this abrupt imperative effects in its poetic context: that is, the “act of will” through which the poet-passenger renounces the sorrowful past and propels
himself forward into an undoubtedly dangerous but also hopeful future. The convention of the poet apostrophizing himself in such verses authentically captures the interior psychological struggle of the poet-passenger.

The bulk of the journey section (vv. 19–32) is an extended simile comparing the she-camel in its fortitude and determination to an oryx bull that grazes until the coming of the rainy season, when he spends the night overtaken by cold and rain, only to awaken to the attack of a hunter and his hounds. As we saw in al-Nābighah’s treatment of the same rahil theme, the contrast between passive suffering and energetic attack against the hounds reiterates the psychological transition of the poet-passenger himself from the nasib to the rahil, and we are to understand that it is just this psychological transformation and act of will and determination on the poet-passenger’s part that guarantees the success of his quest, that is, his journey to the patron.

17. Then turn away from what you see, for what you sought has passed away,
     And so the crow of separation has begun to caw.

18. And raise the wooden saddle-rods on a bulky high-cheeked camel
     Whose braided leather reins shake when her neck is wet with sweat.

19. As if I had put my saddle and its straps and cover-pad
     Upon a full-grown oryx bull, spirited and white.

24. There he was overtaken by a rain that came in sheets,
     That soaked the soil and made the flatlands flow with water.

25. Rain-soaked, he tried all night to find shelter from the cold,
     While clouds, in succession, spattered him with rain.

28. All through the night until, when the stars’ gaze grew weary,
     The morning light began to shine and he went forth.

29. At dawn swift hounds attacked him,
     And a hunter of consummate skill.

31. The bull stands motionless until, thinking the sun’s horn has fully risen
     And fearing the lunging hounds attacking on both sides,

32. He spins around and splits the first one open with a gaping wound
     That sends blood spurting over his horns.
Perhaps the fact that the physical journey of the poet on his she-camel is not directly described suggests to us that the psychological transition, here expressed at one symbolic remove in the oryx bull allegory, is more essential to the poem-as-rite-of-passage than the geographical transit. But also, like the extended water-camel simile of the *nasīb*, the elision of the journey itself to the oryx bull allegory may have the effect of drawing our attention more to the poet’s finely honed art than to the poet-passenger’s plight.

Zuhayr then makes a dramatic poetic move: rather than rounding out the *rahil* by returning to the subject of the simile (the she-camel), and then presenting the arrival at the patron’s court, as our experience with the *qaṣidat al-madhū* genre would lead us to expect, he brings us just to the point of climax—the oryx bull gores the hounds and sends their blood gushing—and cuts abruptly to the *madīḥ*. It is as if the poet says, “If you think this is exciting, wait until you hear about Harim!”

33 But let me rather speak of the best of Qays, all of them,
In lineage; the best in bounty and in virtue.

Not only is this move stunning in itself, but equally noteworthy is that Zuhayr has omitted precisely the arrival of the supplicant at the patron’s court that is so central to what we have termed the “ritual core” of the Supplicatory Ode. In other words, at this crucial structural juncture and indeed throughout the *madīḥ* section, we find no Self-Abasement and no Supplication. This is especially noticeable when we compare Zuhayr’s objectively distanced *madīḥ* with the impassioned direct address to the patron of ʿAlqamah (“Do not withhold your favor from me,” v. 21) and al-ʿNābīghah (“Go easy!” v. 42; “Do not fling at me more than I can withstand!” v. 43). Zuhayr never addresses Harim directly, but rather presents well-wrought verses of encomium for his *mamdūḥ*’s virtues, including magnanimity:

35. In magnanimity, he’s like the winning steed, who gives its all, and,
Never forced, never flagging, outstrips the rest.

36. Harim’s supplicants and those that seek his bounty
Have beaten pathways to his doors.

43. Bright and comely of countenance, overflowing with bounty,
He breaks the shackles from the captives’ hands and necks.
44. In hard times whoever finds Harim
   Will find that munificence and generosity are in his nature.

45. He never refuses a relative or kinsman,
   Nor an impoverished supplicant who “shakes his tree.”

Harim’s personal valor in battle is presented in terms of leading raids against the enemy and throwing himself aggressively into combat, and has its counterpart in tribal councils in his personal authority and commanding eloquence:

37. He leads horses that have chipped the edges of their hoofs,
   That are bridled with leather straps or strips of flax.

38. They leave on raids fat and return emaciated, their young aborted,
   When before, full-bodied and big-bellied, they were led beside the camels,

39. He brings them back matted and ungroomed,
   In pain in their hoofs, sciatic nerves, and peritoneum.

46. Like a man-hunting lion of ‘Aththar’s hollow, when other lions
    Hold back from their opponents, he attacks.

47. When they shoot arrows, he thrusts the spear; when they thrust the spear,
    He starts the sword fight; when they take up the sword, he grabs his foe!

48. Such is he; he is not one to stammer in the midst of men,
    When an authoritative speaker speaks his mind.

Harim’s greatest challenge is competing in virtue and nobility with his illustrious ancestors, especially his father, Sinân. The poet presents this competition in terms of a horse race of noble steeds:

40. He races to catch up with two men who preceded him in virtuous deeds,
    Who bestowed gifts on kings and subdued their subjects.

41. He is the finest steed/most generous man; so if he can catch up with them,
    However great the challenge, he’s just the sort that could;

42. And if, with the lead they have, they still outstrip him,
    Then deeds like theirs are the sort that outstrip others.
The poem concludes in a verse that carries religious overtones:

49. If earthly creatures could reach the heavens through noble deeds,
Then surely Harim’s hand would touch the skies.  

What appear to be missing in Zuhayr’s The Tribe Set Out in praise of Harim ibn Sinān are precisely the two most explicit supplicatory elements that were so prominent in our examples from ʿAlqamah and al-Nābighah, that is, Self-Abasement and Supplication. Or, to be more precise, Harim’s unfailing magnanimity to supplicants and petitioners is celebrated in the ode (vv. 36, 44, 45), but the poet does not explicitly place himself among them. In the nasīb, we were distracted from the sense of Loss by the exquisitely detailed description of the water-camel. In the ṭāhli the arduous mamdūḥ-directed journey of the she-camel is elided to present the finely wrought oryx bull allegory. Finally, the Supplication itself, the poet’s emotive plea and the direct and impassioned address of the mamdūḥ, is not found in Zuhayr’s poem. Rather than enacting a dramatic and self-interested supplicatory ritual as we saw in the two previous poetic examples, Zuhayr offers an elegantly wrought ceremonial piece.

Despite the absence of an explicit supplicatory performance on the part of the poet, the classical Arabic literary context insists repeatedly on the lavish gifts that Harim conferred upon Zuhayr for his panegyric odes. In terms of the ritual complex that we have described in our discussion of ʿAlqamah’s and al-Nābighah’s odes, we find in Zuhayr’s The Tribe Set Out a stripped down or implied ritual content wherein the structure of the qaṣīdat al-madh conveys the message and performs the ceremonial or ritual function without the explicit supplicatory and performative verses that we saw in our other examples. That is, the qaṣīdat al-madh in itself, regardless of its explicit contents, is performative: it invokes the panegyric pact and demands a reward. Part of this may reflect the sociopolitical relation of the poet and patron. They are both wealthy lords (sayyids) of the Banū Murrah, and although in terms of relative rank Harim seems to hold a higher position, nevertheless it is a relation of kinsmen within the tribal aristocracy, not, as in ʿAlqamah’s and al-Nābighah’s odes, the relation of a non-kin subject to a king. The exchange of poem for prize in this context indicates a relation of mutual dependency: the panegyrics express Zuhayr’s
allegiance to Harim more than his subservience, and the counter-gifts suggest Harim’s awareness that to maintain his dignity and status as chief-tain he must lavishly reward such finely wrought panegyrics. The hierarchichal relation may be less pronounced than in the two earlier examples, which were both panegyrics addressed to kings, but the panegyric pact is nevertheless binding, as Zuhayr and Harim compete for status within the warrior aristocracy of their tribe.

In terms of ritual exchange, the element of competition for status through the relative value of the objects of exchange comes to the fore in several anecdotes set in the Islamic period. We can begin to understand how the Islamic period valued and evaluated the poetic masterpieces of the Jāhiliyyah in the relative value assigned to Zuhayr’s poems and Harim’s prizes. Two anecdotes from the Kitāb al-Aghānī feature the stern and uncompromising second Orthodox Caliph, ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb (r. 13–23/634–644):

‘Umar said to one of the sons of Harim, “Recite to me some of the panegyric that Zuhayr composed for your father.” So he recited some to him. Then ‘Umar said, “He certainly did well by you in his speech!” “And, by God,” Harim’s son replied, “we certainly did well by him in our gifts!” “Yes, but what you gave him is long gone,” retorted the Caliph, “while what he gave you [i.e., qaṣīdahs] still remains!” 41

A variant conveys the same idea in terms of the ḥulal (robes of honor; sets of clothes, sing. ḥullah) that were one of the traditional gifts conferred on poets:

‘Umar said to [Ka’b] ibn Zuhayr, “Whatever became of the robes of honor that Harim bestowed upon your father?” “The passage of time wore them out,” he replied. “But,” retorted the Caliph, “the passage of time has not worn out the robes [i.e., qaṣādahs] that your father bestowed upon Harim!” 42

THE PRE-ISLAMIC AS PROTO-ISLAMIC

The term Jāhiliyyah signifies that the pre-Islamic past was for the Islamic generations a time of ignorance, but also impetuousness and immorality, before the mission of the last and best of the Prophets, Muḥammad, to whom the definitive word of Allah, the Qurʾān, was sent down. At the
same time, it was among these pre-Islamic Arabs that the Qurʾān was sent and in the powerful language in which they prided themselves that Allāh’s final revelations was expressed (the Qurʾānic bi-lisānin ʿarabīyyin mubīnin, “in a clear Arab tongue”) (al-Qurʾān al-Karīm [hereafter QK] 26:195). For Islamic culture, therefore, the pre-Islamic past possessed a certain ambiguity: on the one hand, it was a misguided and repudiated pagan age—perhaps best exemplified by the master poet Imruʿ al-Qays, “the leader of the poets into hell-fire”—and, on the other hand, it was the fertile moral and cultural seedbed for the new religion—what I term the “proto-Islamic” aspect of the Jāhiliyyah.43 Perhaps nothing better exemplifies the proto-Islamic perception of the Jāhiliyyah than the persona and poetry of Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmā, who, especially for his Muʿallaqah, was renowned for the celebration of the virtues of peace, and who makes mention therein of Allāh and of the Day of Reckoning (yawm al-ḥisāb):

You can never hide from Allāh what is in your souls, so it’s concealed;
Whatever you try to hide, Allāh will find out:
Either it will be postponed, put in a book, and stored till Reckoning Day;
Or else it will be summarily punished here on earth.44

The perception of Zuhayr as proto-Islamic must certainly be the intent of the story of his testament to his sons Kaʿb and Bujayr:

It is related that Zuhayr saw in his sleep a rope that hung down from heaven to the earth and people were holding onto it. But every time he tried to grab hold of it, it would recede from him. He interpreted this to mean that a prophet would come at the end of time and would be a mediator between God Almighty and mankind, but that his own life span would not reach the time of the prophet’s mission. So in his dying words to his sons he bade them to believe in this prophet when he appeared.45

What is most important here, however, is not so much the individual poet and his works, but rather the concept that the cultural inheritance of the Arabs was the root of Islamic culture. We read, for example, in Kitāb al-Aghānī:

When someone recited to ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb verses that Zuhayr had said praising Harim ibn Sinān:
Leave off that and turn to speak of Harim,  
The most seasoned of men, the lord of the settled tribes.

Had you been anything other than human  
You would have been the full moon that illumines the night.

Of all the men I’ve heard of, you are the one who binds most firmly  
The bonds of maternal and paternal kin.

How well you fill your coat of mail when the cry,  
“Dismount and fight!” is heard, and panic grips men’s hearts.

I see that you cut what you measure,  
While other men measure, but then don’t cut.

I praise you with what I know of you first-hand  
And with the reputation that precedes you for courageous deeds.

Veils hide vile deeds,  
But virtue is not veiled.

“That is the Messenger of God (pbuh)!” exclaimed ʿUmar.⁴⁶

This anecdote is telling us, in other words, that in the eyes of the Islamic age, it is as though the Jāhiliyyah produced madiḥ nabawī (praise to the Prophet) “avant la lettre.”

Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr’s Suʿād Has Departed

The first poem upon which the sobriquet “Mantle Ode” (Qaṣīdat al-Bur-dah) was conferred is the poem rhymed in lām that opens “Suʿād has departed” (bānat Suʿādu) by the renowned poet and scion of renowned poets, Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr.⁴⁷ According to the Islamic-Arabic literary tradition, Kaʿb presented his ode to the Prophet Muḥammad on the occasion of his conversion to Islam, given as 9 AH/630 CE, and, in turn, the Prophet conferred upon the poet his mantle (burdah). As is the case with the pre-Islamic tradition, we do not possess written sources for the events under discussion dating to the time of the Prophet. Rather, our written sources are from a century and a half or more later and record, therefore, the culturally sanctioned (re)collection and/or (re)construction of the poem and of the events that surround Kaʿb’s composition and performance of it before the Prophet.⁴⁸ It should be noted that the most canonical compendium of the
Prophet’s life, and the main source for the literary and poetic materials for our reading of Ka‘b’s Su‘ād Has Departed, is Ibn Hishām’s (d. 218/833) Al-Sirah al-Nabawiyyah, itself an edition of Ibn Ishāq’s (d. 150/767) Al-Sirah. The authoritative scholar Ibn Sallām al-Jumāhī (d. 231 or 232/845 or 846) credits Ibn Ishāq with expertise in Sīrah and Maghāzī (the military campaigns of the Prophet), but not in poetry, and castigates him for the uncritical inclusion in his Al-Sirah of all sorts of unattested poetry: “He corrupted and adulterated poetry... and included, in his biographical accounts, poems attributed to men who never composed a line of poetry, to women, and even to Ād and Thamūd.” My point is that we are dealing with the cultural formation of early Islam rather than historically verifiable events and poems.

I would like to explore how the art of the qaṣīdah transforms an actual event or circumstance (the conversion of the pagan Arab tribes to Islam) into ritual or myth, thus changing it from an ephemeral and transient occurrence to a permanent and transcendent message. Studies in the field of orality and literacy have demonstrated that the function of poetry, including all those devices we term “poetic,” is essentially mnemonic, for in nonliterate, oral societies the only way to preserve information is to memorize it. As Eric Havelock has shown, rhyme, meter, assonance, alliteration, antithesis, parallelism, etc., all serve to stabilize and preserve the oral “text.” The same holds true for simile, metaphor, and pun. Furthermore, we can add to these rhetorical elements the ritual and ceremonial structure of the classical Arabic qaṣīdah and the sequence of themes that traditionally occur within its structural units. That is, Havelock’s words “Ritualization becomes the means of memorization” are applicable to the formal structure of the qaṣīdah as well to its prosodic and rhetorical features. A further corollary of Havelock’s definition of “poetic” elements as essentially mnemonic is that Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s rhetorically rich and highly formal “pre-Islamic” qaṣīdah should have a greater claim to authenticity than the prose anecdotes and generic occasional Ayyām al-‘Arab poetry that accompany it in Al-Sirah, and therefore it anchors the rest of the materials. The poetization, i.e., the ritualization and mythicization of the historical event, in this case the mission of the Prophet Muḥammad and the conversion of the Arab tribes to Islam, does not, however, merely endow it with mnemonic stability, but, what is more important, it imbues it with
suprahistorical significance. It thus functions, as Havelock has shown for poetry in oral cultures and as we will argue here for Ka‘b’s qaṣidah, Su‘ād Has Departed, as “the instrument for the establishment of a cultural tradition.”

The gist of my argument will be that Ka‘b’s qaṣidah Su‘ād Has Departed and its surrounding literary anecdotes have the effect of ritualizing and mythicizing the poet’s conversion so that it encodes and embodies the conversion of the pagan Arabs of the Jāhiliyyah to Islam, and, moreover, the submission to Islam, or cooption by Islam, of the pre-Islamic poetic tradition. The Arabic poetic, and especially the panegyric, tradition becomes the major literary-ceremonial expression of Arab-Islamic legitimacy and allegiance. Furthermore, in my reading, Ka‘b’s Su‘ād Has Departed, as contextualized in the Sīrah literature, encodes a spiritual trajectory from despair and doubt to hope and redemption, so that it becomes, in the Arab-Islamic tradition, a paradigmatic devotional poem whose “performance” by the believer entails the reenactment of the same moral and spiritual journey. In this respect, we find, particularly in the Post-Classical period when the literary genre of madīḥ nabawī (praise poem to the Prophet) most flourished, myriad commentaries, contrafactions (mu‘āraḍāt, imitation poems in the same rhyme and meter), and expansions (tashṭīr, takhmīs, etc.), that serve as devotional performances and reenactments of the spiritual transformation perceived in Su‘ād Has Departed.

In examining this ode, and with it the anecdotal prose (akhbār, sing. khabar) and poetic (ash‘ār, sing. shi‘r) materials that accompany it in the classical Arabic literary tradition, I would like to demonstrate how the structure and themes of the tripartite pre-Islamic ode—the elegiac prelude (nasīb), the desert journey (raḥīl), and the praise section (madīḥ)—that we examined above are employed by Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr to express on the political level a transfer of allegiance from his tribe, the Banū Muzaynah, to the Prophet Muḥammad and the nascent Islamic state and, on the religious level, the conversion from the beliefs and mores of the Jāhiliyyah to Islam. In doing so we will take as our interpretative foundation the concept of the panegyric ode (qaṣidat al-madīḥ), presented in the introduction to this chapter, as comprising a multifaceted “ritual complex” that interweaves the poetics of supplication and submission and ritual and ceremonial patterns such as Mauss’s formulation of gift exchange and van Gennep’s of the rite of passage to form a complex social movement. Inseparable from this, as
we also established in the introduction, are the performative and performance aspects of the ode that, as a whole as well as in its parts, comprises speech acts effecting change of status, the cutting and binding of ties of allegiance, obligation, rites of incorporation, etc. Thus, the tripartite pattern of van Gennep’s rite of passage—Separation, Liminality, and Aggregation—will be aligned with the three parts of the qaṣīdah to highlight the change in status and the psychological transition involved in the conversion process. In order to more fully understand the symbolic ramifications of the poet’s offering a qaṣīdah and the Prophet’s conferring of his mantle to form a bond of allegiance between subject and ruler, and a promise of protection in this world and the next, we will draw once more on the insights of Mauss’s formulations concerning ritual exchange. Finally, attention to the performative aspects of the ode will help us understand how the verbal, physical, and symbolic actions of these rites enact and encode political, moral, and spiritual transformations.

THE CONVERSION NARRATIVE

Let us begin with the anecdotal and poetic context of Ka‘b’s presentation of his renowned ode, Su‘ād Has Departed, to the Prophet Muḥammad. The conversion narrative appears in the classical literary and religious sources, such as the Baghdādi philologist al-Sukkari’s (d. 275/888) collection of and commentary on Ka‘b’s poetry and the Egyptian scholar Ibn Hishām’s (d. 218/833 or 213/828) Life of the Prophet (Al-Sīrah al-Nabawiyah). A number of points of similarity are to be noted when we compare the materials concerning Ka‘b with those relating to al-Nābighah’s O Abode of Mayyah (above): the poet is in mortal danger, with a death sentence on his head; the qaṣīdah is presented as part of a ceremony of submission and declaration of allegiance; in both, the qaṣīdah plays a role in the redemption of the poet. Further, as will be discussed below, the story of Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s and his brother Bujayr’s conversion to Islam forms a prose conversion narrative that serves in the Arab-Islamic literary tradition to contextualize and elucidate the ritually structured conversion poem. We can appreciate how the process of oral transmission of pre-Islamic and early Islamic poetic and prose materials transforms the arbitrary and historical into the significant and mythical through Gregory Nagy’s remarks concerning the mythicization of the poet in the classical Greek tradition:
the identity of the poet as composer becomes progressively stylized, becoming ever further distanced from the reality of self-identification through performance. Once the factor of performance slips out of the poet’s control, even if the performers of his poetry have a tradition of what to say about the poet as a composer, nevertheless, the poet becomes a myth; more accurately, the poet becomes part of a myth, and the mythmaking structure appropriates his identity.\[^{60}\] [Emphasis mine]

Al-Sukkari’s version of the events surrounding Ka‘b’s presentation of his conversion ode, Su‘ād Has Departed, begins with the conversion of Ka‘b’s brother, Bujayr:

Bujayr ibn Abī Sulmá al-Muzanî converted to Islam, and his people, including his full brother Ka‘b, got angry with him. Bujayr met the Prophet during the Hijrah. Then Ka‘b sent the following message to him:

Take, you two, a message to Bujayr from me, “Did you accept what you said at al-Khayf, did you?\[^{61}\]
You drank with al-Ma‘mūn a thirst-quenching cup, He gave you a first draught, then a second.
You abandoned the ways of right guidance and followed him, To what was it, woe to you, that he guided you?
To a religion no father or mother of yours ever followed, Nor any one of your kinsmen!”

When these verses reached Bujayr, he recited them to the Prophet who exclaimed, “He speaks the truth, I am al-Ma‘mūn [the trustworthy one] and he is a liar; and certainly he found no father or mother of his following Islam.” Then Bujayr replied to his brother:

Who will take Ka‘b a message, “Will you accept the religion for which You falsely blame me, though it is the more judicious course?
To God alone, not to al-‘Uzzá nor al-Lāt, Make for safety, while you can, and submit,
Before a day when no one is safe or escapes from the Fire, Except the submissive Muslim, pure of heart.
To me the religion of Zuhayr—and it is nothing— And the religion of Abū Sulmá are now forbidden.”
When the Apostle of God returned to Medina from al-Ṭāʾif, Bujayr wrote to Kaʿb: “The Prophet is intent upon killing all of the polytheist poets who attack him, and Ibn al-Zabārā and Hubayrah ibn Abī Wahb [two poets of the Quraysh tribe] have fled. So if you have any use for your life, then turn to the Messenger of God, for he does not kill anyone who comes to him repentant. If you won’t do this, then flee to safety.” When Bujayr’s message reached him, Kaʿb became greatly distressed and anxious, and those in his tribe spread alarming news about him, saying, “He is as good as dead,” and the Banū Muzaynah [his clan] refused to shelter him. So he made his way to Medina and stayed with an acquaintance of his there. Then he came to the Apostle of God. The Prophet did not recognize him, so he sat down before him and said, “O Apostle of God, if I were to bring you Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr, repentant and submitting to Islam, would you accept him?” “Yes,” he replied. Then he said, “I am Kaʿb.” Suddenly one of the Anṣār [Medinese Helpers] leapt up and cried, “Let me cut off his head!” But the Prophet restrained him, whereupon Kaʿb recited his panegyrical to the Prophet.²²

The story of the conversion of Kaʿb and Bujayr, the two sons of the master poet of the Jāhiliyyah and paradigm of pre- or proto-Islamic virtue, Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmá, is one that points to the inner conflict involved in conversion. For embracing Islam involved the transfer of loyalties, renunciation of the inherited ancestral ethos of the Jāhiliyyah, of which Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmá had been the noblest embodiment, to a new religion founded by an orphaned upstart from the politically powerful Meccan tribe of Quraysh. The prose anecdotes and the poetic exchange that they contain first indicate that it is virtue, not inherent viciousness, that holds Kaʿb back from Islam: pietas, piety and devotion to the ways and religion of his ancestors. A closer look at the first poem indicates that, from the tribal point of view, Bujayr’s conversion is nothing but opportunistic treachery. For whereas from the Islamic point of view to be given a drink by or share a cup with the Messenger of God suggests the cup of immortal life, from Kaʿb’s perspective, it is the communal cup of tribal membership. In drinking from it Bujayr has renounced his own kin and the right guidance (al-hudâ) of the ancestral ways (vv. 3–4). Nor does Bujayr deny this in his reply: he has abandoned the goddesses of the Jāhiliyyah to worship the one God; the religion of his kinsmen is nothing to him; the religion of his grandfather, Abū Sulmá, he now considers forbidden. His reason: that on Judgment Day only the Muslim, pure of heart, will escape perdition.
That this is treachery by Jâhilî standards is clear if we compare it to the celebrated statement of that ethos by the last and greatest of the Jâhilî “Arab Knights” (fursân al-‘Arab), Durayd ibn al-Šimmah, who lived until the coming of Islam, but did not convert. When he advised his kinsmen, the Banû Ghaziyyah, against fighting on the side of the Meccan polytheists against the Prophet at the Battle of Ḥunayn (8/630), they rejected his advice. Nevertheless, out of loyalty, he led them in battle. Mortally wounded, his dying words, which became a byword for loyalty even against one’s better judgment and at the price of one’s life, were:

I gave my orders at the twisted sand dune,
But they did not see that I was right till the next morning.

When they defied me, I went along with them,
Although I saw their error and that I was led astray.

For am I not of the Banû Ghaziyyah? If they err, I err with them;
And if they are rightly guided, so am I.63

Returning to Ka‘b, we note that the prose narrative, further, constitutes a prosaic or literalist version of Ka‘b’s poem. The brief exchange of poems between Ka‘b and his brother Bujayr epitomizes the conversion process, with its renunciation of tribal religion and loyalty and its submission and allegiance to Islam and its promise of salvation. The Messenger of God has outlawed Ka‘b; his choice is to flee or else to submit and convert. The situation becomes clear to him when his own tribesmen consider him “as good as dead” (maqtūl) (which is the equivalent of disowning him, since it implies that they will neither defend nor avenge him), and finally his own clan, the Banû Muzaynah, refuse him shelter and protection. Ka‘b does not betray the ancestral ways; his kinsmen do. And it is only when he sees that the “religion of Abû Sulmá,” his grandfather, is no more and the old social order is extinct that he heads for Medina to embrace the new one, Islam, and submit to its Prophet. Inasmuch as the anecdotes serve as a commentary (sharḥ) to the qaṣīdah, they explain the poem as an expression of transfer of allegiance, as the poet’s renunciation of his former tribal allegiance and an oath of fealty
to the Prophet of Islam. That the poem is likewise a redemption payment is also apparent from the anecdotes, in Muhammad’s outlawing the poet and his kinsmen’s disowning him and declaring him maqtūl (already slain, as good as dead). It is the poem, then, that redeems Ka‘b, both in this world and, as Bujayr’s poem within the conversion narrative tells us, the next. To make the point more explicit, the anecdotes present a “prosaic” (in both senses of the word) version of “salvation.” They depict Muhammad as saving Ka‘b’s life in this world by restraining the zealous Anšārī (the Anšār, “Helpers,” were the Medinese supporters of the Prophet) who would behead him on the spot. Further, as the Prophet’s acceptance of the poet into the Islamic fold implies and as his saving him from the Anšārī’s sword symbolizes, he confers salvation in the world to come. As if to make the exchange of his life for his poem explicit, it is at precisely this point, according to the anecdotal narrative, that Ka‘b recites his renowned ode.

In terms of the ritual patterns on which our interpretation of this qaṣīdah is based, a rite of passage from the Jāhiliyyah to Islam is clearly detectable in this prose narrative: the Separation of the poet-passenger, Ka‘b, from a previous social state (the tribal loyalties of the Jāhiliyyah); Liminality, Ka‘b’s dangerous “betwixt and between” outlaw status in which his tribesmen refuse him protection, on the one hand, and the Prophet threatens him with death on the other; Aggregation, that is, the poet-passenger’s incorporation into a new community with the rights and obligations that this entails—in particular his submission to the Prophet and the Prophet’s protection of him. The elements of the Supplicatory Ritual are in evidence as well, the poet’s Loss of his Jāhili tribal position, his Self-Abasement and Submission to the Prophet, his Supplication of the Prophet and his Praise of the one supplicated, that is, the poem of praise (qaṣīdat al-madhī). It should be clear from the complex of ritual patterns inherent in the conversion narrative that it is not a mere recounting of events, but what we have termed a mythicization of the events so that they convey a permanent and transcendent spiritual message. Those who read the conversion narrative at a merely literal level and proceed to condemn Ka‘b’s poem as a clever stratagem to save his skin have missed the point, both of the narratives and of the poem.⁶⁴
Although differing somewhat in its proportions and its choice of traditional themes, Ka‘b’s ode follows the same full formal tripartite structure of the pre-Islamic panegyric ode that we presented in the Jāhilī examples of the introduction. The poem opens with 1) the elegiac prelude (*nasīb*): vv. 1–12, constructed on the traditional motif of the bereft lover describing his departed mistress, Su‘ād (Felicity), first her beauty, then her perfidy. This is followed by 2) the journey section (*raḥīl*): vv. 13–32/33, devoted to a detailed description of the fortitude of the she-camel that alone can reach the distant dwelling of Su‘ād (which now must mean the “felicity” of Islam), a final passage comparing the she-camel’s unrelenting gait to the violent lamentations of a bereft mother, and the conclusion that Ka‘b, abandoned by his kinsmen, is “as good as dead.” The poem concludes with 3) the praise section (*mādīḥ*): vv. 33/34–55, comprising the poet’s submission and declaration of allegiance to the Prophet Muḥammad and his conversion to Islam (vv. 33–41), a comparison of the Prophet with a lion (vv. 42–48), and praise of the Emigrants (Muhājrūn), the early group of loyal Muslims who accompanied the Prophet Muḥammad on the Hijrah from Mecca to Medina (vv. 49–55).

The significance of this three-part structural sequence is perhaps most easily grasped if viewed in light of the van Gennepian formulation of the rite of passage. The elegiac prelude, desert journey, and praise (with submission and conversion) can be aligned, respectively, with the Separation from a past social state, the outcast Liminality phase outside the bonds and bounds of society, and the Incorporation phase in which the passenger (re)enters society, but with a new status, rights, and obligations. As mentioned above, my interpretation is that the rite of passage pattern inherent in this ode not only conveys the conversion of Ka‘b from his ancestral tribal religion to the new religion proclaimed by the Prophet Muḥammad, but also operates emblematically in Islamic tradition to convey the cultural transition from the Jāhiliyyah to Islam.

**Kā‘b ibn Zuhayr’s Su‘ād has Departed**

**Part 1: Lyric-Elegiac Prelude (*nasīb*) (vv. 1–12)**

1. Su‘ād has departed and today
   my heart is sick,
   A slave to her traces,
   unransomed and enchained.
2. On the morning of departure
when her tribe set out,
Su’ād was but a bleating antelope
with languid gaze and kohl-lined eye.

3. When she smiles she flashes
side teeth wet
As if with a first draught of wine
or with a second,

4. Mixed with cool water from a wadi’s bend,
in a pebbled streambed limpid
And sparkling in the noontime sun,
chilled by the north wind,

5. Cleansed by the winds
of all dirt and dust,
And by white cumuli left overflowing
with a night cloud’s rain.

6. Alas! what a mistress, had she been true
to what she promised,
Had true advice not gone
unheeded.

7. But she is a mistress
in whose blood are mixed
Calamity, mendacity,
inconstancy, and perfidy.

8. She never stays the same
but is as mutable
As the ghūl in her garb
ever-changing.

9. Nor does she hold fast love’s bond,
once she has claimed it,
Except as sieves
hold water.

10. The false promises of ‘Urqūb
were her model;
Her promises were nothing except
empty prattle.

11. I hope and pray that in the end
they’ll be fulfilled,
But they will remain forever
unfulfilled.

12. Don’t be deceived by the desires
she aroused, the promises she made,
For hopes and dreams
are a delusion.
The Lyric Elegiac Prelude (Nasib): Verses 1–12. Ka‘b’s elegiac prelude is constructed of the traditional motif of the bereft lover describing his departed mistress, here Su‘ād. If we note the derivation of the name Su‘ād, from the root s-r-d, whence sa‘ādah, prosperity, good fortune, happiness, felicity,67 it is possible to read this passage allegorically as an elegy to a by-gone Golden Age, an elegy to the Jāhiliyyah. Su‘ād and all her etymological baggage—prosperity, happiness, good fortune—have departed. The forlorn poet is left behind, raving over the traces of the now-empty encampment. In the context of our argument, this must be read as a metaphor for the poet’s political situation: the ethos of the Jāhiliyyah is obsolete; the poet has been abandoned and betrayed by his kinsmen. He is “unransomed, unredeemed” (lam yufda), or, as al-Sukkārī’s recension has it, “unrequited” (lam yujza).68 On the surface this diction refers metaphorically to Su‘ād’s failure to return the poet-lover’s affections in kind; on a deeper level the literal usage suggests the treachery of kinsmen who have failed in their reciprocal obligations to him, which are, above all, to ransom and to avenge.

In the description of the lost “felicity” that ensues, two aspects of the beloved are emphasized: first, in verses 2–5, her beauty and purity: her glance like the antelope’s, her flashing teeth that taste of the finest wine mixed with the cool limpid waters of rain-fed mountain streams. In verses 6–12, however, purity gives way to perfidy: beautiful as the promise of “felicity” may have been, it was ultimately a broken one.69 We can detect in Ka‘b’s nasīb the complex of traditional motival elements that we saw in The Tribe Set Out, the panegyric of his father, Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmā: the departed beloved, the poet’s passion, the cutting of bonds, the breaking of promises. As in al-Nābīghah’s O Abode of Mayyah with the cases of Sulaymān, Lubad, and Zarqā’ al-Yamāmah, here too the poet draws upon mythic-folkloric materials, a process that anchors the poem in deeper and broader layers of culture, even as it serves as vehicle and vessel to convey and preserve that culture. In verse 8, Su‘ād’s inconstancy is likened to that of the Protean ghūl. Of this creature the lexicographer Ibn Manzūr (d. 711/1311–12) writes, “ghūl, pl. ghīlān, is a species of shayṭān or jinn. The Arabs claim that the ghūl appears to people in the desert and then transforms itself, that is, it changes into all sorts of different shapes; then it takes them away, that is, leads them astray and kills them.”70 In the classical Arabic literary lore, the ghūl appears most characteristically in the anecdotes of the pre-Islamic su‘lūk (brigand) poet Ta‘abbaṭa Shar-
ran, in which the siren-like (female) *ghūl* assumes the form of a hideous and deformed sphinx-like hybrid.\(^71\)

Verse 10 describes Suʿād’s faithlessness with the proverbial phrase “the [false] promises of ʿUrqūb” (*mawāʾidu ʿUrqūbin*). This proverb encapsulates the story of ʿUrqūb, which the philologist al-Maydānī (d. 518/1124) relates in his renowned collection of Arab proverbs (*Majmaʿ al-Amthāl*) as follows:

According to Abū ʿUbayd, ʿUrqūb was one of the Amalikites (ʿAmāliq). One day, one of his brothers came to him importuning him, and ʿUrqūb replied, “When this date palm puts forth shoots, you can have them.” When it put forth shoots and his brother came to claim what was promised him, ʿUrqūb said, “Leave them until they become green dates.” When the dates were green, he said, “Wait till they are colored.” When they were colored, he said, “Wait till they are ripe.” When they were ripe, he said, “Wait till they are dry.” When they dried, ʿUrqūb went out at night and gathered them and didn’t give his brother anything. Thus ʿUrqūb became proverbial for breaking promises.\(^72\)

Betrayed hopes and desires, deception and delusion complete the *nasīb*.

Given the Islamic context in which this *qaṣīdah* has come down to us, the closing verses of its *nasīb* are striking for two elements of diction that have an eminently Qurʾānic resonance. The first is *abāṭīlū* (“empty prattle,” rhyme word, v. 10), which occurs in the Qurʾān principally in the form *bāṭīl* (false, falsehood, vanity, lie) in association with *kufr* (disbelief) and in opposition to *ḥaqq* (truth) and *niʿmat Allāh* (God’s blessing) (QK 31:30; 22:62, etc.). The second is *tāḍīlīlū* (“delusion,” rhyme word, v. 12, from form II *ḍaḍillu*, to lead astray, into error), which occurs most often in the Qurʾān in form I *ḍallala* (polytheism), and *ẓulm* (oppression, injustice) and in opposition to *ḥudā* (right guidance) (QK 41:1; 13:14; 34:24; 4:116; 19:38; 53:30, etc.). The effect of employing moral diction that had or would come to have Qurʾānic associations is to point out the analogy between Qurʾānic and poetic discourse, to alert the audience to the poet’s true intent. For in the poet’s mind his kinsmen’s failure to fulfill the Jāhilī obligations toward kin reveals the bankruptcy, the ultimate falsehood and error, of the ancestral ways, and can be equated with the Qurʾānic *kufr* and *shirk* (unbelief and polytheism).

Most curious in this *qaṣīdah*, and yet what most confirms our reading, are the transitional verses from the elegiac prelude (*nasīb*) to the
journey section (raḥīl) (vv. 13–14). Whereas we normally find the poet eschewing the distant and unattainable mistress and declaring his intention to seek his fortune elsewhere, verse 13 of Kaʿb’s poem states quite precisely that it is to regain “Suʿād” that he undertakes the desert journey. This only makes sense, both poetically and politically, if we take this to mean “a new Suʿād,” the “prosperity, good fortune, and felicity” of Islam. In terms of the poetics of supplication, the Loss element, in both its emotional and moral senses, is palpably present in this nasīb.

PART 2: DESERT JOURNEY (RＡＨＩＬ) (VV. 13–31)

13. Suʿād alit at nightfall in a land unreachable
But by the best of she-camels of noble breed and easy pace,
14. Never to be reached but by a she-camel huge and robust
That despite fatigue sustains her amble and her trot,
15. Sweat gushing from the glands behind her ears,
Eager for the nameless road, its way markers effaced,
16. With the eyes of a lone white antelope she pierces the unknown
When badlands and sand dunes blaze in high noon’s sun,
17. Stout where the pendant hangs, full where the shackle binds,
Her build, the best of all the stallions’ daughters,
18. Huge as a mountain, her sire her sibling, by a dam blood-stallion bred,
Her uncle by sire and dam the same, She is long-necked, brisk-paced.
19. The tick walks on her hide, but then the smoothness
Of her breast and flank makes it slip off.
20. Sturdy as the onager, her sides piled with meat,
Her knees set wide, clear of
the breastbone’s daughters,

21. As if her muzzle and
the two sides of her jaw
Between her eyes and throat
were an oblong stone.

22. She brushes with a tail
    like a stripped palm branch, tufted
    Over a dry udder,
    its milk ducts unimpaired,

23. Hook-nosed, in her ears the expert eye
discerns nobility of breed,
    In her two cheeks,
great smoothness.

24. Overtaking others, she speeds
    on legs lance-like and nimble,
    Like an oath annulled they barely
touch the ground,

25. Brown their sole sinews, they scatter
    pebbles in their wake,
    So tough no shoes protect them
    on the hilltops

26. On a day when the chameleon
    is as burnt as if
    His sun-scorched parts were bread
    baked on hot rock.

27. As if the repeating motion of her forelegs—
    when she is drenched in sweat
    And when the narrow mountain peaks
    are cloaked in the mirage,

28. And the camel driver, his song their goad,
says to the tribe
    When ashen locusts kick up pebbles,
    “Stop and rest,”—

29. At high noon were the arms of a woman
tall and middle-aged,
    Risen in lament, then others,
    near-barren and bereft, respond,

30. Wailing, arms flailing,
    when the heralds announced
    The death of her firstborn,
    bereft of reason,
31. Tearing her clothes from her breast
  with her bare hands,
  Her woolen shift ripped from her collarbone
  in shreds.

The Journey Section (Rahil): Verses 13–31. The entire journey section of Ka‘b’s ode is devoted not to the recounting of any particular journey but to the description of that certain she-camel of verse 13 that alone can reach the distant dwelling of “Su‘ād.” As we saw in the introduction, this ostensibly realistic and visual depiction of the physical and instinctual attributes of the poet’s mount must be understood allegorically as ultimately referring to the poet’s own resolve, his inner moral fortitude. For Ka‘b’s passage, the transition from the pagan pietas of the Jāhiliyyah to Islam, is primarily a moral and political, not a physical, journey. Thematically, in its focus on the camel description and journey, this rahil most resembles that of ‘Alqamah’s A Heart Turbulent with Passion, lacking, as does his, the extended animal simile, such as the oryx bull of al-Nābighah’s O Abode of Mayyah, or Zuhayr’s The Tribe Set Out. Ka‘b’s camel mare is thus described as being “the best,” “of noble breed and easy pace” (v. 13), huge, indefatigable (v. 14). The sense of aspiration (ḥimmah), usually attributed to the poet himself at the end of the elegiac prelude or at the beginning of the journey section, appears in verse 15 as an attribute of his mount. For ʿurḍah (suited to, fit for) is taken by the commentators to mean ḥimmah (ambition, aspiration, zeal).73 To be “fit” or “eager” for the unknown road whose way markers are obliterated then indicates an instinctive sense of direction, which serves as a figure for the poet’s “moral compass.” Undaunted by the midday heat, her glance pierces the unknown (v. 16).

Verse 17 describes the she-camel in terms that define her domestication, for if she combines the attributes of wild animals—the gazelle’s piercing glance (v. 16), the onager’s sturdiness (v. 19)—these have been tamed to serve mankind: her neck is thus termed muqallad (II pass. part. as noun of place), the place-where-the-halter (qilādah, more precisely, what is hung about the neck of beasts being brought to Mecca for sacrifice, Lane, q-l-d)-goes; her pastern muqayyad, the place-where-the-shackle (qayd)-goes. The best qualities of the natural world have been harnessed to serve the cultural or even sacrificial one. The same idea is
given voice in verse 18, where the natural law of survival of the fittest is displaced by the cultural laws of animal husbandry. This camel mare is “in and inbred twice” (Sells’s translation), so inbred that she was sired on her dam by her brother, and her maternal uncle is her paternal uncle too (v. 18). Verse 22 seems likewise to allude to nature serving culture: her tail is likened to a stripped palm branch, that is, a plant domesticated; her dry udder indicates perhaps first of all that she is barren, and such she-camels make sturdier mounts, but further that she serves to transport humans: she is not a milch camel, nor has she perpetuated her own species. By contrast it is her animal strength that is emphasized in verse 25: her pads are so tough that even on the roughest ground they need no shoes. This message of the subordination of brute strength to cultural imperatives applies to the poet/passenger as well.

Most curious here is verse 24. As a simple simile it is quite appropriate: so nimble is the she-camel’s pace that her feet look as though they are about to touch the ground, but are raised again so quickly that it seems they never do—like an oath about to be fulfilled, then suddenly annulled. Read in the context of verses 6–12, however, it seems that what speeds the poet on his journey is the annulment or abrogation of the obsolete ethos of the Jāhiliyyah. In all, the mixture of natural and cultural attributes amounts to an apt characterization of the she-camel as “betwixt and between” categories and classes: the most domesticated of the beasts, she is yet the most beast-like of the domesticated animals in physical power and strong instincts. She thus embodies the liminal qualities of the poet/passenger himself who must make the transition from the law of the Banū Muzaynah to the law of God’s Messenger. Verse 26 alludes to the purifying, purgative aspect of the liminal journey through a transformation from living flesh to baked bread. The effect of heat and hardship is to weed out the weak and unfit; the strong survive, but transformed and reborn.

The final passage of the journey section (vv. 27–31) consists of an unusual simile which likens the relentless motion of the she-camel’s forelegs in the hottest part of the day—when camel-caravans normally stop to rest, when locusts, lest they burn their feet, kick aside the scorching pebbles to find cooler ground—to that of the bereaved mother’s arms as she frantically tears at her clothes. The more common simile in the journey section of the qaṣīdah is, for example, the likening of the she-camel to the oryx bull who endures a cold and rainy night only to be
attacked at daybreak by the hunter and his hounds, as we saw in al-Nābighah’s and Zuhayr’s poems, or the pathos-laden simile of the oryx doe whose calf has been slain by wolves, who, as in the oryx bull simile, likewise suffers through a cold and rainy night only to fight off a hunter and hounds when morning comes, for example in the Mu‘ًallaqah of Labid.74 In Kb’s ode the more familiar similes are replaced by an image of grief and loss that is at once instinctual and ritual: the mythic dementia of the Middle Eastern female lamentation (Adonis, the Three Maries, etc.). This culturally conditioned hysteria triggered by the slain youth endows the female mourners with the strength to shred their clothes with their bare hands, to tear their hair and scratch their faces. It is precisely the “unnatural” strength that the ritual dementia of female mourning unleashes that is likened to the unflagging energy of the she-camel. And it is ultimately the poet’s own relentless drive, spurred on by the bereavement of the elegiac prelude, that is implicit in this simile and explicitly expressed in the coming verses (32–33). The bereft woman is termed “middle-aged” (nafa), which implies that she is no longer of childbearing age, thereby intensifying her sorrow through the irreplaceable loss of her firstborn (v. 30). Her sister mourners are likewise “near-barren” (nukd) and “bereft” (mathakil) (v. 29). This powerful image of bereavement contributes to the Supplicatory element of Loss already detected in the nasib.

Then, too, given the anecdotal context and the poetic text itself, and the implied ritual of “death and rebirth” that is expressed in the perilous liminal journey of the rite of passage pattern, this description suggests the poet’s vision of his own mother and womenfolk mourning his death.75 In this respect it adds to the liminal sense that the poet is in mortal danger and risks his life in approaching the Prophet of Islam. At the same time, it expresses the symbolic “death” of the poet as the necessary precursor to his salvation and “rebirth.”

PART 3: PRAISE (MADĪḤ) (Vv. 32–55)

32. My slanderers at her two sides
denounced me saying,
“You, O Son of Abū Sulmá, are
as good as dead.”
33. And every trusted friend in whom
I put my hopes
Said, “I cannot help you, I am occupied
with other things.”
34. So I replied, “Out of my way,
you bastards!”
For all that the All-Merciful decrees
will come to pass!
35. For every man of woman born,
though he be long secure,
Will one day be borne
on humpbacked bier.
36. I was told God’s Messenger
had threatened me,
But from God’s Messenger
pardon is hoped.
37. Go easy, and let Him be your guide
who gave to you
The gift of the Qurʾān in which
are warnings and discernment!
38. Don’t hold me to account for what
my slanderers have said,
For, however great the lies against me,
I have not sinned!
39. I stood where I saw and heard
what would have made
The mighty pachyderm,
had it stood in my stead,
40. Quake with fear unless
the Messenger of God,
By God’s leave,
granted it protection,²⁶
41. Until I placed my right hand,
without contending,
In the hand of an avenger,
his word the word.
42. He is more dreaded by me
when I speak to him
And I am told, “You will be questioned
and must answer,”
43. Than a lion,
snapping and rapacious,
Its lair in ʿAthtar’s hollow,
thicket within thicket,
44. Who in the morning feeds flesh
to two lion whelps
That live on human flesh,
flung in the dust in chunks,
45. Who when it assaults its match
is not permitted
To leave its match
unnotched,
46. For whom the braying onager
falls silent,
In whose wadi no hunters
stalk their prey,
47. In whose wadi lies an honest man,
his weapons and torn clothes
Flung in the dust,
his flesh devoured.
48. The Messenger is surely a sword
from whose flash light is sought,
One of the swords of God,
an Indian blade unsheathed,
49. In a band of Qurashis whose spokesman
said to them in Mecca’s hollow
When they submitted to Islam,
“Depart!”
50. They departed, but no weaklings
departed with them,
None who flee the battle,
one unsteady in the saddle, none unarmed.
51. Haughty, high-nosed champions,
who on battle day
Don shirts
of David’s weave,
52. White, ample, their rings
interlocking
As if they were the qaf‘ā’ plant’s
interlocking rings.
53. They walk as the white camels walk
when kept in check by blows,
While the stunted black ones
go astray.77
54. Neither jubilant when their spears
strike down a tribe,
Nor distraught when
they are struck,
55. The spear does not pierce them except in the throat,
Nor do they shrink from death’s water troughs.

The Praise Section (Madih): Verses 32–55. At verse 32 there occurs a sudden break in subject and style that signals what we have termed in the introduction the “ritual core” of the poem, which in this case forms a transitional passage that bridges over to the madīh section. The poet abruptly shifts from the lexically rich and metaphorically dense poetic metalanguage that has characterized the elegiac prelude and the journey section to a stripped-down, univocal, apparently “prosaic” style, as we observed in verses 21–22 of ‘Alqamah’s A Heart Turbulent with Passion and verses 37–43 of al-Nābighah’s O Abode of Mayyah, the ritual core of the poem. He describes his calumniators, by the two sides of his she-camel, who declare that he is “as good as dead” (maqtūl). A ritual pattern of death and rebirth, or rite of passage, is discernible in these verses (32–36): they begin with the poet’s Separation or social “death” in the eyes of his friends and kinsmen, and, as we saw in the case of ‘Alqamah and his slain king, a loss of hope as a former allegiance fails and is cut off (v. 33). If the poet’s passive suffering of his kinsmen’s betrayal of trust is the subject of verses 32 and 33, verses 34 and 35 present the poet-passenger’s forceful act of will as he cuts the ties from his side now (“Out of my way!”) and surrenders himself to the will of the All-Merciful—an expression of hope. It is followed in verse 35 by the concomitant expression not so much of fear as of resignation to his fate. The important point in terms of our discussion of ritual and especially of the emotional trajectory of the qaṣīdah is the poet-passenger’s reiteration of the act of will and determination that first appeared at the transition from the nasīb to the raḥil.

We must be attuned to the expressly performative nature of the speech acts of verses 32–35. When the poet-passenger’s slanderers, i.e., kinsmen, denounce him saying “You are as good as dead” (v. 32), they declare that he is socially dead to them—i.e., with the utterance of this expression, the poet-passenger becomes an outcast, a “non-person,” to whom they will no longer offer the protection due a kinsman; in modern
terms, a “stateless person” whom no government is obligated to protect. Again, it is a speech act: the excommunication comes into effect with the uttering of these words. So, too, the poet-passerger’s reply of verses 34–35: when he cries “Out of my way” and accepts his probable fate (death), he is, on his side, cutting his tribal bond and entering a dangerous social no-man’s-land, a liminal state. He accepts the inevitability of death (v. 35) even as invocation of the “All-Merciful” (v. 34) hints at a hope for salvation and clearly points the direction in which the poet-passerger is headed. These performative verses of the cutting of tribal bonds thus set the stage for the tying of new bonds that duly takes place in verses 36–41. If verses 32–35 express the Supplicatory element of Loss, then we can expect the remaining elements to follow in short order. Likewise, in terms of the rite of passage, the poet, having encapsulated in verses 32–35, in the simplified language of the ritual core, the Separation and Liminality phases, can now be expected to complete the Incorporation phase as he enters into the mādīḥ (praise) section of the ode. Not unexpectedly, then, this passage reaches its dramatic climax in verse 36 where in the most forceful but simple diction the Messenger of God is twice invoked—once in terms of fear (“has threatened me”) and again in terms of hope (“pardon is hoped”).

The passage of verses 32–36 is set off not only by the abrupt change in style (diction, etc.) that occurs between verses 31 and 32, but also by the maintenance throughout the five verses of the Form I passive participial form (māfʿūl) as the rhyme word: māqṭūlū (slain); māshghūlū (occupied); mafʿūlū (enacted); māhmūlū (borne); maʾmūlū (hoped for). The effect of this morphological repetition is rendered especially emphatic by the fact that the long vowel preceding the rhyme-consonant (termed ṭīlū) can be interchangeably -īlū or -ūlū and is apparently randomly varied throughout the poem, except in this passage where all lines end in -ūlū. Here the rhyme functions semantically as well as acoustically, for it brackets the conversion that generated the entire qaṣīdah from māqṭūlū (slain) in verse 32 to maʾmūlū (hoped for) in verse 36, from death to hope. The transition from lost hope (v. 33, cf. also vv. 11–12) to hope renewed, from death—as portrayed in the bereft woman simile and the denunciation of Kaʾb’s calumniators (vv. 29–32)—to rebirth, points once again in the direction of the ritual form and antecedents of Kaʾb’s qaṣīdah, and also to its ultimate intent. In terms of the Supplicatory Ode, the elements of Loss,
Self-Abasement/Submission and Supplication all make their appearance in this ritually condensed passage: Loss is most explicit in verses 32–33 in the form of the poet-suppliant’s lost hope and trust in his erstwhile friends and natal tribe. Self-Abasement/Submission and Supplication before the Prophet are all in evidence in the strikingly condensed formulation of fear and hope of verse 36.

The poet begins by claiming that he was falsely accused by his calumniators who would see him dead (v. 32). He then presents himself before the Prophet as a helpless supplicant, betrayed by friends or kinsmen in whom he had put his hope and trust (v. 33). In both verses the poet is portrayed as passive victim—he hopes (“in whom I put my hope,” ʿāmuluhū) (active), but is rebuffed. This is in contrast to verses 34–35 in which the forceful active voice of verse 34, “So I said (fa-qultu) ‘Out of my way! . . . ,’” makes it clear that the poet has some hand in his own salvation—i.e., that he is in some sense worthy. But—and this is the essence of “Islām”—the poet’s forceful act is to submit to God’s will, to admit his own mortality. Moreover, he must be willing to sacrifice his own life, and this is precisely the intention of verse 34: that he will face possible death and leave the outcome in God’s hands. It is this sacrifice that is the necessary requirement for the poet’s redemption. To put it more clearly, the drama of Kaʿb’s submission, (Islām/Islam), and its moral value as well, requires that he face the risk of death to do it. This, as pointed out above, is made abundantly, if prosaically, clear in the anecdotal passage cited above by the attack of the Anṣārī zealot. Without this element of risk, of putting his life in God’s hands, Kaʿb’s conversion would have been mere opportunism. The poet does make some attempt to positively dispose the Almighty when, in verse 34, he chooses of the epithets of God “al-Raḥmān” (the Merciful).

The poet’s act of Submission (Islām)/Self-Abasement and Supplication are expressed with masterful concision in verse 36: ʿunbiʿtu ʿanna rasūla Allāhi ʿawʿadanī / wa-al-ʿafwu ʿinda rasūli Allāhi maʿmūlū (I was told God’s Messenger had threatened me / But from God’s Messenger pardon is hoped). The first hemistich expresses Muḥammad’s power; the second Kaʿb’s submission to it. Verse 36 bears a close resemblance to the cognate passages in ‘Alqamah (vv. 21–22) and al-Nābighah (vv. 41–43) and like those pre-Islamic antecedents comprises a strikingly succinct expression of supplication, self-abasement, and declaration of allegiance. It also shares their stylistic characteristics: simplicity of diction combined with rhetori-
cal complexity. In Ka‘b’s verse this takes the form of antithesis imbedded in chiastic (abba) structuring. The chiasmus proceeds from a passive configuration of soft consonants n, b, n, then “Rasūl Allāh” (Messenger of God), to climax with the harsher and active w, d of the threat; its antithesis, pardon, also in c, w, d, begins the counter-movement, followed by another “Rasūl Allāh,” and the chiasmus then concludes as it opened with the passive in the soft liquids m and l. “Islām” as willing self-effacement is conveyed through the use of the passive voice. Whereas in verse 33 the poet says, “I hope” (ṭāmulu) in the first person active, in verse 36, when confronting the Messenger of God, the poet hardly dares to hope, but employs instead the passive participle ma‘mūl (to be hoped for). “I was informed that the Messenger of God had threatened me” (‘unbi’tu . . . ‘aw‘adani)—the poet is passive subject (nā‘ib fā‘il) and direct object (mafūl bih), but no longer actor/agent (fā‘il).

Through the parallel placement of Rasūl Allāh (Messenger of God) in the middle of each hemistich this verse expresses both recognition and submission. The rhetorical power of this repetition is all the more striking in that no mention has been made of Muḥammad up until now. The way was perhaps prepared by the mention of the All-Merciful in verse 34, but it is only with verse 36 that the poet’s shahādah (creed) is completed. The religious message, the essence of Islamic faith, is also stated here: God, as represented by His Messenger, threatens perdition—an expression of might—but at the same time is capable of pardon, forgiveness. It is the submission to this divine power and authority and the hope for His mercy that constitutes Islam. Verse 36 is thus rhetorically, acoustically, and semantically the crux of the qaṣīdah, what Arabs term bayt al-qaṣīd.

It is evident that this core passage of Ka‘b’s qaṣīdah, inasmuch as it offers a concise statement of the ritual paradigm that informs the whole work, is, like Mauss’s ritual exchange, a total social phenomenon. Simultaneous expression is given to all kinds of institutions: the poet redeems himself (economic), he swears his fealty to the new order (sociopolitical), he recognizes God’s Messenger and submits to Islam (religious, moral) through a qaṣīdah whose power, value, and durability are functions of its artistry (aesthetic). The mythological aspect is expressed in the mythic/ritual pattern of death and rebirth (maqtūl → ma‘mūl); its mythogenic aspect, as will be discussed further on, in its creation of the
conversion of Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr as a mythos of the transition of Arab society from the Jāhiliyyah to Islam.

The tension of the climax of verse 36 is broken in verse 37 by its opening word *mahlan* ("go easy") and equally by a relaxation of the rhetorical intensity and the alteration of the rhyme from the fivefold repetition in the rhyme of the *maf*ūlū pattern to *taf*īlū (*taf*īlū, discernment), after which the poem resumes an apparently random alternation of the *ridf* of the rhyme between *i* and *ū*. The verse comprises a Supplication in which the poet-suppliant submits to the *mamdūh*, the Prophet, but at the same time invokes a higher authority, Allāh. The poet exhorts the Prophet to follow the guidance of God and the Qurān and to go easy on him. At this point the near identity of key phrases in verses 36–37 of Ka‘b’s poem to verses 41–42 of al-Nābighah’s alerts us to the fact that we are dealing not merely with poetic influence (allusion or intertextuality), but also with explicit elements of established ritual and ceremony. Thus we find the pattern: *‘unbi’tu ‘anna . . . ‘aw‘adani . . . mahlan . . .* in al-Nābighah:

41. *I’ve been told* that Abū Qābūs *has threatened me,*
    And no one can withstand the lion when it roars.

42. *Go easy* on me! May the tribes, all of them, be your ransom,
    And all my increase both of herds and progeny!

And in Ka‘b:

36. *I was told* God’s Messenger *had threatened me,*
    But from God’s Messenger pardon is hoped.

37. *Go easy,* and let Him be your guide who gave to you
    the gift of the Qurān in which are warnings and discernment!

These are really nothing but two interrelated aspects of the *qaṣīdah*-as-ritual. On the literary side, the allusion to a renowned masterpiece creates a mythic concordance between the supplicant/supplicated pairs: al-Nābighah/King al-Nu‘mān and Ka‘b/the Prophet Muḥammad. The effect of this is to confer poetic authority on Ka‘b and moral-political authority on Muḥammad. On the ritual side these flagged terms signal a specific step in the ritual of Supplication. We also see more clearly the relation between Supplication and Submission: that is, what we have termed Self-Abasement is really the submission to the superior might or authority of the one supplicated that serves, in turn, as a necessary pre-
requisite to the supplication or petition. Thus we witness in this *qaṣīdah* the Islamic cooptation of Jāhili ritual and ceremonial forms to buttress the authority of the Prophet and the nascent Islamic state by identifying them with the authoritative models of the past.

Verses 38–41 constitute a reiteration of the ritual pattern of the poet’s conversion (*islām*). The message is bracketed in rhyme words from the same root (*q-w-l*, to speak), each made more emphatic by *tajnis* (paronomasias, verbal play on words of the same or related roots) within the verse: *aqwāl* and *aqāwīlū* in verse 38 refer to the fabrications and false accusations of the poet’s calumniators; in verse 41 *qīluhu al-qīlū* refers to the veracity and authority of the Prophet’s speech, his power and intention to carry out what he says. The direction of the passage is thus from falsehood to truth. The terror and danger of the transition are expressed in the “fear and trembling” of the poet, or elephant, before God’s Messenger (vv. 39–40). We must keep in mind here, as Maḥmūd ‘Alī Makkī points out, the use of the elephant as a formidable and terrifying beast of battle, as is conveyed in the elephant of the army of the South Arabian king Abrahah, when he attacked Mecca, in what was termed the Year of the Elephant (traditionally considered the year of the Prophet Muḥammad’s birth) (cf. the Sūrah of the Elephant/QK 105 Sūrat al-Fīl), or the elephants in Hannibal crossing the Alps. The point here is that the *mamdūḥ*, Muḥammad, has power over life and death, and only with his granting *kāb* protection does the poet’s fear abate. Verse 40 reiterates in *yur‘adu . . . tanwilū* (quake with fear . . . granting [protection]), the same antithesis as verse 36 between the threat of punishment and hope for forgiveness.

We can draw yet another comparison between al-Nābighah’s *qaṣīdah* and Ka‘b’s: although both poems are classified in Arabic as *i‘tidhāriyyah* (poem of apology) the wrongdoer-suppliant does not confess and beg forgiveness, as we would understand the term, but rather, the poetic ritual seems to require that the offender deny the accusations against him and swear that he is the victim of calumniators and slanderers and, on that ground, beg for mercy (al-Nābighah, vv. 39–40; Ka‘b, v. 38). As in the case of al-Nābighah, the explanation for this seems to lie in the “formal pretense and social pretension” (Mauss’s terms) that are essential to ritual and ceremonial (think of the white bridal gown). The apparent dramatic and narrative realism of these passages in both al-
Nābighah’s and Ka‘b’s qaṣīdahs is revealed by such parallel constructions to be instead the reenactment of an established ritual or ceremony. The closing verse of this passage, verse 41, is like verse 36 an expression of submission to authority. As for the meaning of the poet’s placing his hand in that of the Prophet without contending (waḍḍa‘tu yamīnī lā ‘unāzi‘uḥu fī kaffi . . .), the editor of al-Sukkāri’s recension explains: “That is, ‘I placed my right hand in his by way of submission, not contention’; i.e., he surrendered himself to him and acknowledged him. For the Arabs, when they swore an oath to something, would strike each other on the right hand.” To describe Muḥammad as an “avenger” or “exacting vengeance” (dhī naqīmātin) (naqīmah = vengeance, penal retribution, punishment, Lane, n-q-m) is to indicate that he is a man to be feared. If the ritual core is the poem’s performative nexus in terms of a speech act, verse 41 depicts the bodily enactment or physical performance of submission, of the sort that Paul Connerton terms “the choreography of authority.”

This entire passage (vv. 32–41) must be read, too, in light of verses 6–11 of the elegiac prelude. For there the Separation phase of the rite of passage is expressed as the failure or breakdown of trust, the abrogation of the Jāhilī social contract: mendacity, inconstancy, perfidy, the failure to fulfill promises. Of special interest here is the antithesis between “her promises were nothing except empty prattle” (mā mawā‘iduhā ʿillā al-ʿabāṭīlū) of verse 11 and “his word the word” (qīluhu al-qīlū), i.e., who keeps his word, whose word is law, which in the Islamic context can also refer to the Qur’ān—the ultimate speech of God conveyed to Muḥammad—of verse 41; failed hope as opposed to hope fulfilled; the seduction and misguidance (taḍālīlū) of misplaced trust (v. 12) as opposed to the right guidance (ḥadā, to guide aright), exhortation, and the discernment of right from wrong (tafṣīlū) (v. 37). The passage from verses 32 to 41 thus constitutes a ritual kernel whose form is the key to that of the qaṣīdah as a whole and whose conclusions provide the recompense for the loss expressed in the elegiac prelude. This movement from Loss to Fulfillment is expressed in a variety of ways, but especially in the movement from unfulfilled promise to fulfilled promise, and—in the most morally evocative and Qur’ānic of terms—from misguidance (taḍālīl) to right guidance (ḥudā). The effect is to identify the “falsehood”
of Su‘ād in the *nasib* with the falsehood of the poet’s slanderers and calumniators.

In the following passage (vv. 42–47) the subject is the fear engendered by the Messenger of God. The fear of the temporal judgment of Muhammad when Ka‘b revealed himself and his identity and had to answer for his deeds (v. 42) was bound to generate an “Islamic” reading, as indeed we find cited in al-Tibrīzī’s commentary. Although the text of the poem contains no explicit mention of the Day of Judgment or of the Afterlife, for the traditional Muslim reader this verse must have suggested both temporal and divine judgment, a reading reflected in the Bujayr poem of the conversion narrative. The elative form “He is more dreaded” (*la-dhāka ‘ahyabu*) serves as a pivot point for the poet to modulate from the “prosaic” style of the poem’s semantic core via the simile back into the imagery and diction of the poetic world. In terms of the Supplicatory Ritual, the theme of fear is an expression of Self-Abasement and Submission before the one supplicated, but it also serves here as a transition into the fourth requisite Supplicatory element, that is, Praise of the one supplicated.

The description of the warrior, by metaphor or simile, as a lion is a traditional one, as we have seen in Zuhayr’s The Tribe Set Out (v. 46). The rhetorical effect of the elative here is to magnify the Prophet and the fear he inspires. In terms of the present argument, this intensifies both sides of the fear/hope equation, for the value of a man’s mercy is directly commensurate with the power of his wrath: the mercy or pardon of a weakling is worthless. The lion that feeds flesh to its cubs is a metaphorical variant of the more common expression in the poetry of the warrior aristocracy, that of the warrior revitalizing his kin group by slaying the enemy, here captured with bold concision: ‘*ayshuhumā laḥmun* (literally, “their life is meat”) (v. 44).

The change from the object to the subject of the simile occurs in verse 45 in the change from the animal to the martial. Verse 46 appears to shift back to the lion, describing the terror, both instinctual and rational, that both beast (the onager) and man feel for the formidable lion. But the barrier between the subject and the object of the simile is becoming more and more attenuated. In the context of the *qaṣīdah* the ravaged corpse of verse 47 suggests what the poet’s own fate might have been had he contended with Muḥammad rather than submitting to him.
The concept of might as the prerequisite for generosity and mercy—so powerfully conveyed in the image of the lion feeding his cubs human flesh—was already apparent in the praise sections of the three pre-Islamic odes we examined in the introduction. The “lion of ‘Aththar’s Hollow” (v. 43) we have already seen in Zuhayr’s The Tribe Set Out (v. 46). In Ka’b’s poem the image of the warrior—Muḥammad—as a lion feeding human flesh to its two cubs serves to evoke both a sense of the poet-suppliant’s terror, as its victim, but also his hope for its protection and bounty.

The image of the Prophet as lion-warrior dominates the Praise element of the Supplicatory Rite of this ode even as it effectively buttresses the fear-hope axis of that rite. We should keep these ritual aspects of Ka’b’s ode and its pre-Islamic precedents in mind as the literary-ritual antecedents of the praise passages, especially the martial ones, of al-Buṣīrī’s Burdah (chapter 2) and Aḥmad Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah (chapter 3). The closing passage of Ka’b’s ode (vv. 48–55) opens with a final martial metaphor that depicts the Prophet as a sword of Allāh, an image that would later achieve great popularity in Islamic military and caliphal panegyric. Verses 48–50 constitute a semantic core of the poem much as do verses 32–36 and display the rhetorical features that we have come to associate with such sections. In verse 48 we find the description of the Prophet as the sword of Allāh structured in very simple, straightforward diction in chiastic (abba) form—‘inna al-rasūla la-sayfun yustadā’u bihi / muhammadun min suyūfi Allāhi maslūlū (The Messenger is surely a sword from whose flash light is sought, / One of the swords of God, an Indian blade unsheathed)—to reiterate the Islamic message of right guidance and divine might. This leads into a description of the Emigrants (Muhājirūn), Muḥammad’s early Meccan supporters who emigrated with him from Mecca to Medina. This migration, the Hijrah, is presented here as a spiritual one, for the Emigrants, for Ka’b at least, are the core of Muḥammad’s tried and true followers. The passage that they make is thus identified with Islam itself. Four words from verses 49–50, ‘aslamū zūlū / zālū fa-mā zāla (whose spokesman [Muḥammad?] said when they submitted to Islam, “Depart!” They departed, but the weaklings, etc., did not depart), constitute a remarkably concise definition of Islam and, at the same time, a separation of the wheat from the chaff: those who obey the Prophet’s command are Muslims and heroes; those who do not are “damned” cowards. In Ka’b’s hands the Hijrah is thus
above all a moral test that functions as a passage from the Jâhiliyyah to Islam, and, as in all such rites, only the morally and physically strong complete the passage. Ka‘b’s conception of the Hijrah as a crucial initiatory rite in the formation of an Islamic community helps us understand why its date is taken as the beginning of the Islamic calendar.

In the ensuing description of the Emigrants that closes the poem (vv. 51–55), the poet once more reimmerses himself in the diction and imagery of the classical qaṣīdah. The Emigrants form an elite of proud high-nosed warriors, clad in chain mail of David’s weave (Dāwūd, identified with King David of the Bible and Qurʾān, is credited in Islamic lore with being the first to make chain-mail) (vv. 51–52). In verse 53 the Emigrants in their battle ranks are described as a troop of majestic white camels that are kept in line by the stick, whereas the scrawny black ones, traditionally said to refer to the Medinese Helpers (Anṣār) of the Prophet, “stray from the road” (‘arrada), i.e., flee the field of battle.83 Despite the apparent Jâhili imagery and diction and political reference to the Munâfiqūn (Hypocrites, those members of the early Islamic community who shirked going into battle with Muḥammad), the allusion in the Islamic moral context must certainly be to the antithesis in the opening sura (Sūrat al-Fāṭiḥah) of the Qurʾān (1:7) between those God blesses and “guides on the straight path” (iḥdinā al-ṣirāṭa al-mustaqīm) and the misguided (al-ẓāllīn) with whom He is angry (see above on ḏālāl and hudā). Finally, Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr seals his qaṣīdah with two verses of praise for the Muhājirūn that are as purely Jâhili as those that opened it. Both closing verses combine antithesis with litotes to express in the understated tone of the negative the equanimity of the hero in battle, and in death. And yet, however purely Jâhili in diction and imagery, the Islamic context has utterly transformed the meaning of the closing verse. For the draught from death’s water troughs (ḥiyādi al-mawtī) in pre-Islamic times expressed death on the field of battle. But for the Muhājirūn, as for any Muslim, death in battle “in the way of God” (fī sabīl Allāh) is an act of self-sacrifice and martyrdom that guarantees salvation and life everlasting.84

In light of our understanding of Ka‘b’s political position within his newly adopted religious community, we should keep in mind that one of the roles of the ritual patterns that we have discussed is the restoration or restructuring of a legitimate social structure, starting from the top down. As we saw in the pre-Islamic examples, the first goal of the panegyric is to
negotiate the relation—the relative rank and status—between the poet and the patron. This is done through the ceremony of submission and praise, what we have termed the Supplicatory Ritual, and the ritual exchange of poem and prize. The prize or countergift is thus an expression of the patron’s estimation not only of the poem, but of the poet. The poet, from his side, uses the praise section (madīḥ) in the first place to express the legitimacy and authority of the patron at the top of the social hierarchy. Often, the poet also attempts to promote his own vision of the political hierarchy, thereby to negotiate his rank vis-à-vis others in the polity. If we keep in mind that in the conversion narrative it was one of the Medinese Helpers (Anṣār) who attempted to kill Ka‘b when he first identified himself to the Prophet, it becomes apparent that the poet, having established the position of the Prophet at the head of the community, is expressing his support for another political faction, that is, the Emigrants (Muhājirūn) who accompanied the Prophet from Mecca. He thus identifies them as the true core of the Islamic community, as being, like the Prophet, of the Meccan tribal aristocracy of the Banū Quraysh, and as proven by the test of the Hijrah to be tried and true to the Prophet and Islam. Thus, through the comparison of the Emigrants and Helpers, respectively, with noble-bred white camels that walk in a line and ill-bred scrawny black camels that go astray, Ka‘b depicts the Meccan Emigrants as nobler, both genealogically and morally, than the Medinese Helpers.

Returning to the critical premises of the ritual complex upon which our analysis was based, we can begin to draw together the various aspects of the poem. First of all, in terms of ritual exchange, we find in Ka‘b’s poem a total social movement in which multiple institutions find simultaneous expression. The institution of redemption, as we saw in the pre-Islamic odes of ‘Alqamah and al-Nābighah, is an economic, legal, and sociomorphic one. In Ka‘b’s case, through the payment of the qaṣīdah, the outlawed poet is admitted as a legal member of society; the legally or socially “dead” Ka‘b is “alive” to society once more and assumes all the rights and obligations entailed in that membership. This in and of itself indicates the poem’s function in a rite of passage in which the ritual passenger effects a transition from one social status to another and, in particular, a rite of incorporation whereby, just as in the case of al-Nābighah, the outlaw comes as a supplicant and is admitted into society. In addition, the poet puts forth his vision of the proper political
structure of the polity—the sociomorphic aspect of the qaṣīdah. In Ka‘b’s poem, with the addition of the Islamic element, the residual mythic aspects of sacred kingship already apparent in ʿAlqamah’s and al-Nābighah’s qaṣīdahs are reactivated; so too the moral and religious aspects, such as we saw in Zuhayr’s ode, are intensified. The transfer of allegiance is not from one Bedouin liege lord to another (the Ghassânids to the Lakhmids or vice-versa), but from the poet’s natal tribal society to a radically new social order, from the Jāhiliyyah to Islam. It is not merely Ka‘b’s life in this world that is redeemed, but his life in the world to come. It is at this point that poesis becomes mythopoiesis, and—insofar as this poem appears likely to have generated its prose anecdotes and in doing so to have made the conversion of Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr paradigmatic for all Muslims—mythogenesis. At this point, too, Ka‘b’s poetic pedigree enters the picture. Inasmuch as he is the scion of one of the premier poetic families of the Jāhiliyyah, Ka‘b’s redemption by means of a qaṣīdah therefore represents as well the redemption of the Jāhili poetic tradition in Islam. His composing an “Islamic” qaṣīdah so fully in the tradition of the Jāhiliyyah has the effect of rendering the pre-Islamic qaṣīdah, not anti-Islamic, but proto-Islamic.

The ritual patterns that have informed our discussion of the qaṣīdah—the rite of passage with its Separation, Liminality, Aggregation phases, and the Supplicatory Ode with its elements of Elegiac prelude (nasīb) expressing Loss, Self-Abasement/Submission, Supplication, and Praise—are expressions of the psychosocial mechanisms for personal and societal transition. In this respect, the qaṣīdah as a literary form can be viewed as a culture-specific manifestation of a universal human, both individual and corporate, process. The qaṣīdah thus is able to impose form and meaning on changing human and societal relationships and, by explaining and directing change, exert control over it. The ritual structure of the qaṣīdah allows for a multivalent layering of meaning, and hence enables the poem to give simultaneous expression to a variety of institutions. Thus, as embodied in the tripartite elegiac prelude-journey-praise (nasīb-raḥīl-madīhi) form of the classical qaṣīdah, the ritual structure gives expression first to Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s political conversion from his tribe to Muḥammad, in terms analogous to al-Nābighah’s transfer of allegiance from the Ghassânids to the Lakhmids; then to the religious conversion of Ka‘b from the ancestral religion of the Banū Mu-
zaynah to Islam; this in turn becomes paradigmatic of the conversion of Arab society from the Jāhiliyyah to Islam and, further, of the subjugation of the pre-Islamic poetic tradition to the Islamic.

If the theory of ritual exchange explains how and why the *qasīdah* was composed, the ritual pattern explains why it was preserved and repeated. Walter Burkert’s remarks on ritual will provide some insight here:

> Since the work of Sir Julian Huxley and Konrad Lorenz, biology has defined *ritual* as a behavioural pattern that has lost its primary function—present in its unritualized model—but which persists in a new function, that of communication. . . . this communicating function reveals the two basic characteristics of ritual behaviour, namely repetition and theatrical exaggeration. For the essentially immutable patterns do not transmit differentiated and complex material information but, rather, just one piece of information each. This single piece of information is considered so important that it is reinforced by constant repetition so as to avoid misunderstanding or misuse. . . . Above all, then, ritual creates and affirms social interaction.85

The immediate function, or occasional purpose, of Ka‘b’s poetic ritual of supplication is to redeem the poet, that is, to save his skin in this world and, at least in the larger Islamic context reflected in Bujayr’s poem in the conversion narrative, the next. Its new ritual function is then to convey that single most vital piece of information in the Islamic culture that preserved and ritualized it, that is, the Islamic message of spiritual redemption and salvation. Just as the ritual of royalty in ‘Alqamah’s and al-Nābighah’s poems is reenacted to ensure and reaffirm the authority and legitimacy of Arab kingship, or the ritual of tribal allegiance is performed through Zuhayr’s, so too the recitation of Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s *qasīdah* serves as a reaffirmation of Islamic faith. In our interpretation, the reason for the celebration and preservation of Ka‘b’s Su‘ād Has Departed in Arab-Islamic culture is that for the Muslim, to read the poem, in a performative sense, is to undergo for oneself the spiritual transition it embodies in its three parts: from doubt (or disbelief) and despair to belief in and hope for salvation. Furthermore, Burkert’s remarks on repetition in ritual, especially when coupled with the phenomenon of redundancy that is characteristic of orally transmitted poetry, go far in explaining the numerous reiterations of the ritual pattern within the *qasīdah*—first in the overall structure, then in the series of shorter rep-
petitions (vv. 32–36, 37–42, 44, 49–51, 53)—and through a variety of metaphors. That is, the internal repetition of the ritual pattern functions to ensure that even if parts of the text are lost, corrupted, or misunderstood, the qaṣīdah’s vital message of sacrifice and redemption will not be lost.

MYTHOGENESIS: THE DONATION OF THE MANTLE

No discussion of Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s Su‘ād Has Departed would be complete without addressing the anecdote about the mantle (burdah) of the Prophet, so closely associated with this qaṣīdah that it is commonly referred to as the Mantle Ode (Qaṣīdat al-Burdah). The Arab-Islamic tradition relates that when Ka‘b recited this ode to the Prophet Muḥammad, he conferred upon the poet a mantle, which, it is said, was later purchased by the Umayyad caliph Mu‘āwiyah from Ka‘b’s heirs for twenty thousand dirhams and worn by the caliphs on feast days. Although it is cited in the literary compendia of such venerable scholars as Ibn Sallām al-Jumahī (d. 231/845 or 232/846) and Ibn Qutaybah (d. 276/889), this anecdote does not appear, as the initial stories of Bujayr and Ka‘b (cited above) do, in the conversion narrative of traditionally accepted biography of the Prophet, Ibn Hishām’s (d. 218/833 or 213/828) edition of Ibn Ishāq’s (d. 150/767) Al-Sīrah al-Nabawiyyah, nor in sources derived from it, such as al-Sukkārī’s recension of the dīwān; nor is it found in any of the orthodox Hadith collections (al-kutub al-sittah) (which did not, as Michael Zwettler has established, prevent it from making its way into third/ninth century collections of ḥadīth nabawī). This, however, is only a problem if by “authenticity” we mean “historicity”; and, furthermore, our discussion has discounted the (verifiable) historicity even of those anecdotes traditionally considered authoritative. Rather, our concern in this study with early Islamic materials, as with the pre-Islamic prose anecdotes we discussed in the introduction, is the meaning of the anecdotes or stories as literary constructs.

In the context of this study, we must understand the donation of the mantle above all as part of a ritual exchange: the qaṣīdah functions as a symbolic gift in a ritual of allegiance or fealty, and the robe or mantle then functions as the symbolic countergift. As Carl Brockelmann and Georg Jacob noted, the conferring of a robe of honor (ḥullah) upon poets
as a reward for their verse was a well-established custom among the Arabs. In the Arabic literary context, to have one’s poetry rewarded with a royal robe of honor was, as the Umayyad poet al-Farazdaq (d. 110/728) attests in a verse about the renowned pre-Islamic poet ‘Alqamah (above), a distinction tantamount to having one’s verse immortalized in the hearts or on the tongues of the people:

The Stallion ‘Alqamah upon whom kings’ robes were bestowed
Was proverbial among the people for his words.

Furthermore, as we saw in the case of Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmá and his patron Harim ibn Sinān, the rank and renown of both poet and patron was bound up in this ritual exchange.

In the case of ‘Alqamah’s A Heart Turbulent with Passion and the king al-Ḥārith (above), the poet faces the dilemma of choosing between the two gifts that the king offers him as the prize for his magnificent ode: his kinsmen’s release or the lavish robes. In either case, the gift serves as a token or emblem of the end to hostilities and the tying of bonds of allegiance—loyalty on the part of the subject and protection on the part of the king. In such rituals of allegiance as al-Nābighah’s O Abode of Mayyah, the supplicant offers his life or something of himself to the ruler, and the ruler, in accepting his allegiance, grants him his protection and virtually confers life, or new life, upon his vassal. The gift and countergift of the fealty ritual, especially when we keep in mind Mauss’s remark that the gift contains something of the giver or soul of the giver (see below), embody the essence of fealty: that each owes the other his life, that each will protect the other with his life. If Ka‘b’s recitation of Su‘ād Has Departed constitutes his gift of submission, allegiance, and praise of the Prophet, the Prophet’s countergift of the mantle betokens his acceptance of Ka‘b into the Islamic Ummah and his promise of protection. It is at once an “outward and physical sign of an inward and spiritual” transaction and, at the same time, as with performative utterances, the physical act of the donation of the mantle in itself constitutes the Prophet’s acceptance of the poet’s allegiance and conferment of the Prophet’s protection. Further, more than serving as a symbol or emblem, a ritual token, of the Prophet’s acceptance and protection, the garment is a symbol of the soul or self. Given the astounding emblematic value
that would accrue to a garment of the Prophet, it is not surprising that the Umayyad and ‘Abbāsid caliphs would be eager to possess it. For the leader of the Islamic Ummah, the mantle of the Prophet conveys his protection and, therefore, the legitimacy of its wearer’s rule. That is, the robe or mantle functions likewise as a symbol of legitimacy. Rudi Paret, giving the biblical example of the miraculous mantle of the Prophet Elijah (II Kings 2:13–15), thus quite correctly explains the interest of the Umayyad caliphs in obtaining and retaining this relic.

The symbolic function of the mantle conferred upon the poet saved by Islam becomes even clearer when contrasted with the function of garments in the story of the most celebrated Jāhili poet, whom the Prophet is said to have condemned as “the leader of the poets into Hell-fire,” Imru’ al-Qays. Unable to find supporters among the Arabs to aid him in his quest for extravagant vengeance for his slain royal father, Imru’ al-Qays abandons his suits of ancestral armor (hereditary legitimacy) and seeks support from the Byzantines. When a slanderer at Caesar’s court informs the emperor that Imru’ al-Qays has an illicit liaison with his daughter, Caesar, who had sent the Arab prince forth with an army, sends him an embroidered robe interwoven with gold, but also laced with poison. The overjoyed prince no sooner dons it than his skin breaks out in sores and sloughs off, and he dies. If, as I have argued elsewhere, his ancestral armor symbolizes hereditary legitimacy, then surely the lethal foreign robe of honor symbolizes illegitimacy. Moreover, if the Byzantine Christian robe brings perdition to the renegade Arab who dons it (surely the true sense of Imru’ al-Qays’s sobriquet “the Errant/Erring King [al-malik al-dillīl]), then the Mantle of the Prophet must confer salvation. If Ka’b, as the scion of Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmá, represents that aspect of the Jāhiliyyah that was proto-Islamic, Imru’ al-Qays is perceived as the embodiment of all that was anti-Islamic.

In this light, the Prophet’s conferring his mantle on Ka’b was not merely, as Paret would have it, a sign of recognition of his poetic achievement, but a sign of the legitimacy of poetry under Islam. We need to reiterate at this point that, as we saw in all three of our pre-Islamic examples, the exchange of qaṣīdah and jāʾizah constitutes the sealing of a contract between the poet and the patron, in this case between Ka’b and the Prophet Muḥammad, and further, symbolically, between the pre-Islamic tradition and the Islamic. Thus, I maintain, the submission (islām) of the poet to the
prophet became paradigmatic of the submission of the poetic to the prophetic in Islamic culture. And just as the poet had to submit to be saved, so too did the poetic tradition.

Finally, our evaluation of the ritual exchange of qaṣidah for mantle must consider the basis for equating these two symbolic and ceremonial currencies. In the case of Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr and the Prophet Muḥammad, what is exchanged are symbols of immortality, one poetic, the other prophetic. This concept was noted by Mauss in his discussion of the archaic exchange:

We can see the nature of the bond created by the transfer of a possession. . . . it is clear that . . . this bond created by things is in fact a bond between persons, since the thing is a person or pertains to a person. . . . It follows clearly from what we have seen that in this system of ideas one gives away what is in reality a part of one’s own nature and substance, while to receive something is to receive part of someone’s spiritual essence.95 [Italics mine]

I believe we will have a much better grasp of the nature of the Arabic panegyric ode and the gift or prize (jā‘izah) that is traditionally conferred on the poet in return if we consider them in light of Mauss’s further remarks concerning “the power of objects of exchange”: “Each of these precious things has, moreover, a productive capacity within it. Each, as well as being a sign and surety of life, is also a sign and surety of wealth, a magico-religious guarantee of rank and prosperity.”96

We must finally recognize that the panegyric ode was understood to confer immortal renown on both poet and patron, the subject of its praise (mamdūḥ), and that its worth to the patron was thus commensurate with its aesthetic worth, which was the sole guarantee of its preservation and thus his immortal renown. In this respect, the idea that Ka‘b’s gift of a qaṣidah could elicit as a counter-gift the Prophetic mantle, an emblem of the salvation and immortality conferred by Islam, reflect the Prophet’s—or more precisely the Arabic literary tradition’s—estimation of the ode.

In sum, the poem itself, as I see it, has generated the “myth” of the donation of the mantle. Furthermore, the myth then generated an actual relic. Although some claim that the references of the mantle in Caliphal times (as in al-Buḥturi’s (d. 231/845) celebrated ode to the ‘Abbāsid Caliph al-Mutawakkil for ‘Īd al-Fiṭr)97 confirm the historical veracity of the story, my argument is rather that belief or myth actually generates physi-
cal relics—like the discovery of the “true cross” in Crusader times. In other words, once the story circulated it was only a matter of time before an actual mantle became identified with the mythical one. Clearly, in Ka’b’s conversion poem the real prize is the gift of life—the revocation of his death sentence—which functions above all as a synecdoche for the gift of salvation and life immortal in the heavenly garden; this, in turn, is what his forgiveness and incorporation among the community of believers entails. The effect of the myth of the mantle is to provide a tangible gift whose symbolic value is that of the forgiveness, blessing, and protection of the Prophet. In other words, the donation of the mantle confirms literally and symbolically the full enactment of the ritual exchange and the Prophet Muhammad’s fulfillment of the contractual obligation incurred by the presentation of the panegyrical ode.⁹⁸

### Conclusion

We have seen the transformation from the royal and tribal court panegyrics of three of the master poets of the Jähiliyyah, ‘Alqamah, al-Nābighah, and Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmá, to Ka’b ibn Zuhayr’s poem of conversion to Islam and praise of the Prophet. In both the pre-Islamic and Islamic cases, the ritual exchange of poem and prize, however much it may symbolize otherworldly matters, is set (traditionally, even if not historically verifiably) in real time and space. The massive production of madâ’îh nabawiyyah (praise poems to the Prophet), however, occurred not during the lifetime of the Prophet, nor even during the classical Arabic poetic period (1–5/7–12 centuries), but rather during the Post-Classical period (6–13/12–19 centuries), conventionally termed the Age of Decline (‘Aṣr al-Inḥiāt). What happens to the idea of the ritual exchange of poem and prize when the mamdūḥ is no longer of this world? Ka’b’s poem, as contextualized with Bujayr’s poem in the conversion narrative, already conveys the idea of a spiritual as well as worldly reward, but the presentation of the qaṣīdah and the conferral of the prize take place on terra firma.

### Ḥassān ibn Thābit’s at Ṭaybah Lies a Trace

A brief look at the marthiyah (elegy) for the Prophet that opens bi-Ṭaybatah rasmun, by his poet laureate, Ḥassān ibn Thābit (d. before 40/661),
will help show how the literary transition from panegyric to the living Prophet to that of the Prophet after death was effected. As with Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s poem and associated anecdotes, we cannot claim in Ḥassān ibn Thābit’s case to be speaking of verifiable historical events and texts from the time of the Prophet, but rather with the tradition-sanctioned recollection or reconstruction of them. Indeed, the authenticity of poems attributed to Ḥassān has long been subject to doubt, and that of At Ṭaybah Lies a Trace in particular. It is found in Ibn Hishām’s Al-Sīrah, but not in the transmissions of Ḥassān’s diwān. What is important in our discussion, however, is that this elegy attributed to Ḥassān ibn Thābit is given pride of place in Ibn Hishām’s Al-Sīrah narrative of the death of the Prophet and therefore in the Islamic tradition constitutes the expression of the communal (re)construction of that event (see chapter 3 for Shawqī’s treatment of the subject in Nahj al-Burda).

Although traditionally classified as rithāʾ (elegy), the poem is curiously anomalous and can be viewed, at least in light of its role in the development of madiḥ nabawī, as a hybrid between rithāʾ and qaṣīdat al-madh, between elegy and panegyric or, more precisely, what we have termed the supplicatory-panegyric ode, that serves as a transitional poem toward the formation of the Post-Classical genre of madiḥ nabawī. The original sense of rithāʾ in the Jāhilīyyah was that the poet gave of himself, his poetry, selflessly, to perpetuate or immortalize the name of a kinsman who had sacrificed his life on the field of battle. The ritual exchange of poetry for blood, intimately linked to that of blood-vengeance (blood for blood), was a confirmation of bonds of kinship, and the obligations incurred, like blood-vengeance, had a self-perpetuating concatenating effect: one warrior died, was avenged and elegized by a kinsman, who in turn was slain on the battlefield, avenged, and elegized. With the advent of Islam much of this changed, especially since the promise of immortality in the afterlife made, or should have made, immortalization through poetry redundant. Blood vengeance, likewise, was to have become obsolete.

In the case of Ḥassān’s rithāʾ for the Prophet, the situation is quite different from that of the Jāhilī ritually obligatory elegy. The Prophet was not slain on the battlefield, but died of natural causes, and the poet is not his kinsman. What Ḥassān’s poem has achieved, however, is an original and brilliant poetic formulation: it combines elements of elegy and supplicatory panegyric to create a poem that both comforts the bereft com-
munity and exhorts their *continued allegiance* to Muḥammad, the Prophet whose leadership or guidance of the community is not terminated by his death. The poem opens with a *nasīb* in the form of the lyric-elegiac prelude theme of the ruined abode (vv. 1–6), now Islamized to express the loss not of a departed mistress but of the deceased Prophet.

Ḥassān ibn Thābit: At Ṭaybah Lies a Trace\textsuperscript{101}

1. At Ṭaybah lies a trace of the Messenger 
   and a place thronged and luminous, 
   While other traces lie barren and effaced.

2. The signs are not erased from that inviolate abode 
   In which stands the minbar that the Guide would mount.

3. Its signs yet clear, its waymarks standing still, 
   And his quarter where his mosque and prayer-place stood.

For those critics, classical and modern, who fault this poem on the grounds that in *rithāʾ* there should be no *nasīb*, our contention that the poem is actually a hybrid informed by the genre requirements of the Supplicatory Panegyrical, among them the *nasīb*, serves as refutation. The *nasīb* is followed by a long lament section, followed by a praise section that could belong equally to an elegy or a panegyric (37–42). What concerns us most, however, is the two-verse closure: here the poem is revealed to be above all a supplicatory panegyric for which a reward is expected—life eternal in the immortal garden, and, in a manner that reminds us of the ritual aspect of Aggregation and Incorporation of the other *qaṣīdahs* we have examined in this chapter, that the poet will be brought once more into the inner circle of his lord:

45. I will never cease desiring to praise him, for perhaps thereby 
   I will dwell in the immortal garden forever.

46. With al-Muṣṭafā, God’s chosen, I desire by this praise 
   to dwell in his protection, 
   And for the reward of that day, I strive and strain.

Thus, even though it is generally, and correctly, termed an elegy, the ritual-thematic intent of the poem is clearly that of the praise for prize
exchange of the panegyric ode, and the prize that the poet wants is to be brought into the company of the Prophet—now in the immortal garden. Notice his use of the precise diction of the Supplicatory Ode: “I desire thereby to dwell in his protection.”

When we then place the poem in the context of the death of the Prophet and the challenge that it presented to the nascent Islamic community, we can appreciate the genius of Ḥassān’s formulation even more. For if, as I have argued above, and extensively elsewhere, the qaṣīdat al-madhī serves to establish a bond of allegiance, especially between subject and ruler, then by incorporating it into his “elegy,” Ḥassān has not merely offered comfort to the bereft believers but has solved the crisis of the Islamic community: the supplicatory-panegyric exchange of poem and prize between the poet and deceased Prophet confirms that the Prophet was not merely a temporal ruler whose passing marks the abrogation of obligations of allegiance (as the Murtaddūn [Apostates] claimed, thereby setting off the Ḥurūb al-Riddah [Wars of Apostasy]), but is indeed a Prophet whose mutual bonds of obligation with his community of believers still stand (see chapter 3).

In sum, what all the poems we have examined in chapter 1 have in common is their supplicatory-panegyric structure and function: a poem of praise and entreaty is presented to a māmdūḥ with the expectation, indeed requirement, that he give something in return. The exchange pattern of gift and counter-gift is ritually and morally binding on both the poet and the patron, and the performance of this ritual, in which the poem constitutes a speech act, establishes a contractual bond of obligation and allegiance between the two. This ritual complex that determines the contents, structure, and function of the Supplicatory Panegyric Ode will serve as the basis for our reading of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah (chapter 2) and Aḥmad Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah (chapter 3).
Introduction

Indisputably the most renowned poem of praise to the Prophet Muhammad (madīḥ nabawi) and arguably the most famous poem in the Arabic language is that known as the Mantle Ode (Qaṣīdat al-Burda) by the thirteenth-century poet of Mamlūk Egypt, Sharaf al-Dīn Abū ʿAbd Allāh Muhammad ibn Saʿīd al-Būṣīrī (d. 694–96/1294–97), originally titled by its author Al-Kawākib al-Durriyyah fī Madīḥ Khayr al-Bariyyah (Pearly Stars in Praise of the Best of All Creation).1 It is difficult to enumerate the tremendous array of literary, liturgical, and religious functions that al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah performed: it generated hundreds of commentaries, expansions (s. tashīr, takhmīs, especially, and tasbīḥ),2 a new subgenre of madīḥ nabawī, the badi‘iyyah,3 and contrafactions (mu‘āraḍāt), i.e., counter-poems or imitations that emulate the original in the same rhyme and meter—a celebrated example of which is Aḥmad Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burda (The Way of the Mantle, 1910), the subject of chapter 3 of this study. Further, there are numerous translations into Persian—most notably that of Jāmī (d. 898–99/1492)—Turkish, and Urdu, and innumerable translations into virtually all of the languages of the Islamic world, from Indonesia and the Indo-Pakistani subcontinent, central Asia, the Middle East, North Africa, and Islamic areas of sub-Saharan Africa.4 The Arabic manuscripts alone must number in the thousands, given the hundreds in the major catalogued archives.5 These range from elaborate gilded polychrome court (khazā‘inī) manuscripts to the crudest copies for personal devotional use.
The same is true of the numerous print editions, beginning from the mid-nineteenth century and continuing to today, which range from elaborate lithographed and later typeset texts with commentaries to the ubiquitous cheap, popular, chapbook-like printings.

The liturgical uses of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah are also extensive and varied. They range from personal acts of piety and devotion to the Prophet, to Šūfī (in particular the Shādhiliyyah order) in chanted or sung form with decidedly mystical intent (especially to see the Prophet in one’s dreams), to its widespread public recitation, especially, in many areas, on the Prophet’s birthday (mawlid al-nabī), at funerals, or weekly recitations associated with the Friday prayer. The uses vary from location to location and no doubt have varied over time.⁶

There are, in addition, the practical, psychological, spiritual, and medicinal properties ascribed to specific verses and properly made talismans and amulets—most often involving explicit recipes for philters such as writing the lines and dissolving them in rose-water and saffron, etc.—assigned to particular lines or passages (see below). The properties or benefits of specific Burdah lines or groups of lines are termed in the Arabic sources khāṣṣiyyah, pl. khawāṣṣ, khāṣṣah, pl. khaṣṣā’iṣ, or fā’idah, pl. fawā’id, words which are otherwise used for, among other things, medicinal properties and benefits. The aim of chapter 2 will be to explore why al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah came to be the locus of so much literary, religious, and talismanic activity.

POETIC GENRE

Although praise poetry addressed to the Prophet in his lifetime came, in retrospect, to be termed madiḥ nabawī, in fact the distinct poetic genre did not crystallize until the Post-Classical period. The praise poems dedicated to the Prophet during his lifetime, such as Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s celebrated Su‘ād Has Departed (Bānat Su‘ād), the poem upon which the epithet Qaṣīdat al-Burdah, or Mantle Ode, was first conferred (see chapter 1), follow the generic strictures of the pre-Islamic qaṣīdat al-madhī (panegyric ode), or else, like the many shorter pieces contained in Al-Sīrah of Ibn Ishāq/Ibn Hishām, resemble the pre-Islamic Ayyām al-‘Arab battle poetry.

Arabic poetry of the Classical Age (the Umayyad and ʿAbbāsid periods, late 1st/7th–5th/11th c.) was dominated by court panegyric (qaṣīdat al-madhī) devoted to establishing and celebrating the legitimacy and might
of the caliphs and lesser potentates (see below). During these four centuries, as literary scholars such as Zakī Mubārak and Maḥmūd ʿAlī Makki have established, the theme (although not the genre) of praise of the Prophet was developed within the context of Shiʿite praise poetry (madiḥ) or elegy (riṯḥāʾ) for the Āl al-Bayt (the family of the Prophet). In accord with their belief that the descendants of the Prophet’s cousin and son-in-law ʿAli ibn Abī Ṭālib and daughter Fāṭimah were the only legitimate rulers of the Islamic Ummah, Shiʿite poets employed the qaṣīdah to promote ʿAlid claims, air their grievances over ʿAlid disenfranchisement, and mourn, in a manner that expresses their political as well as personal sorrows, the ʿAlid dead, especially al-Ḥusayn, the Prophet’s grandson who was martyred at Karbalāʾ. Although the praise of the Prophet is poetically subordinated to that of ʿAlī and his descendants, these Shiʿite poems provide not only poetic precedents for the themes and motifs of medieval madiḥ nabawi but also the elements of otherworldly supplication and intercession and the heightened emotive tone that characterize the medieval genre.

Also deserving of further study is the complex and obscure process of emergence and formation of the medieval madiḥ nabawi. Its flowering in the medieval period is undoubtedly part and parcel of the medieval cultural religious phenomenon of heightened personal devotion to and celebration of the Prophet, especially as intercessor on Judgment Day. In addition to elements that derive from Shiʿite poetry, it is intimately bound up in the pilgrimage rituals of the Ḥajj, or more precisely with the attendant visit to the Prophet’s tomb in Medina, which at that period was considered an essential part of the pilgrimage. Whether the poet was able to perform the Ḥajj or merely yearned to do so, the result was a highly lyrical poetry of personal piety and devotion to the Prophet Muḥammad. This, in its form and lyricism, seems to me to have close ties to Ṣūfī devotional poetry, as well as Shiʿite poetry to Āl al-Bayt. The Ṣūfī mystical journey of the waystations pilgrimage route and sacred sites of the Ḥajj gives way in the madiḥ nabawi of this sort to a devotional poetic pilgrimage. This form seems to have been especially popular in al-Andalus. Another aspect, which also appears in al-Andalus, is madiḥ nabawi in response to the fall of Muslim cities to the Reconquista. Here worldly politics comes to the fore, as poems of praise to the Prophet become one of several literary vehicles to plead for intercession against the Infidel—not merely from divine agency, but from the rulers of the Muslim East.
Given that the *madiḥ nabawi* of the seventh/thirteenth century, at least in the Arab East (Mashriq), was predominantly the lyric of personal devotion, we can appreciate the novelty of al-Būṣīrī’s choice of structuring his Burdah along the lines of a classical panegyric and, in particular, of the supplicatory type, i.e., like Ka‘b’s Suʿād Has Departed—while at the same time alluding quite explicitly in its imitation (*muʿāraḍah*) of the rhyme, meter, and *nasībic* diction to a Ṣūfī *ghazal* by the sixth/twelfth-century Egyptian mystic Ibn al-Fāriḍ (see below). In literary historical terms, al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, in its heightened rhetorical expression, motifs, themes, and structure became the genre-defining paradigm for *madiḥ nabawi*. As we saw in chapter 1, the classical Arabic panegyric (*qaṣīdat al-madḥ*), of which the *madiḥ nabawi* of al-Būṣīrī’s type is a derivative, is an eminently courtly ode intimately engaged in the politics and polemics of the ruling elite. As I argued at length in my book *The Poetics of Islamic Legitimacy*, one of the key functions of the Islamic courtly *qaṣīdat al-madḥ*, whether explicitly of the supplicatory form or not, is to construct and perpetuate a legitimizing myth of Arab-Islamic rule and, in particular, to establish the legitimacy of the *mamduḥ*’s rule or role. This perforce means that the *qaṣīdat al-madḥ* is polemical in nature: that Islam is to be promoted as the true religion and the royal patron as the divinely appointed ruler, to the exclusion of other claimants and pretenders. This is achieved primarily through the celebration of the recognized virtues of the religion and the ruler, and the argument, whenever possible, is sealed with compelling external validations of both propositions—above all, the prosperity of the realm and military victory. It is in this light that al-Būṣīrī’s adoption or adaptation of this form to introduce a polemical (and political) dimension into a *madiḥ nabawi*, as I will argue, especially with regard to the Sirah-derived themes of Burdah parts 4–8, must be understood. Certainly his Burdah’s sweeping domination of the genre up until the present day attests to the success of al-Būṣīrī’s poetic venture.

**POETIC STYLE: CLASSICAL AND POST-CLASSICAL BADĪ’**

Just as we must interpret the form of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah in light of the cultural history of Arabic poetic structures and genres, so too must we understand its stylistic and rhetorical features within the history of Arabic poetics and rhetoric. Those of us whose literary sensibilities have
been shaped by Modernist aesthetics in general, and the assault of Egyptian Modernists such as Maḥmūd ʿAbbās al-ʿAqqād (d. 1964) on classical Arabic poetic language in particular—and more especially the consensus of both the Arab Neo-Classicists and Modernists that Post-Classical or Medieval Arabic poetry is the mediocre product of an Age of Decline (ʿAṣr al-Inḥiṭāţ)—will be disinclined to appreciate al-Būṣīrī’s rhetorically ornate style and self-consciously Classical poetic diction. The general view is summed up by ʿUmar Mūsā Bāshā, who, in the introduction to his edition of al-Ghazzī’s commentary on the Burdah, concludes his discussion of al-Būṣīrī’s use of rhetorical devices (al-ṣuwar al-balāghiyyah) with the remark: “[These examples] are sufficient for us to conclude that the poet affected the most important aspects of bādiʿ known in his age and that this affectation was the malady of the age that afflicted poets and prose writers alike.”11 A more useful starting point for us is the observation with which he opens his discussion of what he terms “bādiʿ images” in al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah: “We are in the age of bādiʿ, when the poet whose poetic compositions were not replete with bādiʿ images was considered to fall short of his peers in the [poetic] race.”12 In this we have the recognition that the aesthetic expectations or requirements of the Medieval or Post-Classical period differ not only from our Modernist tastes, but even from the less rhetorically laden prescriptions for nobility and sweetness of language found in the Classical Arabic formulations of proper poetic style, termed ʿAmūd al-Shīʿr (the pillar of poetry).13

Furthermore, we must keep in mind that with al-Būṣīrī we are not in the Classical Age of Bādiʿ, the second–third/eighth–ninth century florescence of the high classical rhetorical style, termed bādiʿ, of such ʿAbbāsid masters as Bashshār ibn Burd (d. 167/783), Abū Nuwās (d. 199 or 200/814 or 815), Muslim ibn al-Walīd (d. 208/823), al-Buḥtūrī (d. 284/897) and, above all, Abū Tammām (d. 231 or 232/845 or 846). The bādiʿ style of the ʿAbbāsids is celebrated by its supporters, and decried by its detractors, as innovative and original in the dramatic intensity of its use of rhetorical devices—such as istiʿārah (metaphor), tashbīh (simile), jinās (paronomasia, root-play), ṭibāq (antithesis), radd al-ʿajuz ʿalā al-ṣadr (repetition of an early word in a line in the rhyme-word), and al-madhhab al-kalāmī (abstruse logical constructions, conceits that are abstract, conceptual, or far-fetched). By the medieval period, however, the categories and subcategories of rhetorical devices had been exhaustively classified and catalogued, but the luster of
originality or innovation, at least by our standards, had worn off. In other
words, what in its High ‘Abbāsid heyday constituted bold, even scandal-
ous, innovation (as the term bādi‘ derived from b-d-‘, to originate, to in-
vent, to do something new, for the first time, indicates; Lane, b-d-‘) had in
the medieval period become a matter of filling de rigueur production quo-
tas of such rhetorical constructs in imitation of those of the ‘Abbāsid mas-
ters. This tendency reached its climax in the subgenre of madiḥ nabawī
termed bādi‘iyyah, which consists of a mu‘āraḍah following the rhyme,
meter, and poetic structure of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah in which each line illus-
trates a particular rhetorical device.

Central to the present argument is my claim that the distinctive poet-
ics of the bādi‘ style came to be identified as a rhetoric of Arab-Islamic
hegemony, indeed triumphalism. To trace this development briefly, let us
begin with the chief stylistic features of bādi‘ poetry. The first, as described
by Ibn al-Muṭazz (d. 296/908), is the sudden proliferation of rhetorical
deVICES, such as isti‘ārah, jinās and ‘ibāq, which he claims are already pres-
ent in the poetry of the Ancients. Somewhat distinct from this is the fact
that, upon analysis, we find that the rhetorical devices of the bādi‘ poets
tend to be abstract or conceptual, and involve conceits that are far-fetched
or require what I term “mental gymnastics” to disentangle the meaning.
In these respects, the bādi‘ style is comparable to English Metaphysical
poetry, or Spanish Gongorism, or may be termed mannerist.

I have analyzed elsewhere the emergence of bādi‘ from Mu‘tazilite
views on language, especially Qur’ānic language, and more generally
the distinctive modes of expression developed by the science of Kalām
(dialogical disputation, speculative theology). What concerns us here,
however, is how this particular style came to be associated with religious,
cultural, and political hegemony. In this respect, I see two interrelated
phemonena. The first is that with the transition of Arab culture from
orality to literacy, there occurred a stunning florescence, described by
al-Jāḥiz, of (analytical) sciences expressed in written prose. This entailed
the coining of technical terms, the analysis and classification of materials
of a vast cultural scope, and above all the development of a “language”
of “scientific” discourse, that is, the dialectical mode of expression
(mujādalah) that typifies ‘ilm al-kalam (speculative theology), but
quickly spread to all areas of literary discourse. This included, of course,
the development of the linguistics sciences, such as grammar, including
syntax and morphology (al-naḥw, al-ṣarf), etymology (ishtiqāq), and, for poetry in particular, al-Khalīl ibn Aḥmad’s (d. 175/791) systematization of the poetic meters (ʿilm al-ʿarūḍ), and the description (by al-Jāḥiz, d. 255/868) and analytical classification (beginning with Ibn al-Muʿtazz, d. 296/908) of rhetorical devices. This vast scientific-cultural movement of analysis and classification entailed above all the mental processes of abstraction and conceptualization, which thus typify all the discourses of the period—and poetry was no exception.18

Further, the sciences and the analytical methods they involve give their practitioner a sense of control and mastery over his scientific domain. For the poet, for example, the sciences of ishtiqāq, naḥw, and ṣarf allow for the invention of new words and constructs never before imagined. Thus, much to the horror of conservative critics, such as al-Āmidī (d. 370/981) in Al-Muwāzanah, we see Abū Tammām, the most celebrated, or notorious, proponent of bāḍīʾ poetry, coin new words such as tafarʿana (to be despotic), which he derived from firʿawn (pharaoh); and devise, through a process of grammatical analogy to such Kalām postulates about the Divine as huwa huwa (He is He), unheard-of constructions, such as lā anta anta (you are not you).19 Nor was this power to analyze and innovate limited to individual expressions; rather we see in Abū Tammām’s most celebrated ode, on the conquest of Amorium, his ability to recast the entire structure of the qaṣīdah so that he omits the prescribed lyrical elegiac opening section of the genre, the nasīb, to surprise and dazzle his audience with a dramatic unannounced martial entrance: al-sayfu ʿaṣdaqu ʿanbāʿan min al-kutubi (The sword is more veracious than the book).20

The point I am trying to make is that in such rhetorical moves the poet has a conscious conceptual mastery of language and literary form that he did not possess before. In this respect, let me reiterate my earlier work to stress that the bāḍīʾ style is not merely the mechanical proliferation of rhetorical devices that are already found in the Ancients, as Ibn al-Muʿtazz argued, but rather reflects, along the lines of al-Jāḥiz’s thinking, a new conceptual and analytical control of language, and with it the metalanguage of poetry, one that allows for, indeed requires, the innovative manipulation of language at various levels.

Even within the realm of established rhetorical devices, we find distinctive differences between bāḍīʾ poetry and that of the Ancients. Even familiar devices now come with a complex conceptual twist. Thus, Ibn
al-Mu’tazz chooses, as a typical example of the metaphor of the Ancients, the Mukhaḍram Abū Dhu‘ayb al-Hudhali’s use of personification to express the ineluctability of fate or death:

When fate digs in her talons
You will find every amulet useless.  

[35]

How much more complex is his example from Abū Tammām, the badī‘ poet’s abstraction and inversion of the concept of time that destroys all things, as he personifies time itself as “perishing” (a reflection perhaps of the disputes of the Mutakallimūn over whether time is finite or infinite, now subordinated to the poet’s panegyric purpose) to praise to his longtime friend and patron, the general Abū Sa‘īd Muḥammad ibn Yūsuf al-Thaghrī:

When your fated time comes, you will not perish,
But time, that has destroyed others like you, will perish.  

[36]

The gist of this rhetorically complex line, which exhibits radd al-‘ajuz ‘alá al-šadr as well as metaphor, is the inversion of the commonplace mortality of man and immortality of time or fate: for the mamdūḥ will be immortal and time/fate will perish. The idea of one’s appointed time to die is construed as a metaphor of heroic “mortal” combat between Abū Sa‘īd and Fate or Time, from which the ‘Abbāsid general emerges victorious. In other words, his heroic deeds fighting for Islam will win him immortality in terms of both the poet’s verse and the heavenly garden. Thus, the badī‘ poet is not merely clothing old ideas in new rhetorical garb; he is inventing rhetorically complex conceits that delight us by turning conventional commonplaces on their heads.

Particularly important in this respect is Ibn al-Mu’tazz’s category of al-madhhab al-kalāmī (the method of Kalām, or, in Ignatius Kratchkovsky’s apt translation, “dialectical mannerism”), which to him means convoluted expressions that need to be disentangled by the application of logic, as is the case with all three of the examples from Abū Tammām that we have just discussed.  

As I have argued elsewhere, we can usefully extend this term beyond its denotation of particular rhetorical device to a habit or method of analytical conceptual thought that pervades all aspects
of poetic production, and indeed characterizes the cultural production of the High ʿAbbāsid period. I believe that this broader understanding of al-madhhab al-kalāmī, which is extrapolated from al-Jāḥiz’s insightful, if not systematic, remarks, provides a far better basis for understanding the accomplishments of the bādiʾ poetry of the ʿAbbāsid masters than Ibn al-Muʿtazz’s limited definition of the bādiʾ style as merely a proliferation of rhetorical devices that are already present in the poetry of the Ancients.24 In the context of the present study, I would like to emphasize the newfound sense of mastery over language and literary form that the analytical sciences of the age provided to the bādiʾ poet.

The second step of this argument for the association of the bādiʾ style with Arab-Islamic hegemony is one of historical context. In my view, the bādiʾ style is an organic part and parcel of the development of the analytical sciences in the High ʿAbbāsid period, and these in turn were the result of the cultural and political dominion of the ʿAbbāsid state. It is, in my estimation, no accident that bādiʾ poetry emerged and flourished during the Golden Age of the ʿAbbāsids (132–334/750–945). This was precisely the period of the apex of ʿAbbāsid politico-cultural hegemony and military might, and, I argue, the bādiʾ style evolved precisely to express, celebrate, and immortalize that hegemony and that might. In other words, the transformation of Arab civilization in the first three centuries of Islam and the astounding political dominion and cultural florescence of the High ʿAbbāsid Age demanded that the expressive capabilities of the Arabic language, and its poetic metalanguage in particular, be expanded to convey ideas and experiences hitherto unknown.25 More simply put, the bādiʾ style in practice is precisely the dominant mode of expression of the High ʿAbbāsid court panegyric, a body of poetry that celebrates Arab-Islamic political and cultural hegemony, military might, and religious authority as vested in the caliph himself or, in a subordinate manner, in lesser patrons of the court. Bādiʾ is, I argue, the “linguistic correlative” of Islamic might.

No single poem demonstrates this claim better than Abū Tammām’s most celebrated panegyric, The Sword is More Veracious, to the Caliph al-Muʿtaṣim (r. 218–27/833–42) on the conquest of the Byzantine city of Amorium, arguably the most influential and formative poem produced in the ʿAbbāsid period.26 Opening, as mentioned above, with an aggressive martial image, “the sword,” the ode is not a historical narrative of the mili-
tary campaign and conquest, rape, and pillage of the Byzantine city. Rather, through the rhetorical magic of *bāḍīʾ*, the political military event is recast as a perduring cultural myth of a cosmic battle between good and evil, that is, Arab Islam versus Byzantine Christianity, championed by, respectively, the divinely appointed, virtuous, and heroic Caliph al-Muʿtaṣim and the craven and despotic Emperor Theophilus. The key point in the context of the present argument is that through the process of recasting the contemporary military event as a *qaṣīdat al-madhī*, a panegyric ode to the victorious caliph, the poet *politicizes* and *polemizes* the event as not merely a martial success, but a divinely ordained victory achieved by a divinely appointed ruler, a victory that, moreover, takes its place in the teleological progression of what I have termed the ideology of Islamic Manifest Destiny. The expression of so self-confident an ideology of God-given dominion and destiny required a poetic metalanguage equally robust and confident. This is precisely what the taut muscularity, palpable intensity, and bold innovation of the *bāḍīʾ* style provided. Consider the rhetorical intensity and rousing momentum of Abū Tammām’s morphologically based (*muṣṭaʿil*, form VIII act. part.) expression of the caliph’s divine appointment and equally divine mission:

37. Directed by one relying on God, avenging for God,
   Striving and yearning toward God.

Or the complex interplay of antitheses (*muṭābaqāt*) of darkness and light to describe in cosmic terms the caliph’s power to overturn the natural cycle of night and day through the smoke and fire of battle:

26. You left in [Amorium] a black night bright as forenoon
   For in her midst a dawn of flame dispelled the dark.

28. There was light from the fire while darkness still clung
   And dark from the smoke in the pale noonday sun.

Or the erotic excitement of the rape that is rhetorically stimulated/simulated through the double root-play (*jinās*) derived from traditional metaphors for male and female:
65. How many a reed trembling on a sand-dune
      Did the drawn and trembling Indian swords obtain.

These compelling and innovative images of domination and conquest are achieved through a formidable command of rhetorical tools and an astounding audacity in their use. It is my argument that through imperial panegyrics such as the Amorium qaṣīdah, Abū Tammām achieved so perfect a fusion of style and subject matter that ever after the two were identified. That is, the bādī’ style became inseparable or indistinguishable from the ideology of Arab-Islamic hegemony and triumphalism.

‘Abbāsid political dominion declined precipitously after the reign of al-Mu’taṣim, so that even Abū Tammām’s younger contemporary, pupil, and rival, al-Buḥṭurī, could not match in his panegyrics to caliphs such as al-Mutawakkil (r. 232–47/847–61) or al-Muntasir (r. 247–48/861–62) and the men of their courts the unwavering rhetorical conviction that informs his master’s panegyrics. Ever after, poets seeking to praise the princes and kinglets of lesser Arab-Islamic domains could most effectively do so by conjuring up the heyday of Arab-Islamic dominion, and this, in turn, was most effectively done by invoking the style and images of Abū Tammām’s masterful qaṣīdahs. In this respect, the clearly discernible Abū Tammāmian influence on the most celebrated of the classical Arabic poets, al-Mutanabbī (d. 354/965), comes to mind. In sum, the bādī’ style in and of itself, whether specifically that of Abū Tammām or more generally that of the ʿAbbasid master panegyrists—Abū Nuwās, Muslim ibn al-Walīd, Abū Tammām, al-Buḥṭurī, Ibn al-Rūmī, al-Mutanabbī—became an expression of Arab-Islamic hegemony and an allusion to the cultural and political dominion of the High ʿAbbāsid Age.

A further crucial step provides a more purely religious dimension for the Post-Classical insistence on the rhetorical aspects of literary language, especially poetry: the formulation of the Islamic doctrine of the miraculous inimitability for the Qurʾān (Iʿjāz al-Qurʾān) in the fourth–sixth/tenth–twelfth centuries in terms of its rhetorical beauty. This led to the absorption of the study of rhetoric into the field of Iʿjāz al-Qurʾān, and to the valorization of the study and exercise of rhetoric as religious duties or undertakings allowing the believer to more fully understand, indeed witness, the evidentiary miracle of the Qurʾān (below, Burdah, part 6).
Al-Būṣīrī’s century was one to shake the foundations of Arab-Islamic self-confidence, if not faith itself. The Mongol invasions, culminating in the sack of Baghdad in 656/1258, marked a horrific and decisive end to Arab dominion and definitively shifted the Arab cultural center of gravity to Egypt. Even there, the reins of political power were not held by Arab hands. The Kurdish Ayyūbids had replaced the Fāṭimids in Egypt in 566/1171 and, under the leadership of Ṣalāḥ al-Dīn (d. 589/1193), had driven most of the Crusaders from Palestine and Syria. The seventh/thirteenth century was marked by two military crises: the Crusade of St. Louis (647–49/1249–50) and the Mongol invasion, after their conquest and devastation of Baghdad (656/1258), of Syria (657–58/1259–60). In the context of the latter, after the defeat of the Mongols at ʿAyn Jalūt (658/1260), the Bahri Mamluks, of Qipchak Turkish origin, under Baybars, replaced the Ayyūbids as the masters of Egypt, only to be themselves replaced by the Barri/Burji Mamluks when Qalāwūn usurped the throne in 678/1279. Although less dramatically devastating than the Mongol invasions, the remaining Frankish Christian states in Syria and Palestine took a toll on Muslim self-confidence. A particular fear was a coordinated Frankish-Mongol pincer attack against Mamluk lands. Within the Mamluk leadership, through the end of the seventh/thirteenth century, political intrigue and instability continued to rule the day.30

Sharaf al-Dīn ʿAbd Allāh Muḥammad ibn Saʿīd ibn Ḥammād al-Ṣanḥājī al-Būṣīrī was born in 608/1212 in Būṣīr (Abūṣīr) or Dalāṣ in Upper Egypt of Ṣanḥājah Berber origin. He is said to have been skilled as a scribe, traditionist, and Qur’ān reciter, to have studied for a time under the Ṣūfī Abū al-ʿAbbās Ahmad al-Mursī, and to have been involved in the early Shādhiliyyah Ṣūfī order. After spending ten years in Jerusalem, then in Mecca and Medina, he took up a minor administrative post in Bilbays, in the eastern Delta. He died in Alexandria 694–696/1294–97 and is said to be buried either in Alexandria or in Cairo in the Muqaṭṭam. Although his diwān contains a variety of occasional poetry—ranging from court panegyrics to Egyptian officials to jocular verse mocking the foibles of Egyptian society of his day—his fame derives from his madāʿīh nabawiyyah, his praise poems to the Prophet.31 Among these are his Hamziyyah (ode rhymed in the letter hamzah),
titled Umm al-Qurá fī Madḥ Khayr al-Wará (The Mother of Villages in Praise of the Best of Mankind), like the Burdah the basis of many commentaries, expansions, and contrafactions, and his Lāmiyyah (ode rhymed in lām), which, as is clear from its title Dhukhr al-Maʿād fī Wazn Bānat Suʿād (The Storehouse [of good deeds] for Judgment Day in the Meter of “Suʿād Has Departed”), is a contrafaction of Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr’s renowned poem (see chapter 1). None can compare, however, to the fame achieved by his Mīmiyyah (ode rhymed in mīm), on which he bestowed the title Al-Kawākib al-Durriyyah fī Madḥ Khayr al-Bariyyah (Pearly Stars in Praise of the Best of all Creation) and on which posterity has bestowed the epithet: Qaṣīdat al-Burdah (The Mantle Ode).

THE MIRACLE AND THE POEM

A fair amount of al-Būṣīrī’s biography has been reconstructed, primarily on the basis of his poetic dīwān and several literary-biographical compendia. Nevertheless, throughout the rich manuscript and print tradition that surrounds the Burdah and his several other famed poems of praise to the Prophet, a single episode only of his life is related: namely, the circumstances surrounding his composition of the Burdah, from which the poem, which al-Būṣīrī originally titled Al-Kawākib al-Durriyyah, came to be most popularly known by the epithet Qaṣīdat al-Burdah (The Mantle Ode) and sometimes Qaṣīdat al-Burḍah (The Poem of the Cure). A number of variants and elaborations of this episode exist, and the popularity of the poem suggests extensive oral as well as literary transmission. We read an example of the narrative in its simplest form in the Egyptian scholar Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm al-Bājūrī’s (d. 1276/1860) Ḥāshiyah (Gloss), as he introduces al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah and explains the reason for its epithet:

Because when he composed it, seeking a cure from the disease of hemiplegia which afflicted him, leaving him semiparalyzed and baffling his physicians, he saw the Prophet, pbuh, in his sleep. Then the Prophet stroked him with his hand and wrapped him in his mantle, so he was cured immediately, as the poet mentions in his comment on the poem. Some say that it is more appropriate to call it Qaṣīdat al-Burḍah (Poem of the Cure) because its composer was cured by it, and that the poem that is rightfully called al-Burdah is Bānat Suʿād (Suʿād Has Departed), the poem by Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr, because the Prophet, pbuh, rewarded him (ajāzahu) for it with a mantle when he recited it before him.
Thus, the poem generated many blessings: a dream-vision of the Prophet, a miraculous cure, its mysterious revelation to, or witnessing by, a Şûfî adept. As a first step in our investigation, then, we can understand the massive literary engagement of al-Bûṣîrî’s Burdah—the manuscripts, expansions, commentaries, imitations, etc.—as attempts to appropriate the blessing (barakah) inherent in this poem, a blessing whose efficacy has been proved or established by the poet’s miraculous cure and its attendant miracles. Its talismanic uses, too, can be understood as a further extension and application of the same principle.

This story is virtually inseparable from the poem throughout its literary and religious-liturgical itinerary. Nevertheless, in order to understand the literary and religious phenomenon of al-Bûṣîrî’s Burdah, we must investigate, on a level far deeper than the ultimately irrelevant and unanswerable issue of its historicity, the relation of the story to the poem. To do this we have to examine the two texts and their contexts. Let us first note, in examining the poem itself, that there is no indication in the text that the poet suffers from a physical affliction or is praying
for a physical cure. Muḥammad Kilānī’s attempt to determine on the basis of al-Būṣīrī’s references to ailments elsewhere in his *Diwān* that he may indeed have suffered from hemiplegia is, therefore, both inconclusive and, ultimately, irrelevant.\(^{37}\) More to the point is Stefan Sperl’s remark concerning spiritual malady and its cure:

> Although the poem makes no mention of a physical illness on the part of the poet it certainly contains evidence of a spiritual crisis: at the beginning of the work, the poet is in a state of despair and expresses bitter remorse over his moral failings. Through depicting and eulogizing the great example of the Prophet, he regains a sense of confidence, and, at the end of the poem, sees grounds for hope that his sins will be forgiven. This therapeutic element, inherent in the very structure of the work, may go some way towards explaining its immense popularity.\(^{38}\)

Above all, at this point, we must build upon our interpretation in chapter 1 of the relationship between the original Mantle Ode, Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s *Bānat Su‘ād*, and the myth of the donation of the Prophet’s mantle that has become so intimately associated with it. We argued there that Ka‘b’s poem was a supplicatory ode, a performance of the poet’s spiritual transformation from the Jāhiliyyah, a state of disbelief (or belief in an obsolete tribal religion) to allegiance to and belief in the Prophet Muhammad and his message, Islam. Inasmuch as the supplicatory ode partakes as well of the ritual of gift exchange inherent in the panegyric pact—the poet presents a “gift” of praise and the patron responds with a (greater) “counter-gift”—we interpreted the Prophet’s donation of his mantle to the poet as the completion, through a powerfully symbolic or metaphorical act, of the ritual: i.e., the patron’s counter-gift. We understood the gift of the mantle to be a symbol or token of the Prophet’s acceptance of the poet’s (poem of) submission, the Prophet’s protection, in this world, *and the next*. Further, we interpreted the appearance in the Umayyad and ‘Abbāsid caliphal courts of (what was believed to be) the very mantle given to Ka‘b by the Prophet, now worn ceremonially by the caliph on the major Islamic feast-days of ʿĪd al-Fītr and ʿĪd al-Aḍḥā as an emblem of the Prophet’s recognition and protection (i.e., legitimacy) of his rule, to be the result of a myth generating a relic.

Our argument will be that in composing this *madiḥ nabawi*, al-Būṣīrī is implicitly following in the steps of Ka‘b’s master poem and in the per-
formance of the spiritual transformation that it entails. One effect of the Dream of the Mantle is to explicitly establish poetic and spiritual cognation with Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr and his celebrated Su‘ād Has Departed. That is, al-Būṣīrī’s Dream of the Mantle, through its identification with Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s Donation of the Mantle, establishes a mythic concordance between the two poets and the two poems. As in Ka‘b’s case, so too in al-Būṣīrī’s, the myth of the mantle provides a symbolic or metaphoric completion of the ritual of exchange of praise for prize, with the difference that for the Mamlūk period poet, the entire exchange has been translated from the realm of an earthly encounter, understood to be historical, to that of the dream vision. And again, the Prophet’s acceptance of the poet’s poem/performance of submission, repentance, and praise is betokened by the conferring of the mantle, just as the poet’s spiritual transformation is betokened by his physical cure. In other words, al-Būṣīrī has appropriated Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s myth with all that it entails of religious and symbolic authority and interpretative significance for his poem. And, whereas Ka‘b’s myth generated a relic, al-Būṣīrī’s generated a miracle.

The barakah (blessing), that is, the performative efficacy inherent in al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, is incontrovertibly demonstrated in the dream vision of the Prophet, the Prophet’s donation of his mantle to the poet, and the poet’s miraculous cure. It is no wonder then that in medieval and popular Islam believers sought to appropriate the poem’s power. Literary appropriation was achieved through recitation, copying, commentary, imitation-contrafaction, translation, expansion, etc. All of these forms of engagement involve an identification with al-Būṣīrī’s original experience and a mythic concordance with it. They constitute performances of the text, through which the performer reenacts and recreates al-Būṣīrī’s poem/performance, in a manner we could understand in terms of mimesis whereby imitation generates identity, or in terms of sympathetic magic whereby an equally effective analog is created or performed. By “performance,” here as elsewhere in this study, I mean a ritual reenactment whereby the performer or ritual subject through the ritual mimetic repetition of an originary event himself undergoes—that is, experiences for himself—the spiritual (or social, etc.) transformation that it entails.

But something else has also transpired: whereas in Ka‘b’s case the myth produced a relic, in al-Būṣīrī’s case, the poem becomes the relic, that is, the physical remnant, of the miraculous cure. On this basis, par-
particularly in the extraliterary world of popular piety, we find—through processes we could understand as synecdoche (the part for the whole) or metonymy (association through attribute) or contagious magic—the widespread use of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah for amulets, talismans, and philters to cure a vast range of bodily and spiritual maladies, and to solve myriad problems of a more practical nature. In other words, through its association with al-Būṣīrī’s miracle the poetic text of the Burdah, or parts of it, serve as vessels or conduits for its spiritual and physical powers. Thus, in al-Bājūrī’s Ḥāshiyyah, we read of the following applications of selected verses:

Vv. 1–2:

The beneficial property of these two verses is that if you write them on a cup, that is, a glass, and dissolve them in rainwater and then give this drink to an untrainable or intractable beast, it will become tractable, docile, and quick to learn. And if you have a foreign slave who is slow to learn Arabic, write these two verses on a piece of gazelle parchment, hang it on his right arm, and he will speak Arabic in no time. (al-Bājūrī, p. 5)

Vv. 3–7:

If a man is suspicious of his wife, daughter, or female relative, he should write these verses on a citron leaf and put it on her left hand as she sleeps. If he then puts his ear to her mouth, she will tell him everything that she has done in his absence, whether good or bad. Similarly, if he suspects someone of stealing from him, let him write these verses on a tanned frog skin, then take the tongue of the frog and put it in the skin, then hang the skin around the suspect’s neck, and, out of surprise, he will confess immediately. (al-Bājūrī, p. 9)

V. 8.

The virtue of this verse is that whoever repeats it after the evening prayer until he is overcome by sleep will see the Prophet Muḥammad Muṣṭafā, pbuh, in his sleep, if Allāh the Exalted wills. (al-Bājūrī, p. 10)

Other verses, properly applied, will guarantee success in licit love (al-Bājūrī, p. 12), turn the unrepentant soul toward repentance (p. 13), make the hard-hearted and willful kindhearted and repentant (p. 18), give the lazy the energy to stay up late at night to pray and to do good works (p.
strengthen the heart of the warrior who fights in Allāh’s way and the heart of the deceased to answer the dreaded questions of the angels Munkir and Nakīr in the grave (p. 32). Further examples from al-Bājūrī include cures for epilepsy (p. 53) and stuttering (p. 57); protection for one’s house and garden against wild beasts, thieves, and worms (p. 76); amulets for children against Satan, disease, etc. (p. 82).

A twelfth/eighteenth-century manuscript now housed in Berlin that records the special properties of Burdah verses (Khawāṣṣ al-Burdah) compiled by one Ibn ‘Abd al-Salām gives fairly close variants of what we find in al-Bājūrī, but some others as well:

Vv. 145–147

The special property of these verses is that if they are engraved on a silver signet ring when the moon is out, they are beneficial for all bodily ailments from the head to the navel: they will cure ailments of the head and face, the eyes, nose and ears, the teeth, neck, shoulders, flanks, stomach, chest, and heart, with the permission of Allāh the Exalted; this is tested and sound. However, if they are engraved on an iron signet ring, then they are beneficial against all diseases from the navel to the foot: they will cure numbness, colic, and trembling, ailments of the kidneys and spleen, hemorrhoids, pains of the uterus, diarrhea, all diseases of the male and female genitals, and everything in the thighs. All of this is tested and sound, without a doubt. You put the silver ring on the head and the iron ring on the belly. (Ibn ‘Abd al-Salām, folio 253b) 39

Vv. 152–160:

The special property of these verses is for the dying. When someone is giving up the ghost, write these lines on a piece of his shroud and put it on his head, moistened with his sweat, then perfume this scrap of cloth with the perfume with which the dead are anointed. If the dying man is at the tomb of the Messenger, pbuh, you should place the piece of cloth in the noble Rawḍah [the Prophet’s Meadow, just west of his tomb in Medina] and let it become blessed there, so that when it is placed on the dying man’s head, the departure of his soul will be easy for him, with the permission of Allāh the Exalted, and he will remain calm in the face of death. And after it is brought from the Rawdhah to the tomb of the Prophet, pbuh, then someone must go to the dying man while he is still conscious and tell him that he is going to the best of places because of the blessing of these verses. (Ibn ‘Abd al-Salām, folio 254b)
The argument advanced in chapter 2 will be that in its ritual structure and thematic purport al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah is essentially an Arabic panegyric ode (qaṣīdat al-madhī) of the supplicatory variety, addressed to the Prophet Muḥammad as the mamdūh, and pleading for his intercession on Judgment Day. It follows that only through analyzing the supplicatory-panegyric structure of the poem itself within the context of the overarching classical Arabic-Islamic panegyric tradition can we understand the Burdah’s power to generate, or perpetuate, the myth of the miracle—the healing of the poet’s physical malady, in other words, the metaphorical leap from spiritual to physical cure, and further, within the popular Islamic tradition, the variety of talismanic and therapeutic properties attributed to the Burdah.

‘Umar ibn al-Fāriḍ’s Was That Laylá’s Fire

Technically, as has been generally recognized and widely pointed out, al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah is a muʿāraḍah (contrafaction, imitating the rhyme and meter) of an eighteen-verse Šūfī lyric (ghazal) by the Egyptian mystical poet ‘Umar ibn al-Fāriḍ (d. 632/1235).40

‘Umar ibn al-Fāriḍ’s Was That Laylá’s Fire41

1. Was that Laylá’s fire ablaze in the night at Dhū Salam
   Or was it a lightning-bolt flashing at al-Zawrā’, then at al-‘Alam?

2. O winds of Wadi Naʿmān, is there at dawn no breath of air?
   O water of Wajrah, is there for the thirsty mouth no drink?

3. O driver of the laden camels, who rolls up the wayless desert
   Like a scroll, at the Wormwood Trees of Iḍām,

4. Turn aside at the protected precinct, may God guide you,
   And head for the Lote-Thicket with its sweet bay and lavender.

5. And stop at Mount Salʿ and ask at the wadi’s bend
   If the tamarisk saplings on the valley’s sides have been watered by a streaming rain.

6. I beseech you by God, if you have crossed the ‘Aqīq in the forenoon,
   Do not be bashful, but greet its people with “Peace!”

7. And say, “I have left a man fallen in your abodes,
   Alive but like dead, lending malady to malady.”
8. In my heart is a flame of passion that could light a fire,  
    In my eyes are tears that pour like ceaseless rains.

9. Such is the lot of lovers: when they fall in love with a fawn  
    No part of their body is free from pain.

10. O you who, out of impudence, blame me for my love  
    Stop your blaming: if you had ever loved, you would not blame me.

11. By the sacred union and the ancient love,  
    By the firm covenant and what was of old,

12. I have found no consolation or replacement for them,  
    For it is not my nature to be consoled or to replace.

13. Return sleep to my eye, for perhaps then your phantom  
    Will visit my bed in the heedlessness of dream.

14. Alas for our days at al-Khayf, if only they had lasted tenfold!  
    O how was it that they did not last!

15. Far from it! O, if only my sorrow and regret  
    Could recover what is lost!

16. O gazelles of the sloping dune, out of kindness, turn away from me,  
    For I have trained my gaze to look at no one but them,

17. Out of obedience to a judge who has issued an awful fatwa:  
    To shed my blood in both profane and sacred months.

18. He is deaf to my complaints; mute, giving no reply;  
    To the plight of the lover, he is blind.

What those who mention this relationship fail to point out, however, is that  
though this technical fact and al-Būṣīrī’s elegant evocation of the base-text  
in the nasīb (elegiac prelude), verses 1–11 of his long ode, there is no further  
structural or thematic resemblance between the two poems. That is to say,  
they belong to two different poetic genres. Ibn al-Fāriḍ’s is a Şūfī ghazal of  
rhapsodic love, essentially, as Jaroslav Stetkevych has demonstrated in his  
discussion of one of its sister-poems in the Dīwān of Ibn al-Fāriḍ, a mysti-  
cal distillation of the motifs and structure of the classical nasīb (the open-  
ing section of the bipartite or tripartite classical qaṣīdah), rapturous love  
and yearning for the lost or departed beloved, to create the self-contained  
ghazal-form, in which the poet quite explicitly (vv. 12, 16) refuses to move  
psychologically or poetically beyond his love obsession.42 By contrast, al-
Buṣīrī’s Burdah, as we shall see below, takes as its overall framework the structure, themes, and motifs of the classical Arabic qaṣīdat al-madhī (panegyric ode) of the supplicatory type. In the qaṣīdah form, the poet concludes the nasīb by repenting of and abjuring the passions indulged therein and moving on, often by means of the raḥīl, or desert journey section, to a world of new affections and allegiances, of a more mature and stable nature, in the madīḥ, or praise, section.

The Mantle Ode

Let us turn to the Burdah itself: it consists of 160 lines (give or take a few in various recensions; it is also very common to add additional lines at the end of the poem to specify additional persons and groups of people to be blessed). It is in the meter al-Basīṭ (mustaf’ilun fā’ilun), rhymed in mīm.

THE STRUCTURE OF AL-BUṢĪRĪ’S BURDAH

In many of the manuscript and print traditions, the Burdah is divided into ten sections. This division is neither original nor essential to the poem and has the effect of breaking up the poetic sequence or flow of the lines and the transitional passages. However, for the purposes of a thematic and structural overview, it proves useful. The titles or labels of the sections vary somewhat, but the divisions themselves are consistent. Badr al-Dīn Muhammad al-Ghazzī (d. 984/1577), in his commentary Al-Zubdah fī Sharḥ al-Burdah, labels the sections as follows (emphasis mine):

Thematic Sections of al-Buṣīrī’s Burdah
1. Al-nasīb al-nabawī (Prophetic nasīb): vv. 1–11 = lyric-elegiac prelude
2. Al-taḥḍīr min hawā al-nafs (Warning against the desires of the self): vv. 11–28
4. Al-taḥadduth ‘an mawlidih (What is related about his birth): vv. 59–71
5. Al-taḥadduth ‘an mu’jizātih (What is related about his miracles): vv. 72–87
8. Al-taḥadduth ’an jihād al-Rasūl wa-ghazawātih (What is related about the jihād and military campaigns of the Messenger): vv. 118–39
10. *Al-munājāh wa-al-taæarruʿ* (Fervent Prayer and Petition): vv. 152–60

If we omit all the sections that al-Ghazzī labels as *taḥadduth*, that is, “relating what is said about something” (which deal with elements from the life of the Prophet), we find that the remaining parts of the poem (1–3 and 9–10) in sequence, themes, and motifs, constitute a classically structured supplicatory panegyric ode. The sequence is: lyric-erotic prelude and complaint of passion—conventional subjects of the classical *nasib*, the opening section of the panegyric ode (parts 1–2); praise of the *mamdūḥ* (one praised, patron), in this case the Prophet Muḥammad (part 3); the poet’s self-abasement and supplication (parts 9–10). The thematic structure of the two-part *qaṣīdat al-madḥ*, consisting of *nasib* (lyric-elegiac prelude) and *madiḥ* (praise section) with little or no indication of a *raḥīl* (journey section), as has long been recognized, came to dominate the Arabic panegyric tradition from the ʿAbbāsid period onward. The formative structural role that supplication (including self-abasement and plea) plays in the Arabic panegyric tradition had, however, been largely overlooked prior to my recent studies of what I have termed the Supplicatory Ode.44

We argued in chapter 1 that Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr’s *Suʿād Has Departed* owes its poetic and ritual efficacy to its structural, thematic, and motival incorporation of the supplicatory elements already established in the pre-Islamic odes of that type—for example al-Nābighah’s poem of apology, O Abode of Mayyah, or ʿAlqamah’s poem for the release of his captive kinsmen, A Heart Turbulent with Passion. Even in panegyric odes that are less explicitly supplicatory, such as Zuhayr’s poems to Harim ibn Sinān, the effect of this supplicatory structure, as we saw in chapter 1, is to render the poem a performative speech act that establishes a mutual bond of obligation and allegiance between the supplicant and supplicated, that is, between the poet and the *mamdūḥ*. In other words, with the poet’s recitation of the poem before the patron, a mutual contractual obligation comes into effect—a “panegyric pact”—and, further, the poem constitutes both the performance and the documentation of that contract. The forging of this contractual bond takes place through a ritual exchange, in the terms formulated by Marcel Mauss, in which the poet’s gift (the poem of praise) obligates the patron to make a counter-gift (termed in Arabic *jāʾizah* or prize), and the gift and counter-gift
then serve as tokens of the bond of allegiance established between the two. It is of note that al-Bājūrī’s version of the Burdah of Ka‘b ibn Zubayr story uses the verbal derivative of jā‘izah (prize, award), that is, ajāza (he bestowed a prize, award; see text cited above).

The present argument is, in essence, that the efficacy, both poetic and religious, of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah lies in large part in its ritual structure, which is that of the Supplicatory Ode of the Classical tradition and which is also, potentially, a liturgical structure—the distinction lying solely in the identity of the mamdūh, i.e., whether he is royal or divine, political or religious. The story of al-Būṣīrī’s Dream of the Mantle (whatever its precise provenance) should alert us to the cognation between not merely the two stories but also the two poems. Our argument here is that al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, however thematically distinct, shares with Ka‘b’s Su‘ād Has Departed the same supplicatory structure with its four basic elements: 1) Lyric-Elegiac Prelude (nasīb); 2) Self-Abasement and Submission; 3) Praise of the one supplicated; and 4) Supplication. These, as we will see further below, are set out in Burdah parts 1, 2, 3, 9, and 10. The additional sections, parts 4–8, comprise major thematic elements in the life of the Prophet and, I will argue, are incorporated structurally as a series of extensions to the praise section, part 3. These structural supplements are not, however, mere versified narratives of episodes from the life of the Prophet; in fact, they are not narratively or chronologically structured. Rather we find there that major themes from the life of the Prophet are poetically performed to produce a polemic in defense of an ideology of Islamic Manifest Destiny.

With this contextual and conceptual framework in mind, let us now turn to our reading of the poetic text itself.

**â e Beginning of the Supplicatory Pattern: Parts 1–3**

*The Mantle Ode of Al-Būṣīrī*

PART 1: PROPHETIC NASĪB (VV. 1–12)

1. Was it the memory of those you loved at Dhū Salam
   That made you weep so hard your tears were mixed with blood?

2. Or was it the wind that stirred from the direction of Kāẓimah
   And the lightning that flashed in the darkness of Idam?
3. What ails your eyes? If you say, “Cease!” they flow with tears;  
What ails your heart? If you say “Be still!” its passion flares once more.

4. Does the lover think that his passion can be concealed  
When his tears are flowing with it and his heart inflamed?

5. But for passion you would not shed tears over a ruined abode,  
Nor spend nights sleepless from remembering the ben-tree’s fragrance and the supple banner-spear.  
OR: from remembering the ben-tree and the mountain peak.

6. How can you deny your love when two upstanding witnesses,  
Tears and lovesickness, have testified to it?

7. And passion has borne witness to it  
With two streaks of tears upon your cheeks, as red as ‘anam-boughs,  
and a sickly face, as yellow as the blossoms of bahār?

8. Oh yes, the phantom of the one I love did come by night  
And leave me sleepless; love does indeed impede delight with pain.

9. O you who fault me for chaste ‘Udhri passion, forgive me!  
For were you fair, you would not censure me.

10. May you be stricken with the same affliction!  
My secret is not hidden from my enemies; my sickness never ends!

11. You gave me sound counsel, but I didn’t listen,  
For lovers are deaf to those that reproach them.

12. Even the advice of grey hair I held suspect,  
Though grey hair is the least suspect of all reproachers.

The *nasīb*, as discussed above in the context of Ibn al-Fāriḍ’s Şūfī *ghazal*, is a model of the intriguing ambiguity of poetic language. Al-Ghazzī tells us in his commentary (v. 2 and n. 1) that Dhū Salam, Kāzīmah, and Iḍām are places (or the last perhaps a mountain) near Mecca, the site of the Ḥajj, and Medina, the city of the Prophet; and that the use of such pilgrimage-related place-names is a sign that the poem will be a *madiḥ nabawī*. Yet, at the same time, we should note that the evocation of pilgrimage-route place-names in the *nasīb*, a convention traceable to the later ‘Abbāsid Shi‘īte poets al-Sharīf (descendant of the Prophet) al-Raḍī (d. 406/1016) and his devoted pupil, a convert from Zoroastrianism, Mihyār al-Daylamī (d. 428/1037), became highly developed in Şūfī po-
etry. There, the identity of the “beloved” is either Allāh or His Prophet, and, as J. Stetkevych has shown, the place-names evocative of the pilgrimage route and sacred cities serve to create a spiritual itinerary.\(^{48}\) We must keep in mind, however, that at this point in the Arabic poetic tradition, the use of these names evokes poetic precedents and their lyric-elegiac mood-associations rather than geographical locations. Further, in composing his poem as a muʿāraḍah of Ibn al-Fāriḍ’s mīmiyyah, a Śūfī ghazal of unrepentant devotion to the b/Beloved, al-Būṣīrī employs the same poetic motifs and diction to evoke the memory of and passion for a lost beloved.

In the reading of verse 5 that I have chosen to translate, “the ben-tree’s fragrance” and “the supple banner-spear” (ʿalam, literally “the ben-tree” and “the banner-spear”) serve as traditional metaphors (or similes) for the beloved’s delightful fragrance (a synecdoche, really) and supple figure. Following Nicholson’s choice of the other meaning of ʿalam that the commentarists proffer, “mountain tip,” the result is, as al-Ghazzī tells us, that both elements now serve as synecdoches for the places of verses 1 and 2: the ben-trees of Dhū Salam and the tip of Mount ʿIḍam (al-Ghazzī, v. 5).\(^{49}\) In effect, the semantic interplay of conventional elements of the intimately related imagery and diction of the abode and the beloved has been so intensified in the Arabic poetic tradition that the strict distinction of rhetorical function—simile, metaphor, synecdoche, or metonymy—breaks down until everything is a metonymy of everything else—that is, any one element has the effect of evoking the others.

Technically, within the nasīb itself (vv.1–12), the identity of the b/Beloved is not revealed: is this a worldly passion or is al-Būṣīrī describing his passionate devotion to the Prophet Muḥammad or the Divine? In the end—and this is where the metalanguage of poetic form (i.e., of the difference between the self-contained ghazal-form and the nasīb, which is only the first part of the structural-thematic trajectory of the bi- or tri-partite qaṣīdah-form) comes into play—the nasīb (vv. 1–12) of al-Būṣīrī’s mādīḥ nabawī veers away from the concluding reaffirmation of unrepentant passion of the self-contained (Śūfī) ghazal. It follows instead the structural dictates of the panegyric ode (qaṣīdat al-mādīḥ), that is, it invokes the memory of and passion for what is revealed to be an earthly beloved, but in the end abjures that passion and moves on to regret and repentance—as we saw in the qaṣīdahs of chapter 1. By contrast, the self-contained Śūfī ghazal
stubbornly maintains the ambiguity—or identity—of the earthly and divine b/Beloved.

**PART 2: WARNING AGAINST THE DESIRES OF THE SELF**

**(vv. 13–28)**

13. My willful wicked soul in its folly refused all warnings
   From the harbingers of grey hair and old age.

14. It did not prepare a repast of good deeds to welcome
   The guest (grey hair) that, unabashed, alighted on my head.

15. Had I known that I could not honor this guest,
   I would have concealed his arrival with katam-dye.

16. Who will restrain my defiant soul from error
   The way that bolting steeds are curbed by yanking back the reins?

17. Don’t hope to curb her craving for defiance by indulging it,
   For food only increases the glutton’s appetite.

18. The self is like a little child: if you ignore it, it won’t outgrow
   Its love of suckling; only if you wean it will it stop.

19. Curb the passion of your willful soul; don’t let it rule you!
   When passion reigns it brings death or disgrace.

20. Watch over her when she is grazing freely among deeds:
   If she finds a pasture sweet, don’t let her graze there.

21. How often has she made the deadly seem delicious,
   So man doesn’t know that his rich dish holds poison.

22. Fear the tricks that hunger and satiety can play!—
   An empty belly can do as much harm as a full one.

23. Purge with tears an eye sated with forbidden sights;
   Adhere to a strict diet of repentance.

24. Disobey your willful soul and Satan, defy them!
   And if they offer you advice, don’t trust them!

25. Don’t let them play litigant or judge in your deliberations,
   For you know the tricks that litigants and judges play.⁵⁰

26. I seek God’s forgiveness for my saying what I do not do;
   It’s as though I’ve claimed a sterile man has children.

27. I ordered you to do good, but I did not obey my own command;
   I have not done right, so how can I tell you “Do right!”?
28. I have not set by, before death, a store of extra prayers;  
I've prayed only the required prayers, and have not fasted more than  
is required.

The imposition of thematic sectional divisions upon the poem may ob-  
scure the masterful transition of verses 11–13 through which the ambigu-  
ous b/Beloved of the Šūfī-influenced nasĭb is revealed to be an earthly  
beloved who represents the vanities and passions of the world. In the  
end, al-Būṣīrī’s nasĭb thus resembles Kaʿb’s nasĭb (chapter 1) more than  
it resembles Ibn al-Fārid’s ghazal. Further, for al-Būṣīrī, inasmuch as the  
nasĭb functions as an emblem or synecdoche of poetry as whole, what  
the poet is really rejecting is worldly poetry (see below). By verse 13, then,  
the passion of the nasĭb is described as a folly from which the willful self  
or soul will not desist (al-Būṣīrī’s ʿammāratī bi-al-sūʾi invokes the  
Qurʾānic ʿinna al-nafsp la-ʿammāratun bi-al-sūʾi, “Surely the willful  
soul commands one to evil,” of Sūrat Yusûf [QK 12:53]). In verses 13–15,  
al-Būṣīrī employs the conceit of grey hair (a reminder of man’s mortality  
and a harbinger of death) as a pushy guest who arrives unannounced  
and for whom the poet/host is caught unprepared. As al-Ghazzī’s editor,  
Bāshā, and Sperl note, the second hemistich of verse 14, “The guest (grey  
hair) that, unabashed, alighted on my head,” is a direct quote of the  
opening hemistich of a poem by al-Mutanabbi.51 Nevertheless, the clas-  
sical nasĭb motif of the unrepentant aging lover railing against old age  
(al-shakwá min al-shayb) is somewhat twisted here. Instead of defying  
or denying the unwanted guest, he recognizes his obligation to honor  
him. The poet is ashamed of his arrested moral development and repents  
of having clung to the things of this world, “the follies of youth,” rather  
than preparing a store of good deeds for the “guest,” for the life to come.  
These verses thus signal a moral and emotional transition.

This reading should help us understand that in the classical qaṣīdat  
al-madhḥ, too, the nasĭb is not an extraneous element nor merely a seduc-  
tive “come-on,” but the necessary starting point for the psychological  
and moral trajectory of the poem. Unlike the static single-themed  
ghazal-form that so admirably expresses (especially) erotic fixation or  
obsession, the qaṣīdah in its tripartite or bipartite form is a structure that  
expresses transition, indeed, transformation. So far in this poem, we
have made the transition from erotic suffering (part 1) to repentance, contrition, and the poet’s moral admonition, to himself as well as others, to curb the appetites of the “willful ego” (part 2). With this, the elements of self-abasement that most concern us in the context of the present argument come to the fore. They are most apparent in the confession and plea for absolution in verses 26–29.

Once again the section heading of part 3 obscures the subtlety of the transition, whereby the poet moves from the self-reproach and repentance of part 2 into the Praise for the Prophet Muḥammad of part 3: “... I’ve prayed only the required prayers, and have not fasted more than is required // I have profaned the Path of him whose night prayers brought the darkness to life / ...” (vv. 28–29). It should be further noted here that Part 2: Warning against the Desires of the Self serves as a passage of self-abasement and transition in the same way the ḥālīl, the central journey section, of the tripartite qaṣīdat al-madḥ does.

**PART 3: PRAISE OF THE NOBLE MESSENGER (VV. 29–58)**

29. I have profaned the Path of him whose night prayers brought the darkness to life
   Until his feet complained of pain and swelling,

30. Who tied a stone to his belly to blunt the hunger pangs,
   Concealing beneath the stone his tender flank.

31. Haughty mountains of pure gold sought to tempt him,
   But, oh, with what disdain he turned them down!

32. His need served only to strengthen his renunciation,
   For necessity cannot prevail against the sinless.

33. How could need tempt with this world’s vanities one who, but for him,
   The world would never have emerged from nothingness?

34. Muḥammad, the master of all who dwell in both the seen and unseen worlds,
   Of both corporeal species, men and jinn, of the two races, ‘Arab and ‘Ajam.

35. Our Prophet, the commander of good and forbider of evil;
   No one was more just than he when he said “yes” or “no.”

36. He is the beloved of God whose intercession is hoped for
   In the face of every dread and unexpected horror.
37. He called mankind to God, so whoever clings to him
    Clings to a rope that will never be broken.

38. He surpassed all other prophets in form and character;
    They could not approach him in knowledge or in magnanimity.

39. Each of them beseeching God’s Messenger
    For a handful of the sea of his knowledge or a sip of the unceasing
downpour of his munificence.

40. Standing before him, lined up by rank,
    Like points on the letters of his knowledge or vowel-marks on the text
    of his wisdom.

41. He is the one whose spirit and form were perfected,
    Then the Creator of mankind chose him as His beloved.

42. In inner and outer beauty he is free from any partner,
    For in him the essence of beauty is indivisible.

43. Don’t claim what the Christians claim for their prophet,
    But praise him as you judge best and proper.

44. Ascribe to him as much honor as you wish;
    Assign him as much majesty as you desire,

45. For surely the merit of God’s Messenger is without limit,
    And so exceeds what any tongue could ever express.

46. If his miracles were as mighty as his rank, the mere mention of his name
    Would bring decaying bones of dead men back to life.

47. He did not test us with more than human minds could grasp,
    So we never harbored doubts nor fell into confusion.

48. But his true nature defies human understanding:
    There is no one, near or far, who is not dumbfounded by it,

49. Like the sun that from afar seems small to the eye,
    But is too bright to look at directly.

50. How can mankind in this world, asleep and distracted by dreams,
    Comprehend the Prophet’s true nature?

51. The utmost extent of our knowledge of him is that he is human,
    And that he is the best of all of God’s creation.

52. And all the miracles that other noble messengers have brought
    Have reached them only through his light.

53. He is the sun in virtue; the others, stars
    Whose lights appear to mankind only at night.
54. How noble is the form of a Prophet adorned with good morals, 
Cloaked in comeliness, marked by a radiant face!

55. A tender blossom in complexion, the full moon in nobility, 
The sea in magnanimity, in aspiration as limitless as time itself.

56. Even alone in his majesty, he seems, when you meet him, 
Surrounded by an army and an entourage.

57. As if the pearls secreted in their oyster shells 
Were from the two mines of his speech and of his smile.

58. No perfume is as redolent as the dust that holds his bones; 
Whoever inhales or kisses it is blessed.

The *madīḥ* (praise) section weaves together threads of several different strains, which, when analyzed, give us some idea of the literary genealogy of al-Būṣīrī’s *madīḥ* *nabawī*. First, as expected, there are elements deriving from Islamic religious sources, the Qur’ān and the life of the Prophet, the latter sometimes traceable to Ibn Hishām/Ibn Isḥāq’s *Al-Sīrah al-Nabawiyyah* and to standard Ḥadith collections, such as those of al-Bukhārī and Muslim, as noted by the commentators, but also to later though authoritative sources, such as the Traditionists al-Ḥākim al-Naysābūrī (d. 405/1014) and his pupil Abū Bakr al-Bayhaqī (d. 458/1066). Particularly proximate to the Burdah in time and materials pertaining to the Prophet is the enormously successful *Al-Shifā’ bi-Ta‘rif Ḥuqūq al-Muṣṭafā* by the celebrated Mālikī scholar of the Muslim West, al-Qāḍī ʿĪyāḍ ibn Mūsá (d. 544/1149), a work that continues to play an important role in popular Muslim piety. A compendium of traditional beliefs concerning the Prophet and the obligations of the Muslim to him, *Al-Shifā’* provides a convenient prose reference, if not indeed a source, for many of the traditions concerning the Prophet covered in parts 3–8 of the Burdah, and is cited often, sometimes by name, sometimes not, by the Arab commentators relied on in this study. We should not lose sight of the fact, however, that al-Būṣīrī’s most direct sources for the Burdah were no doubt his literary predecessors in the genre of *madīḥ* *nabawī* and in the broader Arabic poetic tradition.

Except for some of the Qur’ānic references, it is impossible to say precisely what al-Būṣīrī’s sources were, but we can conclude from the corroborating evidence of the sources adduced by the commentators that the Bur-
dah expresses traditional beliefs that were accepted in his time and long thereafter. Further, we should note that what in historical terms we would call Post-Classical Islamic developments are seamlessly integrated here and elsewhere in the poem. In the initial passage describing the Prophet’s suffering and renunciation, elements attested by al-Bukhārī and Al-Sīrah of Ibn Hishām, such as the Prophet placing a stone on his belly to ward off hunger pangs (vv. 29–30) lead to an episode (which we might otherwise recognize from the Temptation of Christ) in verse 31, attested to in Al-Shifā’ of al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ, in which Jibril tempted or tested the Prophet with a mountain of gold. Likewise, later neo-Platonic–influenced concepts of the Prophet Muḥammad are reflected in verse 33, for which al-Ghazzī and al-Bājūrī cite a ḥadīth given by al-Ḥakīm and his pupil al-Bayhaqī concerning the concept of al-ḥaqīqah al-Muḥammadiyyah, i.e., that the Prophet Muḥammad was the goal of God’s creation.

When Ādam committed a sin, because he had seen written on the feet of God’s throne: “There is no god but God and Muḥammad is the Messenger of God,” he asked if he could be forgiven in Muḥammad’s name (bi-haqq Muḥammad). God Almighty replied, “If you are asking me in his name (bi-ḥaqiqih), then you are forgiven, for if it were not for Muḥammad, I would not have created you.” And God had created for the progeny of Ādam all that is on the earth and subjected to them the sun and the moon and the night and the day, etc.” (al-Ghazzī, al-Bājūrī, v. 33)

It is curious that, whereas al-Ghazzī interprets verses 52–53 more conservatively, saying that Muḥammad’s nūr (light) means his knowledge, and that the image of the sun and stars is a simile, meaning that the sharī’ah of Muḥammad abrogated those of the earlier prophets, al-Azharī and al-Bājūrī both add further that these lines refer to al-nūr al-Muḥammadi. The analogy is quite compelling—the sun has light in itself, whereas the light of the planets is only borrowed from the sun; the sun’s light is not at all diminished by its lighting the planets, etc. (al-Ghazzī, al-Azharī, al-Bājūrī, vv. 52–53). Not surprisingly, such elements of the poem elicited condemnation from certain conservative quarters, most notably the celebrated Ḥanbalī theologian Ibn Taymiyyah (d. 728/1328), who took particular exception to verses 43–46.

Some Qur’ānic references are more straightforward, such as verse 37 in its poetic paraphrase of QK 2:256: “Whoever denies Satan and believes in Allāh has grasped a firm handle that will never break” ( . . . fa-
man yakfur bi-al-ṭāghūti wa-yu’min bi-Allāhi fa-qad istamsaka bi-al-‘urwā al-wuthqā lā infišāma la-hā . . . ), and which also plays with the use of this expression as an epithet for the Prophet.\(^5^7\) Other elements reflect the Islamic beliefs of the medieval period, deriving from neo-Platonic, Ṣūfī, and popular devotional sources, for example, verses 33–34, 36, 42, 46, 50–52.

Most important are the two interrelated and interwoven themes that dominate Part 3: Praise of the Noble Messenger: the Intercession of the Prophet on Judgment Day, and his rank, as Seal of the Prophets (Khātam al-Anbiyā’), above that of all other prophets. Both reflect the medieval development of personal devotion to the Prophet Muḥammad, and both perform the standard function of classical Arabic panegyric (madīḥ), that is, of establishing the unique qualifications of the mamdūh for his position, to the exclusion of all other claimants or contenders. On the Prophet as Intercessor on the Day of Judgment, both al-Ghazzī and al-Bājūrī cite a passage from the renowned Shāfiʿī jurist and traditionist of Damascus, Muḥyī al-Dīn al-Nawawī (d. 676/1277) on the types of intercession that the Prophet can or will provide (al-Ghazzī, al-Bājūrī, v. 36). Muḥammad’s unique role among the prophets as intercessor for his community on Judgment Day is dramatically related by al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ in Al-Shifā:

It was related that Abū Hurayrah said: The sun will draw near and the people will suffer the most unbearable affliction, until they say, “Don’t you see anyone who can intercede for you?” So they come to Adam and say, “O Adam, father of all mankind! God created you with His own hand, and breathed some of His own spirit into you, and set you to dwell in His garden, and made the angels prostrate themselves before you, and taught you the names of all things! Intercede for us with your Lord so He will release us from this terrible state! Don’t you see what distress we’re in?” Adam replies: “My Lord is very angry today—angrier than He ever was before or ever will be! He forbade me to taste of the tree and I disobeyed! I fear for my own soul! Seek help from someone else! Go to Noah!” So they come to Noah and say, “You are the first Messenger God sent to the people of the earth, and God named you His grateful servant. Don’t you see what distress we are in? Don’t you see what’s happened to us? Won’t you intercede for us with your Lord?” Noah replies: “My Lord is very angry today—angrier than He ever was before or ever will be! I fear for my own soul! . . . Go to Abraham!”
[The story repeats itself with Abraham, Moses, and finally Jesus, who directs them to Muḥammad. The narration continues, now in Muḥammad’s words:]

Then they come to me, so I say: “I’m the one to do it. I will go and ask permission to go before my Lord and He will grant it to me. And when I see Him I will prostrate myself before Him. . . . Then a voice will say “O Muḥammad, raise your head. Ask and you will be given, intercede and you will be granted intercession.” Then I will raise my head and say, “O Lord, my people (ummatī)! O Lord, my people!” Then He will say, “Bring in your people without any reckoning through the right-hand gate of the gates of the garden.”

The intimate connection between the twin elements of Muḥammad’s intercession on Judgment Day and his superiority to the other prophets is made explicit in this prose narrative.

The insistence on Muḥammad’s rank as the highest of the prophets that continues in verses throughout much of part 3 is a theme that reflects the intercommunal polemic distinctive of the Burdah and others of al-Būṣīrī’s poems, and which comes to the fore in the Burdah, Part 7: The Night Journey and Ascension. Al-Būṣīrī, in his argument and polemic against Christianity, faces a complex rhetorical challenge. The Christians, in claiming that Jesus is divine, make a higher claim for him than the Muslims do for Muḥammad. In Islamic doctrine Muḥammad is the best and last of all the prophets (the Seal of the Prophets/Khātam al-Anbiyāʾ), but he is human and not divine. Thus al-Būṣīrī cannot merely exchange their positions: while demoting Jesus from divine to prophetic status, he cannot correspondingly elevate Muḥammad to the Godhead. Additionally, as Jesus is recognized as a prophet in Islam, al-Būṣīrī cannot attack him, but only his followers who (falsely, in the Islamic view) claim divinity for him. In this respect, al-Būṣīrī’s greatest rhetorical challenge is to elevate Muḥammad as far as possible above the other prophets without committing shirk, that is, polytheism, assigning partners to Allāh (precisely the abomination of Christianity, in Muslim eyes), i.e., claiming divinity for him.

Verses 38 to 40 are devoted to the first step of al-Būṣīrī’s argument: the demonstration, in ritual terms that we now understand, of the inferior status of the other prophets vis-à-vis Muḥammad. In verse 39 they are portrayed as supplicants (with all that that entails of self-abasement, etc.) begging for even a handful of the sea of Muḥammad’s knowledge.
or a sip of the downpour of his bounty. Their subordination is bodily enacted or performed in verse 40 as they stand by rank lined up before him, like courtiers before their king, or soldiers before their commander, to be understood in terms of Connerton’s “choreography of authority.”

The second hemistich of verse 40 then switches from the bodily to the conceptual (badī’i) expression: Muḥammad’s knowledge (= the Qurʾān) is the main text (= the ultimate divine revelation) while theirs (the Torah, the Psalms, the Gospels), like letter points and vowel marks, are ancillary to the main text.

The second step is the elevation of the Prophet Muḥammad. Verses 41 and 42 perform this function, attributing to the Prophet perfection and moral and physical beauty. In verse 42 the poet elevates Muḥammad as much as “humanly” and rhetorically possible. Al-Būṣīrī suggestively employs diction that is commonly associated with Allāh Himself: “free of any partner” (munazzahun ‘an sharīkin) is uncomfortably close to the Islamic deific formula for Allāh “who has no partner” (lā sharika lahu); likewise “indivisible . . . essence” (jawharu . . . ghayru munqasimin) echoes the formulations of the Mutakallimūn (speculative theologians) on the nature of Allāh. Of course, in terms of elevating the status of Muḥammad this is as rhetorically striking as it is daring. As an escape clause, al-Būṣīrī offers verse 43, “Don’t claim what the Christians claim for their prophet [Jesus]”—carefully leaving the unthinkable (the divinity of Muḥammad) unspoken. Yet we find in verse 46, carefully couched in a conditional sentence, a similar appropriation of divine attributes to the Prophet. The Qurʾān is, of course, replete with expressions of Allāh’s reviving the dead on Resurrection Day. Al-Būṣīrī’s verse 46 plays explicitly, however, on one instance, through the conjuncture of the verb ʿahyā (to give life, to revive) with the rhyme word al-rimami (decaying bones). This is an evocation of the Qurʾānic exchange in which Allāh instructs Muḥammad that, if a doubter should challenge him saying, “Who can revive (yuḥyī) dead bones after they are decayed (ramīm)?” he should reply, “He [Allāh] who gave them life in the first place will revive them (yuḥyīhā)” (QK 36:78–79).

Another feature of part 3 is one that distinguishes al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah from the more purely lyrical devotional tone of much of the medieval madāʾīḥ nabawīyyah: al-Būṣīrī’s introduction of the rhetoric and motifs of the Arab-Islamic court panegyric tradition. This poetics or rhetoric of political power originated in the Jāhiliyyah with poets such as al-
Nābighah (see chapter 1), was appropriated in the Umayyad period by poets like al-Akhṭal, Jarīr, and al-Farazdaq, and reached its apex in the ʿAbbāsid period in the highly rhetorically ornate bādiʿ-style panegyrics of poets like Abū Tammām (d. 231/845), al-Buḥtūrī (d. 284/897), and al-Mutanabbī (d. 354/965; chapter 2, introduction). This High ʿAbbāsid panegyric style became the consummate expression of divinely appointed Arab-Islamic hegemonic rule. It is most salient in this section in verses such as 33, which despite its neo-Platonic precept exemplifies the verbal and mental play that defines al-madhhab al-kalāmī, that most distinctive element of the bādiʿ style that we have described as “mental gymnastics.” Typical, too, of the high panegyric bādiʿ style is verse 40, discussed above, with its conceit that the other prophets are to Muḥammad as “points on the letters of his knowledge or vowel-marks on the text of his wisdom.” Verses 53–57, except for the word Prophet in verse 54, could be taken directly from court panegyric of the Abū Tammāmian sort. Despite its lyrical first hemistich, verse 55, as has been noted by al-Ghazzī’s editor, Bāshā, boasts precisely the parallel phrases (tashṭīr or tarṣī) of Abū Tammām’s celebrated praise of the Caliph al-Muʾtaṣim:

Directed by one relying on God, avenging for God
Striving for God and yearning for God.61

Verse 56 in particular is straight out of the ʿAbbāsid military panegyric motif catalog: the image of the caliph-commander who incites as much awe when alone as when surrounded by his mighty army is now applied to Muḥammad. The double-simile of pearls in verse 57, as al-Ghazzī (v. 57) notes, is based on a verse by al-Buḥtūrī:

Pearls she reveals when she smiles,
And pearls she lets fall, one by one, as she speaks.62

In terms of our discussion of the rhetorically ornate bādiʿ style, we can note that al-Būṣīrī’s verse, like al-Buḥtūrī’s, is a double inverted simile. The poet has taken two traditional similes: first, that beautiful words are like pearls (cf. the English “pearls of wisdom” for “wise sayings”) and second, that beautiful white teeth are like pearls (cf., again, the English “pearly whites” for “teeth”); then, al-Ghazzī continues, the poet has in-
verted each one of them (pearls are like the Prophet’s words and like the Prophet’s teeth) and combined them in a single verse (al-Ghazzi, v. 57). That one simile is physical and the other conceptual adds further rhetorical refinement.

In a curious and moving manner, after this complex interweaving of various religious and political panegyric strains, al-Būṣīrī closes the madīḥ section proper (part 3) with a delicately lyrical verse (v. 58), “No fragrance is as redolent as the dust that holds his bones,” that evokes the elegiac mood of both nasīb and rīthā’ that we know from Hassān ibn Thābit’s elegy to the Prophet (chapter 1). The section closes with a theme so prominent in both the madīḥ nabawī and popular piety of the medieval period, the devotional visit to Medina and the grave of the Prophet after completing the pilgrimage rites at Mecca.63 Indeed, verse 58, “No perfume is as redolent as the dust that holds his bones; / Whoever inhales or kisses it is blessed” virtually reiterates the prescription of al-Qāḍī ‘Īyād’s Al-Shifā concerning the place where the Prophet’s skin first touched the earth after his death: “Its courtyards should be glorified, its fragrant odors should be inhaled, and its abodes and walls should be kissed” (‘an tu‘azzama ‘araṣātuhā wa-tunassama nafāḥātuhā wa-tuqabbātubālubā‘u‘uḥā wa-judrānuhā).64

The delicate lyricism of verse 58 should not blind us to its rhetorical sophistication, most notably in the jīnās (root-play) between ‘īb (fragrance or perfume) and tūbā (blessing), one conveying sweetness and purity on the physical level, the other on the spiritual. The commentators insist on telling us that a‘ẓum (bones) is to be understood as a synecdoche for the entire body, because Muḥammad’s body, like those of other prophets, is incorruptible and does not decompose in the grave (al-Bājūrī, v. 58). This should serve to remind us that especially in the Islamic context, ‘īb and tūbā, perfume and blessing, are both associated with immortality and salvation, a point further corroborated by the name (or epithet) of the Prophet’s grave-site, Ṭaybah (see chapter 1, Ḥassān ibn Thābit’s elegy). The emotional and literary effect of this verse serves as genre signpost to alert us once more to the fact that this is not, after all, court panegyric, but al-Būṣīrī’s (at its time) curious hybrid, madīḥ nabawī, with its distinctive structural and motival combination of the lyric elegiac elements of nasīb and rīthā’ with the masculine and hegemonic elements of qaṣīdat al-madīḥ, and, finally, the projection into
the future of the completion of the ritual exchange that the classical qaṣīdah embodies and implies—i.e., shafā‘ah and tūbā, intercession on Judgment Day and blessing.

It is important to realize that part 3, the mādīḥ or praise section of the Burdah, is not merely a string of encomiastic verses, but rather it exhibits a clear psychological or spiritual progression or transformation. The poet (and reader) begins part 3, verse 29, in a state of self-reproach and despair over his sins: “I have profaned the Path [sunnah] of him whose night prayers . . . ,” but emerges from it with the hope of intercession, blessing, and spiritual renewal. Thus in itself, part 3 encapsulates the structure of ritual exchange of the mādīḥ nabawī: the poet opens with self-abasement and contrition, then offers a gift of praise, and closes with a blessing.

In terms of the full supplicatory structure of the poem, the mādīḥ section can be understood as the countermovement to elements 1) Nasīb and 2) Self-Abasement. Supplication by its very nature requires the abasement, physical or psychological, of the supplicant and the elevation, physical or psychological, of the one supplicated.65

ἀ e Sīrah-Derived Passages: Parts 4–8

The structural-thematic elements of the Arabic Supplicatory Ode—1) Nasīb; 2) Self-Abasement and Submission of the supplicant/poet to the one supplicated/praised (the mamdūḥ); 3) Praise of the one supplicated; and 4) the Supplication itself; and in addition (especially from Umayyad and ‘Abbāsid times) one more element, 5) Benediction of the mamdūḥ—constitute, in my reading of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, the framework of the poem. In terms of the traditional thematic headings of the Burdah the first three of these five supplicatory elements can be located, as we have seen above, in parts 1–3 (Prophetic Nasīb, Warning against the Desires of the Self, Praise of the Noble Messenger), and the last two figure most prominently, as we will see later in this chapter, in parts 9 and 10 (Supplication and Plea for Intercession, Fervent Prayer and Supplication). As we have seen, these structural-thematic elements of the supplicatory ode do not occur in strict isolation; rather, although the nasīb is generally quite thematically and structurally distinct and normally occurs at the beginning of the poem, the remaining elements do not exhibit a set order
and are often intermixed. Apart from these requisite structural elements of the supplicatory framework are those parts (4: The Birth of the Prophet; 5: The Miracles of the Prophet; 6: The Noble Qur’ān; 7: The Night Journey and Ascension; 8: The Messenger’s Jihād and Campaigns) that feature major thematic elements derived from the Sīrah (biography) of the Prophet and are incorporated, structurally, as a series of extensions to the praise section (part 3).

POETICIZATION AND POLEMICIZATION

The argument here will be that parts 4–8 are by no means merely versified versions of events that are related in the Sirah of the Prophet, as al-Ghazzī’s labels might suggest; in fact they are not narratively constructed, nor chronologically structured, nor “historically” presented. It is my contention, rather, that particular elements from the Sīrah of the Prophet have been carefully selected and masterfully poeticized to forge an Islamic polemic, which, however much it was grounded in the contemporary politics of al-Būṣīrī’s day, has retained its validity and popularity throughout the centuries. Above all, I will argue that the poeticization of these originally prose materials achieves an increased polemicization, that is, it constitutes a transformation from the prosaic narrative-historical presentation of events in Sirah and Ḥadith works into a mythicization that aims at incorporating them into a grander cosmic plan of what I have termed Islamic Manifest Destiny.66 This is not to say that the Sirah and Ḥadith versions of these events are verifiable historical fact, but rather that, although they contain mythic and folkloric as well as historical elements and are controlled by an overarching Islamic polemic, the format and presentation are those of historical narrative authoritatively related and transmitted. As we will see, the poetic rendition of these events, by contrast, dispenses with the narrative “historical” framework to foreground the mythic and cosmic significance of these events.

I am using the term “Sīrah-related” somewhat broadly to refer to elements in the Burdah that express Muslim beliefs concerning the life of the Prophet Muhammad, some of them grounded in the Qur’ān, but more generally attested in the prose narratives of such widely accepted Islamic sources as Ibn Hishām/Ibn Isḥāq’s Al-Sīrah al-Nabawiyyah, the canonical Ḥadith collections (al-Kutub al-Sittah), such as those of
al-Bukhārī and Muslim, as noted by the Burdah commentators, but especially al-Tirmidhī’s influential work on *shamāʾil* (the excellent qualities of the Prophet); and also to later though authoritative sources such as the Traditionists al-Ḥākim al-Naysābūrī (d. 405/1014), author of *Al-Mustadrak ʿalā al-Sahīḥayn*, and his pupil Abū Bakr al-Bayhaqī (d. 458/1066), author of *Kitāb al-Sunan al-Kubrā*, but also of *Dalāʾil al-Nubuwwah*. As we have already noted, the very popular *Al-Shīfāʾ bi-Taʿrīf Ḥuqūq al-Muṣṭafā* of al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ ibn Mūsā (d. 544/1149) is particularly proximate to al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah and evidently relied upon by many of its commentators. Annemarie Schimmel’s remarks provide a succinct description of these materials that is particularly appropriate to our discussion of the Burdah:

In the early days . . . there was a genre called *dalāʾil al-nubuwwah*, “proofs of Prophethood,” complemented by that of the *shamāʾil*, literary expositions of the Prophet’s lofty qualities and outward beauty. Two of the earliest *dalāʾil* and *shamāʾil* works were composed by Abu Nuʿaim al-Isfahani (d. 1037), a mystic and historian, and by al-Baihaqī (d. 1066). Both are more or less biographies of the Prophet, studded with evidentiary miracles—those that happened before and after Muḥammad’s birth as well as before and after his call to prophethood, and those that pointed to his exalted status as the last Prophet. Both sources speak of his noble genealogy and his qualities and indulge in telling many of the miracles through which men and animals recognized him as God’s special messenger. Such tales formed the bases for legends and poems in which popular views about Muḥammad were to be reflected throughout the centuries.

Almost two hundred years before al-Baihaqī, the traditionist Abu ʿIsa al-Tirmidhī (d. 892) compiled the first basic book about *shamāʾil al-Muṣṭafā*, in which the Prophet’s external form as well as his moral superiority was described in great detail. Muḥammad appears here as the model of moral perfection, and it is not surprising that Tirmidhī’s collection was used as a source by that medieval author who composed the most extensive work about the greatness of the Prophet, Qadi ʿIyad. He was a stern Malikite theologian, noted enemy of the Sufis, and much-feared judge in Ceuta and Granada. His *Kitāb ash-shīfāʾ fi taʿrīf huqūq al-Muṣṭafā*, however, has been widely used by nonmystics and mystics alike. In fact, it is perhaps the most frequently used and commented-upon handbook in which the Prophet’s life, his qualities, and his miracles are described in every detail. The *Shīfāʾ* was so admired in medieval Islam that it soon acquired a sanctity of its own and was even used as a talisman, protecting the house of its owner. . . . For surely a book that is exclusively devoted to the admiring description of the
The argument I will try to present is that parts 4–8 of the Burdah, which take as their subject matter elements from the biography of the Prophet, are not merely versified versions of the prose narratives from which their subject matter derives. Nor do they, or the Burdah as a whole, constitute merely, as commonly described, a versified encapsulation of Muslim beliefs of the medieval period. Rather, the specific topics that have been carefully selected from a vast body of prose materials concerning the life of the Prophet are those that can be poetically recast to serve what we described above as the polemical purposes of the qaṣīdat al-madhī, that is, to establish that Islam is the true religion and that the mamdūḥ is the legitimate and divinely appointed leader. Curiously, in the case of mādīh nabawī, these two propositions boil down to one: the veracity of Muhammad’s prophethood, that is, the authenticity of Muhammad’s mission. Given that al-Būṣīrī’s age was one in which the popular religious imagination was dominated by karamāt, the blessings or miracles conferred on saints and holy men (of which the many miraculous cures and benefits procured through al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah were to play a major role), it is not surprising that the elements he chooses are precisely the miracles (s. āyāh, muʿjizah) associated with the Prophet—i.e., elements quite close in content and intent to the dalāʾīl and shāmāʾīl works (see Schimmel quote, above). That is, those supernatural events that confirm his divine appointment as God’s Messenger and Prophet, “evidentiary miracles” in Schimmel’s term, form the subject matter of Parts 4: His Birth; 5: His Miracles; 6: The Noble Qurʾān; and 7: The Night Journey and Ascension. In Part 8: The Messenger’s Jihād and Campaigns, however, the logic is turned around to follow that of the traditional Arabic victory panegyric: the Prophet’s and early Muslims’ worldly success on the field of battle serves as an objective validation to their claim to divine appointment and support and, as we shall see, seems to be a back-formation from High ‘Abbāsid military-political panegyric.

Before examining the individual sections, it is important to observe as well that al-Būṣīrī does not present episodes from the Prophet’s Sīrah in narrative form, nor do the sections, taken together, constitute a condensed biography of the Prophet. Whether we turn to Ibn Hishām’s
Al-Sīrah al-Nabawiyyah, the canonical Ḥadīth collections, or more immediate (and more polemical) sources on the life and status of the Prophet Muḥammad, such as al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ’s Al-Šīfāʾ, we find that in their prosaic, and often narrative, form individual motifs are subordinated to a literary form intended to establish the historicity of events. In the first two, Al-Sīrah and the Ḥadīth collections, this is done principally through citing authoritative sources, chains of transmitters (s. sanad), of the orally preserved statements (s. matn) of the probative witnesses to the events. In Al-Šīfāʾ it appears that materials deriving from the first two sources, as well as others, are already widely accepted, for the author does not provide citations (although its commentators and editors diligently trace al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ’s materials to authoritative sources). His purpose rather seems to be to organize these accepted materials into a systematic and somewhat polemical presentation of Islamic beliefs and practices concerning Muḥammad’s life and his status as Prophet and Messenger. Both the sense of historicity and the narrative cohesion are achieved through the prosaic presentation of motifs/events that emphasizes their status as factual occurrences, rather than developing the symbolic and metaphorical aspects of meaning. Needless to say, the dalāʾīl al-nubuwwah type of compilation (including, generally speaking, al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ’s Al-Šīfāʾ) focuses and organizes the materials toward a polemical end, a process that al-Būṣīrī takes a couple of steps further.

Extracted from their original “historical” and often narrative environment and recast paratactically in bāḍīʾ poetic form, these motifs are now subordinated not to the dictates of prosaic historicity or one-dimensional logical narrative cohesion but to the poet’s focused mythopoetic logic. The rhetorical structures in which they are recast create or elicit multiple dimensions of meaning—symbolic, metaphoric, metonymic, semantic—and weave these motifs in a new poetic-polemic, and eminently non-narrative, texture. In brief, whereas the Sīrah-related prose materials are structured so as to convey the motifs or events as, above all, historical, factual occurrences, al-Būṣīrī’s poetic recasting of these motifs or events (which, no doubt, takes their historical occurrence for granted) forges or foregrounds their metaphysical or metahistorical, that is, mythic and cosmic, dimensions and connections.

It is my contention that through the fusion of Sīrah-related motifs and themes with the bāḍīʾ style al-Būṣīrī isolates them from their origi-
nal prosaic narrative context and encodes them in a metalanguage that reveals their place in the grander cosmic plan of Islamic Manifest Destiny. Further, inasmuch as the *badīʾ* style in and of itself had come to express the divine providence and political legitimacy that guaranteed the Islamic dominion and hegemony of the High ʿAbbâsid Age, the presentation of the miracles of the Prophet’s age in the metalanguage of *badīʾ* constitutes a declaration of the manifest destiny of the Prophet’s Ummah (community). Above all, it confers on the signs and proofs of Muhammad’s prophethood the robust self-assurance and unwavering certainty that characterized the age of unquestioned Arab-Islamic hegemony and that were expressed in its distinctive poetic metalanguage of *badīʾ*. Finally, inasmuch as virtually all the motifs or events concerning the Prophet Muhammad in parts 4–7 of al-Būṣirī’s Burdah can be located in al-Qâdî ʿIyād’s *Al-Shifâʾ* (which is undoubtedly a definitive compendium of beliefs current in al-Būṣirī’s time), we will take it as our main point of reference for the prose antecedents of these sections.68

Let us keep in mind, too, that the poeticization and polemicization of the Sīrah-related prose materials does not consist merely in imposing rhyme and meter and recasting them in the rhetorical formulations of the *badīʾ* style to create and/or elicit additional semantic dimensions; it also involves subordinating them to the overall structure and purpose of the *madīḥ*nabawī as supplicatory ode. As extensions of the *madīḥ*-section, their purpose is to establish the *mamdūḥ*’s legitimacy and authority, that is, the veracity of Muhammad’s prophethood. The extension of Muḥammad’s authority from the worldly and historical to the otherworldly and cosmic—which is achieved through *badīʾ*—is necessary in order for him to be able to confer the otherworldly prize or reward that the poet requests—his intercession (*shafāʾah*) on the Day of Judgment. Thus, through *badīʾ* the poet establishes his own mastery in creating a novel and dazzling gift of praise, and, at the same time, the Prophet’s ability and authority to confer the countergift—*shafāʾah*. With these matters in mind let us turn to the individual sections.

**PART 4: THE BIRTH OF THE PROPHET (V.V. 59–71)**

59. His birth revealed the purity [*ṭīb*] of his lineage:
How noble [*ṭīb*] its beginning [Ādam]; how noble its end [Muḥammad].
60. It was a day on which the Persians perceived [tafarrasa . . . al-Fursu]
That affliction and chastisement would befall them.

61. That night the Arch of Chosroes broke in two
Like the breaking up of Chosroes’ armies.

62. The fire of their temples, out of grief for him, expired;
Out of sorrow for him, the river was distracted from its course.

63. It saddened Sāwah that her lake dried up
And those that came to drink thirsty, left angry.

64. As if grief had made the fire wet as water
And made the water blaze like fire.

65. The jinn shrieked in terror; the lights shone abroad;
The truth of signs and predictions was made manifest.

66. Blind and deaf, the Meccan polytheists could not hear the glad tidings,
Nor could they see the warning of the lightning-flash.

67. After the soothsayer informed the Meccans
That their crooked religion could no longer stand straight,

68. And after they had witnessed falling stars on the horizon
Crashing down, even as, on earth, idols came crashing down,

69. Until the defeated devils, one after another, were driven
From the celestial highway of revelation,

70. As if, as they fled, they were the warriors of Abrahah
Or an army pelted with pebbles from Muhammad’s two palms,

71. Pebbles flung, after praising God in the hollow of his hands,
Just as Jonah, praising God, was flung from belly of the whale.

This part evokes the miraculous events that took place at the birth of the Prophet in ways that are extremely condensed and poeticized so as to support the poet’s aim of demonstrating their place in a grander teleological myth of Islamic destiny, which is projected both backward and forward in time.

As noted previously, the traditional section headings of al-Būṣirī’s Burdah often distract us from the connections and continuities of the text. Although the poem clearly strikes out in a new thematic direction with verse 59, it is rhetorically tied to the final verse 58 of the preceding, explicitly madḥ (praise) section:
58. No fragrance [tīb] is as redolent as the dust that holds his bones, 
Blessings [tūbā] fall upon whoever inhales or kisses it.

The two verses are connected by antithesis, inasmuch as verse 58 deals 
with the Prophet’s death—his incorruptible bones in his grave—whereas 
verse 59 deals with his birth. Furthermore the jīnās (root-play) on the 
root ṭ-ṣ-r, which conveys sweet and pure fragrance, both literally and 
figuratively, connects the two verses in both sound and sense. Verse 59 
is more multivalent in its meanings than the translation suggests. The 
Prophet’s pure origin (tībi ʿunsurihi) can be taken to mean his noble 
ancestry, and the beginning and end to be, as al-Bājūrī maintains, Ādam 
and Muhammad himself, or perhaps more precisely the Prophet’s father 
ʿAbd Allāh (al-Bājūrī and al-Ghazzī offer other interpretations as well, 
v. 59). Or in keeping with the tradition that the Prophet’s body was com-
pletely clean when he was born, to refer to his own purity, bodily and 
spiritual, at birth and at death (see al-Ghazzī, v. 59). The latter reading 
again links up semantically with the belief alluded to in verse 58, that 
the Prophet’s pure corpse lay incorruptible in the grave.

After this transitional verse, al-Būṣīrī has chosen from the miraculous 
occurances associated with the birth of the Prophet those events that have 
a political-historical, as well as cosmic and supernatural, dimension and 
can therefore be incorporated into the larger sweep of teleological history 
(vv. 60–64). The fall of the Sasanian Persian Empire to the Muslims is 
rendered inevitable by projecting it back in history through a fanciful ety-
ymology of the name Furs, Persians. Although normally the Arabs derive 
Furs from fāris (knight or horseman; see al-Bājūrī, v. 60), through the jīnās 
(root-play) of tafarrasa . . . al-Fursu (the Persians perceived) the verbal 
identity suggests that this historical outcome was “built in” to the very 
name and identity of the Persians, that they were predestined through the 
principle of nomen est omen to be defeated by Islam. This technique of Ara-
bic etymologizing of foreign names to express their destiny had already 
been perfected by Abū Tammām. Similarly in verse 61 the cracking of the 
Arch of Chosroes (Īwān Kisrā) at the birth of the Prophet is taken to be 
both portent and metonymy of the fall of the Persian Empire. In Arabic 
this is etymologically suggested by the repetition of the name Kisrā, whose 
root k-s-r in Arabic means “to break.” This subliminal sense of the name 
Kisrā is brought to the surface by the rhetorical interplay of synonyms and
antitheses of “split” (munṣadiʿ), “unity” (shaml), “not united” (ghayra multaʿimi); in brief, the great “breaker” and his empire are “broken.”

Other unnatural occurrences are cited as portents in verses 62–64: the extinguishing of the fire of the Zoroastrian temple, which, it is said, had not gone out for a thousand years, and the drying up of the lake of the Persian city of Sāwah. The fire and the city are personified to express the grief and anguish of the Persians. In verse 64 the conceit of producing a fanciful cause, termed by the Arab rhetoricians ḥusn al-taʿlīl, is employed to attribute to the fire and water such grief that their intrinsic nature is reversed. Just as Abū Tammām described the flames and dust as overturning the natural cycle of night and day to convey the cosmic significance of the defeat of Amorium (see above), so, too, al-Būṣīrī conveys the cosmic dimension of the birth of the Prophet through the de-naturing of two of the most basic natural elements: it is as if fire has become wet and water has burned like fire.

Verse 65 continues the projection of the significance of the birth of the Prophet into various cosmic and temporal dimensions. A large amount of traditional prose material is condensed into a single line: supernatural beings, like the Jinn, shrieked or cried in recognition of the Prophet’s birth (al-Ghazzī, v. 65), and, as related in Ibn Hishām’s Al-Sīrah and in al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ’s Al-Shifā, upon his birth a light emanated from his body that illumined the horizon so those present could see all the way to the palaces of Shām (Syria); finally, in the second hemistich, the truth of predictions of the coming of the Prophet contained in the Holy Books, the Tawrāh and the Injīl (the Torah and the Gospel), and by Jewish rabbis, Christian monks, and pagan soothsayers, has now been made manifest. Once again the effect is to ground the portentous events in a teleologically conceived sense of history.

The last half of this section, verses 66–71, features an extraordinarily dense concatenation of what are, on the surface, unrelated events from the Islamic tradition or history. There is also a chronological collapsing of events: for example, the Meccan polytheists’ (kuffār) rejection of Muḥammad’s message did not, historically speaking, occur until he proclaimed it as an adult. Verse 66 does not actually name the kuffār (Mecccans) but rather identifies them through its allusion to the Qurʾānic description of moral or spiritual deafness and blindness (ṣummun buk-mun ʿumyun, deaf, dumb, blind [QK 2:18, 2:171]) of those who reject the
faith, which is traditionally understood to refer, in the first place, to the recalcitrant Meccans. In verse 66 the antithesis between *mu‘wajj* (crooked) and (*lam*) *yaqumi* ([not] stand) alludes to the epithet of Islam as the “straight” or “upright” religion, *mustaqūm*.

Verses 68 and 69 begin a process of combining two apparently unrelated images so as to elicit their shared significance: verse 68 describes the *kuffār* after they have seen on the horizon the meteors that God sent to drive out of the heavens the devils (*shayātīn*) who were eavesdropping on the angels on the night of Muḥammad’s birth.72 The crashing down of meteors to drive out the devils is then compared to the crashing of idols to the ground that occurred (or was portended) upon the birth of the Prophet.73 The poet thus reveals through the play on “crashing down” (*munqaddah*), applied both to the meteors and the idols, the analogous defeats of devils and idol worshipers.

This concatenation of images reaches its climax in verses 69–71: once more the poet’s purpose is to discover and reveal the single divine purpose that lies behind apparently disparate events, and once more he achieves this through rhetorical means. Verse 69 describes the devils fleeing the hail of meteors, as we know from verses 67 and 68. The flight of the devils is then subject to two comparisons (v. 70). First, the devils’ flight is described as the fleeing of the armies of Abrahah, the South Arabian Christian king of Yemen. According to Islamic sources, Abrahah led an assault against the Ka‘bah in the Year of the Elephant (the year of Muḥammad’s birth, ca. 570 ce, so named for the battle-elephant in Abrahah’s army) but was repelled when first the elephant refused to attack Mecca and then God sent a flock of birds that pelted the army with pebbles that proved lethal to everyone they struck. Second, the flight of devils is compared to the fleeing of Meccan polytheists at the battle of Badr and/or of Ḥunayn, when Muhammad threw a handful of pebbles at them (see al-Ghazzī, al-Bājūrī, v. 70). This event is associated with the Qur’ānic exhortation concerning the Battle of Badr, “You did not kill them, but God killed them; you did not throw when you threw, but God threw” (*fa-lam taqtulūhum wa-lākinna Allāha qatalahum wa-mā ramayta ʾidh ramayta wa-lākinna Allāha ramā . . .* [QK 8:17]), where commentarists take *ramā* (throw, shoot) to refer to Muḥammad’s throwing a handful of pebbles. This is by no means a simple simile to adorn a text; rather the poet performs a masterful rhetorical feat whereby, through the comparison of one miraculous event to two
others, he reveals the shared religious significance of all three. In this way three apparently unrelated religio-historical events are revealed or interpreted to be cognate events that simultaneously convey the same message, that of falsehood defeated by truth. Al-Būṣīrī does not stop there.

The pebbles then constitute the link to verse 71, for they had miraculously cried out God’s praises (musabbīḥ) while in the hollow of Prophet’s hands.74 The image, or concept, of piety (tasbīḥ, praising God) from within a hollow before being flung out sparks (for the poet, at least) another analogous image: that of Yūnus (Jonah) singing out God’s praises from the belly of the whale before he was cast forth. This is an altogether unexpected connection. To modern sensibilities it is probably what the classical critics termed “far-fetched” (mustābʿad); to a more traditional sensibility, it is bāḍīʿ. What is essential here is that through this convoluted rhetorical play, al-Būṣīrī has established a “mythic concordance” between God’s saving Jonah from the belly of the whale and His saving Muḥammad and his vastly outnumbered army of Muslims at the Battle of Badr.75 Again, for the poet, and for the believer, the analogical or metaphorical connection between these events—i.e., the shared message that praise of God leads to salvation—trumps their “historical” discreteness.

This chaining of images operates in an associative manner in which a particular word or phrase strikes the poetic imagination and creates an altogether unexpected (and on the surface “illogical”) link to a new image, which, amazingly, turns out to be an entirely “logical” metaphor of the first. What is important in the context of our argument is that this novel concatenation has the effect of eliciting or establishing through a complex rhetorical strategy semantic connections between what previously had seemed to be disparate images or events. However “disconnected” they may seem on the surface, in a prosaic or historical sense, the poet’s masterful rhetorical skills elicit from them—or impose upon them—a single essential message: the triumph of truth over falsehood, or, as expressed in Islamic terms, that the denial of Muḥammad’s prophethood leads to defeat and damnation and, conversely, acceptance of his mission and praise of Allāh lead to victory and salvation. What is noteworthy here is that events taken to have occurred before Muhammad’s proclaiming his prophethood (Jonah and the Whale, Abrahah and the Year of the Elephant) have, through this rhetorical process of “mythic concordance,” been subsumed into the Islamic message.
PART 5: THE MIRACLES OF THE PROPHET (vv. 72–87)

72. The trees answered his beck and call, bowing before him,
Walking to him on a leg without a foot,

73. As if they drew a ruled line down the middle of the road
On which their branches wrote in a novel \([\text{badi}']\) script,

74. Like the cloud that followed him wherever he went,
Protecting him when the midday heat blazed like a furnace.

75. I swear by the moon that he split in two an oath truly sworn
That the moon is akin to his heart.

76. And by the virtue and nobility that the cave contained
When every unbeliever’s eye was blind to it,

77. So the Truth \([\text{al-}\text{ṣidq};\text{ Muḥammad}]\) and the Truthful One \([\text{al-}\text{ṣiddiq};\text{ Abū Bakr}]\) stayed stock-still in the cave,
While the unbelievers said, “There is no one in there,”

78. For they thought that doves and spiders would not
Weave and hover over the Best of All Creation—

79. But he whom God protects has no need
For double-knit chain-mail nor lofty strongholds—

80. That never did I suffer injustice and seek his protection
But that he extended his protection over me and I met no harm,

81. Nor did I ever beseech from his hand the riches of the two abodes
But that the best of bounties was bestowed on me.

82. So, do not deny the divine inspiration of his dream visions,
For he has a heart that, though his eyes are sleeping, never sleeps.\(^{76}\)

83. The visions came when he had reached the age of prophethood,
And dream-visions of grown men are not to be denied.

84. God be blessed! Divine inspiration cannot be earned through effort;
What a prophet says of the unseen cannot be doubted.

85. How many an invalid was cured by the touch of his hand?
How many a madman was released from his affliction?

86. His prayer revived the blanched drought-stricken year
Till it shone like a blaze on the forehead of the black ages

87. With a rain-cloud that poured down so hard you’d think
The lowlands aflow with the ocean’s stream or ‘Arim’s torrent-flood.\(^{77}\)
In this part of the poem al-Būṣīrī turns from the miraculous events that occurred at the time of the Prophet’s birth to signs or miracles that the Prophet himself performed. In this respect the concluding simile of part 4, verses 70 and 71, which compares the devils’ fleeing the meteor shower at Muḥammad’s birth to the miracle of the pebbles in his hand at the Battle of Badr, serves as a transition. The heading and surface topics of part 5 should not lead us to assume that this is a mere register of prophetic miracles; rather, as we shall see, its central “event” is the oath, a speech act whose performance dominates the central portion (vv. 75–81).

Part 5 opens (vv. 72–75) by recounting in short order several well-known miracles of the Prophet: the trees that obeyed his command and came to him bowing down before him; the clouds that followed over his head to shade him; his splitting the moon in two. The first and last of these are presented in the traditional sources as challenges: a Bedouin asked Muḥammad for a sign, whereupon he said to him, “Tell that tree that the Messenger of God is calling it.” Whereupon the tree leaned right and left, backward and forward, until it uprooted itself. Then it dragged its roots until it stood before Muḥammad and greeted him saying, “Peace upon you, Messenger of God,” and then returned and re-rooted itself in the earth. Muḥammad’s splitting of the moon, associated with Sūrat al-Qamar (iqtarabati al-sā’atu wa-inshaqa al-qamaru, The hour approached and the moon was split [QK 51:1]), is also said to be in response to the people of Mecca demanding from Muḥammad a sign of his prophethood. That is, these verses play a role in the overarching performative purpose of the poem: to establish the truth of and faith in the prophethood of Muḥammad.

What is important in al-Būṣīrī’s poem is that the condensation of three miracles into three verses provides a panorama of the natural world, the entire cosmos—plants, clouds, heavenly bodies—submitting to the Prophet, breaking the “rules of nature” to become signs of his divine mission, that is of the cosmic power behind him. Further, as we saw in part 4 of the Burdah (above), the poet elicits, through rhetorical means, further semantic or symbolic connections. Thus through the simile of verse 73, the “novel” (badi’) script of the dragging branches of the tree suggests an affinity between the tree’s act of submission and recognition and that of the poet—his madīḥ nabawī. So, too, as al-Ghazzī (v. 75) points out, the splitting of the moon (shaqq al-qamar) is likened...
to the angels’ splitting open and cleansing of Muḥammad’s breast (shaqq al-ṣadr), an event placed variously in Muḥammad’s childhood or else just prior to his Ascension. Once again, through rhetorical means, namely the alliteration on the letter qāf compounded with the radd al-‘ajuz ʿalā al-ṣadr (qasamtu bi-al-qamari al-mushtaqqi ʿanna lahu/min qalbihi nisbatan mabrūrata al-gasami), the poet insinuates the semantic links between the key elements: qasam (oath), qamar (moon), qalb (heart), and splitting (shaqq), with the result that the discrete miracles of the splitting of Muḥammad’s breast and the splitting of the moon reveal their shared message—the truth of his prophethood—and thereby confirm the veracity of the poet’s oath.

In addition, through the oath upon a heavenly body like the moon, al-Būṣīrī alludes to the Qurʾānic usage of the early Meccan Sūrahs, of opening with oaths: “By the sun and its light and by the moon . . .” (wa-al-shamsi wa-duḥāhā wa-al-qamari . . . [QK 151:1–2]); “By the night . . .” (wa-al-layli . . . [QK 152:1]); “By the morning light . . .” (wa-al-duḥā . . . [QK 153:1]), thereby evoking the ultimate veracity of the sacred text.

The one-line oath of verse 75 sets the stage for the extended oath of verses 76–81. Of note in verse 77 is the poet’s use of jinās not merely to denote Muḥammad and Abū Bakr as the two who hid in a cave during the Hijrah as God camouflaged them from the pursuing Meccan polytheists with spider webs and circling doves, but, in a manner consonant with our argument concerning the use of bādīʿ, to elicit new dimensions of meaning, to evoke through etymology (ishtiqāq) the relationship between the two. So, if Muḥammad is the Truth (al-ṣidq—or, as al-Bājūrī, v. 77, insists, an elision of [dhū] al-ṣidq, possessor of Truth), then Abū Bakr “al-Ṣiddīq,” one of the first to accept Islam, is, as his traditional epithet indicates, “trustworthy, sincere, loyal” (intensive form of ṣādiq) to Muḥammad, but also “the believer,” or the one who gives credence (muṣaddīq) to Muḥammad’s prophetic mission and message. In other words, the poet uses rhetorical means, jinās on the root ṣ-d-q (truth, veracity) to reveal through etymological means the natures of Muḥammad and Abū Bakr and of the intimate bond between the two men.

The miracles of the splitting of the moon and of God’s hiding Muḥammad and Abū Bakr in a cave from the pursuing Meccans are thus not prosaically or narratively recounted; rather they are rhetorically subordinated to the structure of the oath (qasam). This is particularly
interesting because the logic of the oath structure, in Arabic as elsewhere, is that the first element, the oath (*qasam*) consists of invoking something absolute and unchallenged to both swearer and witness; whereas the second element, the sworn statement (*jawāb al-qasam*) is the proposition that the swearer is trying to confirm through the oath. In accord with this grammatical logic, the veracity of the first elements, Muḥammad’s splitting the moon in two, God’s camouflaging Muhammad and Abū Bakr with spider webs and circling doves (vv. 76–77), the signs or miracles, is unquestioned. The proposition the poet wants to confirm is that of verses 80–81: the poet’s, that is, the individual believer’s, own experience of presenting himself as a supplicant to the Prophet and of invariably being granted the protection or sustenance (in this world or the next) that he requested. The effect of these verses is very powerful because it bridges the gap between miracles that occurred in the lifetime of the Prophet—which could otherwise be merely a historical matter—and the poet’s own lived religious experience. Above all, within the overarching purpose of al-Būṣīrī’s poem, these verses affirm the Prophet’s continued ability to bestow gifts, that is, the continued efficacy of the ritual exchange of praise (poem) today for intercession on Judgment Day that subtends the supplicatory structure of *madiḥ nabawi*.

The poet’s lived experience, as testified to in his “sworn statement” of verses 75–81, of the Prophet’s protection and bounty then provides the basis for his admonition of verses 82–83: “Do not deny the divine inspiration of his dream visions . . . ,” that is, the revelations of the Qurʾān that came to Muḥammad as he slept. After declaring that prophecy is God-given and incontestable, the poet evokes the Prophet’s miraculous cures of the sick and insane (vv. 84–85). The section closes with a metaphorical variant of the miracle of bringing the dead back to life: the Prophet’s prayers have brought rain to revive the drought-stricken land. The allegory of verse 86, as al-Ghazzī (v. 86) explains, likens the “white” year of drought after the Prophet’s prayer has brought rain to produce the “blackest” (that is, darkest green) most fertile years, to the white blaze on the face of a dark horse.

Verse 87 operates metaphorically to extend the idea of “revival” from plant life to bounty, generosity, and salvation. The verb *jāda* and its VN *jawd*, originally denoting abundant rain, have long since come, along with other terms in this verse, such as *bahr* (sea) and *sayl* (torrent flood),
to connote munificence and generosity. Further, in using the word al-‘Arim (whether taken as a common noun denoting a dam of some sort or as a proper noun, the name of a riverbed in Saba’) al-Būṣīrī echoes the Qur’ānic destruction of Saba’ through the breaking of the Dam of Ma’rib: fa-‘aradū fa-‘arsalnā ʿalayhim sayla al-‘Arīmi (Then they turned away [from Us/God], so we sent against them the torrent of al-‘Arim [QK 34:16]; al-Ghazzī, al-Bājūrī, v. 87). This allusion to the divine retribution against those who turn away from belief serves to express the threat of damnation that is the converse of the promise of salvation. Those who believe in Muḥammad’s miracles and accept him as God’s Messenger are saved; those who do not are damned. Through its allusion to the destruction of Saba’, God’s past destruction of nations who turned away from Him, verse 81 reinforces the theme of Islamic Manifest Destiny, which is essentially the projection of this past pattern into the future.

**PART 6: THE NOBLE QUR’ĀN (Vv. 88–104)**

88. Let me then describe those of Muḥammad’s signs (āyāt) that appeared
As clear as the night-fire kindled on a mountain height for night-farers
to see,

89. For pearls increase in beauty when they’re strung,
Though their value does not diminish if they’re loose.

90. But how could my hopes aspire to a poem of praise
That could ever express the nobility of his character and traits?

91. For the Qur’ān’s verses are signs of a truth from the Most Merciful,
At once created in time and timeless, they are an attribute of the Eternal.

92. They exist beyond the bounds of time, yet they inform us
Of the Resurrection, of ʿĀd, and of the many-columned Iram.

93. They are everlasting before us and thus they surpass
All miracles of other prophets, which occurred but did not last.

94. So imbued with wisdom, so masterfully wrought, that they leave no
uncertainties
For those who would sow discord, and they require no arbiter.

95. They have never been attacked but that the most hostile of enemies
Have been utterly defeated and surrendered to them.

96. Their eloquence repelled the claim of all who challenged them,
As a jealous husband repels the hand that would defile his wives.
Their meanings are vaster than the ocean’s waves
And exceed its gems in beauty and in worth.

Their marvels are innumerable, incalculable,
But despite their number they are never tedious to recount.

They cool the eye of him who recites them, so I said to him:
“You have seized the rope of Allāh, so hold on tight!”

If you recite them out of fear of Hellfire,
You will extinguish the infernal flames with their cool water.

They are like the Basin of pure water from which
The soot-stained faces of the sinful emerge pure white,

Like the Straight Path Bridge and the Scales of Judgment Day in justice,
For justice based on other sources cannot stand.

Don’t be surprised if someone, out of envy, denies these signs,
Feigning ignorance, despite acumen and discernment,

For the eye, when inflamed, can’t see the sunlight;
The mouth, when diseased, cannot taste water.

As the previous part, as well as sources such as al-Qāḍī ʿĪyāḍ’s Al-Shifāʾ, demonstrates, a variety of traditional miracles (muʾjizāt) were attributed to Muḥammad and taken to be proof of his prophethood. Nevertheless, in Islamic belief, the essential and incontrovertible sign of Muḥammad’s divine mission and proof of his prophethood is the Qurʾān itself. Muslims believe that the Qurʾān is God’s word presented to Muḥammad by the angel Jibrīl (Gabriel) and, furthermore, that the Qurʾān is in and of itself, in its rhetorical beauty, inimitability, and other marvelous features, eminently miraculous. The beliefs and disputes concerning the nature of the miraculous inimitability of the Qurʾān are gathered in the Islamic tradition under the rubric of ʾiʿjāz al-Qurʾān, a field whose major accepted tenets form the basis for part 6.87

ʾiʿjāz means, essentially, to confound an opponent, to render him powerless; hence muʾjizah, a miracle, i.e., a feat that utterly confounds one’s opponent. We must therefore keep in mind in reading part 6 that the concept of the miraculous inimitability of the Qurʾān was understood and developed above all in terms of the unmatchable beauty and effectiveness of its rhetoric (balāghah); it is, in von Grunebaum’s concise term, “rhetori-
cally unsurpassable.” A corollary of the tenet of the inimitability of the Qurʾān is that to attempt to challenge, imitate, or match the Qurʾān in linguistic beauty is tantamount to challenging Muḥammad’s prophethood and claiming it for oneself. Thus for the poet to try to compose the most beautiful possible description of the Prophet’s literary miracle is a particularly complex and complexed poetic challenge. For if Islam claims that the Qurʾān’s unsurpassable rhetorical beauty and eloquence are in themselves incontrovertible proof of Muḥammad’s prophethood, then the poet who tries through his own powers of rhetoric and eloquence to convince the reader of the Qurʾān’s miraculous rhetorical beauty, is, ipso facto, competing with or undermining the Qurʾān’s own claims, or at least usurping a Qurʾānic function or prerogative. It is only by a careful process of subordination of his own claims and goals to the miraculousness of the Qurʾān that the poet can effectively meet this challenge. Finally, we must understand, in the context of the overarching polemic of parts 4–8 of the Burdah, that to prove the superiority of the Qurʾān to the miracles of other prophets not only confirms Muḥammad’s prophethood, but also establishes the superiority of Islam and its community over all other religions and communities.

The transition from the section on general miracles to the miracle of the Qurʾān in particular is gracefully achieved through the pivot-word āyāt, which in its general meaning refers to the “signs” or “miracles” of part 5, while at the same time, in its particular meaning of “Qurʾānic verses,” introduces the specific topic of the coming section, the miracle(s) of the Qurʾān.

Verse 88 opens part 6 with a variant of the traditional poetic challenge in the imperative, “describe!” (ṣif), now phrased as a self-imposed challenge, “Let me describe” (daʾnī wa-waṣfī). Al-Bājūrī (v. 88) takes this to be addressed to those who would deny the prophethood of Muḥammad (v. 82) and in this respect we can understand that the presentation of Muḥammad’s miracles is intended to play a role in the poem’s political-religious polemic. Declaring these signs/Qurʾānic verses to be “as clear as day,” al-Būṣirī compares them to the conventional image of Arab generosity: the fire kindled on a hilltop for night-travelers to see and be given food and shelter, which here appears to be a metaphor for Islam’s evident truth and clear offer of salvation. Verses 89–90 deal further with the issue of the poetic challenge of describing the Prophet’s miracles, above all the Qurʾān.
He declares in verse 89 that, like precious pearls when strung, the Prophet’s miracles may appear more beautiful when expressed in poetry, but their essential value is not diminished if they are unstrung, that is, in prose. Through the *badi‘*-style paired antitheses of “increase” and “decrease” and “strung” (in verse) and “unstrung” (in prose), the verse rhetorically exemplifies the very concept it seeks to express. In verse 90 he engages the convention of the poet’s modesty present in the idea that the nature of the Qur’ān is beyond what he or any poet can express, thereby in effect invoking once more the principle of I’jāz al-Qur’ān. In other words, the inimitable language of the Qur’ān is what proves the prophethood of Muhammad, not the language of mortal poets.

In verses 91–93 the poet exploits the expressive capacities of *al-madh-hab al-kalāmī*, here in the rhetorical sense of the *badi‘*-figure that employs “mental gymnastics” typical of the Mutakallimūn (speculative theologians) to express complex concepts. Through the antithesis of *muḥdathah* (newly created, recent) and *qadīmah* (ancient, eternal), verse 91 expresses the idea that the Qur’ān is sempiternal, co-eternal with God, of whom it is an attribute, while at the same time having been revealed to mankind within chronological, historical time. Al-Bājūrī (v. 91) discusses this in terms of the rhetorical device of “joining of two opposites,” normally a logical impossibility. For the purposes of the present argument we can say that the poet performs the rhetorical “miracle” of joining two opposites, in the course of attempting to convey the miraculousness of the Qur’ān. The second hemistich of the verse displays double *jinās* in elegant chiastic (*abba*) form: //qadīmatun ṣifatu al-mawsūfi bi-al-qidami. Similarly, verse 92 expresses the idea that, as eternal, the Qur’ān exists beyond the bounds of time, and yet informs us both of past events, such as the destruction of the ancient peoples—for example, ʿĀd with its many-columned city of Iram—and future events such as the Resurrection.

Verse 93 employs another rhetorical device, what in *‘ilm al-badi‘* is termed *radd al-‘ajuz ʿalā al-ṣadr* (repeating an earlier word at the end of a verse) and in English terms is something of an alliterative chiasmus (*abba* pattern) to express a tenet of I’jāz al-Qur’ān that is central to the polemic of his poem: *dāmat ladaynā* . . . *lam tadumi* (the miracles/verses of the Qur’ān are everlasting . . . the miracles of other prophets did not last). The superiority of Muḥammad’s miracle, the Qur’ān, over those of the other prophets constitutes, of course, the superiority of Islam over
other religions—in particular Judaism and Christianity. The idea is that miracles, such as Moses’ turning a rod into a snake to defeat Pharaoh’s magicians or Jesus’ raising the dead, occurred, historically, and confirmed the prophethood of Moses and Jesus, but are now done and gone. The Qur’ān, by contrast, is an eternal miracle, permanently operative and efficacious. The point seems to be that Moses and Jesus, for example, are both recognized by Muslims as prophets and messengers of God who performed miracles and brought divine scriptures, the Torah and the Gospel. However, they, their miracles, scriptures, and religions are things of the past that have been replaced or abrogated by the Seal of the Prophets, Muḥammad, with his permanent, eternal miracle, the Qurʾān, and the ultimate true religion, Islam.

Returning to verse 89, we can understand that in recasting in bādir poetry these well-established tenets of ʿjāz al-Qurʾān, the poet is not claiming to increase their value (thereby to compete with the Qurʾān), but to make them more beautiful in such a way as to be more convincing to those who would deny them (v. 82)—exactly what is conveyed in the Arabic term for rhetoric, balāghah. In verses 94–98 the poet continues the poeticization of ʿjāz tenets to strengthen his polemic against deniers and infidels.

Verse 94 is particularly compelling and subtle in its rhetorical expression of this idea and as an example of the complex tension and intertextuality involved in a poet describing the Prophet’s literary miracle. First there is the radd al-ʿajuz ʿalā ʿadr and jīnāṣ of muḥakkamāt (imbued with wisdom, or masterfully wrought) and ḥakam (arbiter). Then there is a partial jīnāṣ between yubqīna (leave) and yābghīna (require). Muḥakkamāt itself is at once a pun and a Qur’ānic allusion: the most literal meaning, as a Form II (aḥkama) passive participle, would be “imbued with wisdom,” or “wisely made,” which would allude to the self-referential epithet of the sacred text as al-Qurʾān al-ḥakīm (the Qurʾān full of wisdom [QK 36:2]; al-Ghazzī, v. 94). At the same time it alludes to another Qur’ānic self-referential description and the Form IV (aḥkama) meaning of the same root: kitābun ʿuḥkimat ʿāyātuhu (a book whose verses are well-wrought [QK 11:1]). In both senses al-Būṣīrī’s verse 94 describes the wondrous rhetorical beauty of the Qurʾān that made unbelievers abandon all doubt and surrender to Islam (see further discussion in al-Ghazzi and al-Bājurī, v. 94). Moreover the poet in his verse
is clearly “imitating,” indeed rhetorically intensifying, Qur’ānic meaning and diction through his use of badī‘ constructions: that is, he intends his own verse, in the Qurān’s own self-referential manner, to likewise be “well wrought” and to dispel all doubt. What is crucial here, however, is that he is in no way trying to “compete” with the Qurān, as the term mu‘āradāh would suggest, rather, in what amounts to a form of submission, his own “well wrought” verses are composed solely for the purpose of expressing the miraculousness of the Qurān’s “well wrought” verses. Verse 94 thus brilliantly encapsulates both the challenge and dilemma of the poet who directs his full poetic powers to madiḥ nabawī.

By contrast, verses 95–96 describe the defeat of those who would attempt to challenge the veracity or authenticity of the Qurān, but in the end surrender to it (v. 95). Of particular note in the context of the present argument is the poet’s specifying that it is the rhetorical beauty or eloquence, the balāghah, of the Qur’ānic verses that repels the opponent or challenger (mu‘ārid). Verses 97 and 98 seek to capture the inestimable beauty and marvels of the sacred text.

The salvific effects of the Qurān are the subject of verses 99–102. The spiritual comfort and salvation proffered by the Qurān are expressed in general terms in verse 99, with its allusion in “the rope of Allāh” to the Qur’ānic al-‘urwah al-wuthqā (firm handle [QK 2:256]: Whoever denies Satan and believes in God has grasped a firm handle that will never break; and QK 31:22), as al-Bājūrī points out (v. 99) a metaphor for faith; here, more precisely, a metaphor for the Qurān as a means to salvation. The poet then specifies instances: the recitation of Qur’ānic verses will quench the flames of Hellfire like cool water (v. 100); they purify the believer of sins, like the Basin in which the Muslim souls will cleanse themselves of sins on Judgment Day (v. 101; al-Ghazzī, v. 101 [see chapter 3, Nahj al-Burdah, v. 48]); as a source for dispensing justice in this world, they are like the narrow Bridge over Hellfire over which only the saved will cross, and the Scales on which men’s deeds will be weighed on Judgment Day (see al-Bājūrī, v. 102).

In closing this passage, al-Būṣīrī returns to the issue of the clarity of the Qur’ānic verses with which he opened part 6 (v. 88) and to the underlying polemic against unbelievers and infidels. As if in answer to the question, “If the Qurān’s verses are so clear, why are there still unbelievers and infidels in the world?” he states, in essence, that such people are
spiritually blind or sick: like the inflamed eye that cannot see the light of the sun, or the diseased mouth that cannot taste water (vv. 103–104; al-Bājūrī, v. 104).

In sum, we have argued in our discussion of part 6, with its heavily rhetoricized (badi‘) poeticization of prose materials, such as al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ’s Al-Shifāʾ, concerning Iʿjāz al-Qurān, that the poet is not merely “adorning” these tenets with literary embellishments. Rather their poeticization, particularly in what we would describe as al-madhhab al-kalāmī (the manner of Kalām, both as a device of logical complication within one verse and as a conceptual approach to poetry), aims at rendering them rhetorically compelling and effective, i.e., at achieving their polemicization. In other words, the badi‘ style constitutes the use of rhetoric in the sense of the “art of persuasion.” The poet is not merely trying to express these tenets more beautifully; he is, moreover, trying to make the argument for the miraculousness of the Qurān, the prophethood of Muḥammad, and the ultimate truth of Islam more convincing. In a manner that compellingly underlines the message and purpose of madiḥ nabawī, al-Būṣīrī is placing his own poetic marvels (badā‘i‘) at the service of Muḥammad’s miracle, the Qurān.

PART 7: THE NIGHT JOURNEY AND ASCENSION (Vv. 105–117)

105. O best of those whose courtyard the supplicants seek, hastening on foot
Or on the backs of she-camels whose heavy tread leaves traces
on the ground,

106. You who are the greatest sign to him who considers
And the greatest benefit to him who will seize it.

107. You traveled by night from one sacred precinct, Mecca, to the other,
Jerusalem,
Like the full moon traversing the pitch-dark sky.

108. Through the night you ascended until you reached a station two
bows’ length from Allāh,
A station that no one else had ever attained or even dared desire.

109. To it the other Prophets and Messengers bade you precede them,
Like servants giving deference to him they serve,

110. As you, passing by them, pierced the seven levels of heaven,
In a procession of angels of which you were the standard-bearer, 89
111. Until you left no goal that any rival could approach
    Nor any height that a competitor could scale,
112. And you reduced every station to the possessive case,
    Since you were called to high station, in the nominative of a tribal
    chief or proper noun.
113. So that you won a union with God entirely hidden
    From the eyes of all creation, and a secret utterly concealed.
114. You gathered every uncommon virtue
    And passed through each uncrowded rank.
115. Exalted beyond measure are the ranks assigned you;
    Great beyond grasp the blessings bestowed on you.
116. Good tidings are ours, O community of Islam,
    For we have a pillar of Providence that cannot be toppled:
117. When God called him who called us to obey
    “The noblest of Messengers,” we became the noblest of nations.

One of the Islamic beliefs that has most inspired the literary and pictorial
imagination is Muḥammad’s miraculous Night Journey and Ascension
(al-Isrā’ wa-al-Mi’rāj). Although the details are subject to variation and
debate (particularly as to whether the journey and ascension were physi-
cal or spiritual), the general consensus is as follows: Muḥammad was
physically transported by night, borne on the magical winged mule-like
creature Burāq, from Mecca to Jerusalem, where he met ʿĪsá (Jesus) and
Mūsá (Moses) and led them in prayer; from there he experienced a bodily
ascension on a splendid ladder (mi’rāj), accompanied by Jibrīl, through
the seven levels of the heavens, where he encountered and surpassed the
other prophets, until his arrival before the throne of Allāh, who confided
secrets to him alone; he awoke the following morning back in Mecca.
The origins of this narrative are traced to the Qurʾānic passages, QK
81:19–25 and 53:1–21, which describe visions in which a divine messenger
appears to Muḥammad, but most explicitly in QK 17:1 (subḥāna alladhī
ʿasrá bi-ʿabdihī laylan mina al-masjidi al-ḥarami ʿilā al-masjidī al-
ʿaqṣā . . . , Praise be to Him who carried his servant by night from the
Sacred Place of Prayer to the Furthest Place of Prayer). These have been
elaborated upon in canonical sources such as Ibn Hishām’s Al-Sīrah,
Ḥadīth, and Qurʾānic commentaries, but also in many popular extraca-
nonical forms, both written and oral, of Night Journey and Ascension narratives. It is, as both Jamal Eddine Bencheikh and Annemarie Schimmel note, an eminently initiatory journey.91

In the context of the present study, what is remarkable in al-Būṣīrī’s poeticization of the Night Journey and Ascension is that he foregoes the many lyric and dramatic possibilities offered by the materials we find, for example, in Ibn Hishām’s Al-Sīrah al-Nabawiyyah or in al-Qāḍī ‘Iyād’s Al-Shifā: the description of the magical beast Burāq; the etiological myth of Abū Bakr’s being dubbed al-Ṣiddiq (in this context, “the believer,” for giving credence to Muhammad’s remarkable account when others did not); the story of Moses helping Muḥammad to haggle the number of daily prayers required of Muslims from fifty down to five; Muḥammad’s confounding the doubters with miraculous knowledge of caravans heading toward Mecca; or, especially in the overarching context of the Burdah as a supplicatory ode pleading for the Prophet’s intercession on Judgment Day, we might have expected the poet to distinctly evoke the episode in which Allāh grants Muḥammad the right to intercede for his community.92 Instead, al-Būṣīrī focuses his poetic treatment on one particular aspect; he limits his presentation to precisely those elements from the Night Journey and Ascension accounts that mark Muḥammad’s entry or acceptance into the ranks of the Prophets and, above all, that signal Muḥammad’s unique status as the Seal of the Prophets, i.e., the last and foremost of all of God’s Prophets. In other words, as we shall see below, he selects those elements that support his polemic for the superiority of Islam over competing religions, above all, Judaism and Christianity. The initiatory nature of these events is evidenced particularly in the aspect of the competition or contest, the trial by which Muḥammad establishes his preeminence over all previous prophets, and his reward, that is, his unique proximity to and intimacy with Allāh, and the secret knowledge that is confided to him alone.

Part 7 opens with an evocation of the Burdah’s overarching supplicatory theme, with a vocative addressing the Prophet as the best of benefactors (v. 105), before reverting to the subject of signs and miracles (v. 106), to introduce the Night Journey and Ascension (vv. 107–115). In Islamic belief, Muḥammad’s bodily journey to Jerusalem, ascension to the heavens, and return to Mecca in the course of a single night is considered miraculous; indeed, the standard refutation of those who claim
it was merely a spiritual journey or a vision is that in that case it would not have been a miracle. However, in the Burdah, al-Būṣīrī does not dwell on this issue and in no way presents a complete or narrative rendition of the well-known events of that night. Rather in two verses he condenses the barest essentials: the night journey from one sacred precinct (Mecca) to another (Jerusalem) (v. 107); the ascension to within the Qur’ānic “two bows’ length” of Allāh (*thumma danā fa-tadallā fa-kāna qāba qawsayni ‘aw ‘adná, Then he approached and drew near until he was two bows’ length [from Allāh] or closer [QK 53:8–9]); and, finally, the main thrust of his polemic, Muḥammad’s reaching a station that no other man, or prophet, has ever attained or even dared to desire (v. 108). Having thus encapsulated the main points and confirmed the result—that Muḥammad has achieved an unparalleled proximity to and intimacy with God—the poet then backtracks to fill in those details of the Ascension that highlight Muḥammad’s precedence over the other prophets. Again, we should note that the poet does this in an eminently non-narrative fashion. He is not (re)telling a story—the narratives, whether popular or canonical, concerning the Night Journey and Ascension were already common knowledge; rather, he is employing certain aspects of it poetically, or rhetorically, to construct his polemic.

Verses 109 to 112 convey the message of the Prophet Muḥammad’s precedence over the other Prophets and Messengers that culminates in verse 113 with his obtaining union (*waṣl—I take it to mean coming into His presence) with Allāh and receiving from Him exclusive confidences or esoteric knowledge. Verse 109 depicts the other Prophets and Messengers deferring to Muḥammad, as servants to their masters, to precede them. Verse 110 condenses the narrative of the Ascension through the seven levels of the heavens into a single verse. As he ascends through one level of the heavens after another toward Allāh, Muḥammad, leading a procession—of angels, as al-Azharī tells us—passes by, that is, surpasses, the other prophets. Al-Ghazzī cites Muslim to the effect that “in the lowest heaven he passed by Ādam; in the second, ‘Īsā and Yahyá (Jesus and John); in the third, Yūsuf (Joseph); in the fourth, Idrīs (Enoch); in the fifth Hārūn (Aaron); in the sixth Mūsá (Moses) and in the seventh, Ibrāhīm (Abraham), God’s blessing and peace upon all of them” (al-Ghazzī, v. 110). The initiation ritual that structures this Ascension passage, as well as Ibn Hishām’s Al-Sīrah rendition of Muḥammad’s Ascen-
sion, is of the same pattern familiar to us from mystery cults. It is particularly apparent in the elements of trial and contest, the progression through a series of ranks or stations, here in the form of the seven levels of the heavens and their attendant prophets, and especially in the arrival at the highest rank and acquisition of esoteric knowledge. Verse 111 reiterates the idea of competition for ranks—the trial part of the initiation rite—and Muḥammad’s overcoming all rivals and competitors—i.e., the other Prophets and Messengers.

In verse 112 the poet plays on Arabic grammatical terms to the same effect. On the surface, it means that you, Muḥammad, subordinated all other ranks to your rank when Allāh called you to a high station the way a chieftain or notable is called (al-Azharī). On this level, al-Ghazzî (v. 112) tells us that “calling” here refers to Allāh’s addressing the Prophet, “O Muḥammad, come near, come near!” (yā Muḥammadu udnu udnu). And the “elevated station” refers to the distance of “two bows’ length,” the proximity to God that Muḥammad alone achieved (see above, verse 108). At the same time, khafaḍta (reduce, lower) also means to put in the genitive case; idāfah means the possessive genitive construct; nūdīta bi-āl-raf mean for the vocative to be used in the nominative case, as in yā Muḥammadu cited above, but also, “be called to high rank”; and al-mufrad al-‘alam, as well as meaning a unique chieftain, means a singular proper noun. If to the modern sensibility this verse seems excessively contrived (especially in English), we should keep in mind that Arabic grammatical terminology is simply the technical use of what are otherwise quite ordinary everyday words, so that the punning of this line is far smoother and more charming in Arabic than in translation. Verse 112 nevertheless clearly qualifies as an example of bāḍī‘, the complex and self-conscious rhetorical play that requires some mental effort in order to elicit the full meaning.

The climax of this initiatory ascent is reached in verse 113, as the prophet-initiate Muḥammad, having overcome all rival prophets, “wins” (tafūza; al-Bājûrī, v. 113, glosses it as ẓafira, which, like fāza, means to be victorious as well as to obtain) union (i.e., proximity, intimacy) with Allāh and secret knowledge from Him. Verses 114 and 115 conclude the Ascension passage by reiterating Muḥammad’s achievement of a uniquely exalted rank and status. As we saw in verse 42, once more in verse 115 al-Būṣīrī, in his determination to elevate the status of the Prophet Muḥammad, employs diction—“exalted” (jalla) and “great” (‘azza)—that inevitably, and
intentionally, evokes the Islamic deific formula, *Allāhu ʿazza wa-jalla* (= God Almighty). In accordance with Islamic creed, al-Būṣīrī does not claim divinity for Muhḥammad, but he certainly wants to assure that Muhḥammad has no contenders when it comes to proximity to Allāh.

The two remaining verses serve to integrate the Night Journey and Ascension passage into the larger polemic of the poem. In verse 116, Muḥammad is evoked metaphorically as a pillar of the Muslim community that has been erected by God and can never be toppled. The argument for the superiority of Islam and of the Islamic community over all other religions, especially Judaism and Christianity, is clinched in verse 117: if God has declared Muḥammad the noblest of Messengers, then it follows that his community is the noblest of nations. The “chain of command” from God through his Prophet Muḥammad to the Islamic community is rhetorically achieved through the *jinās* on *daʿā* (call, call out, name) of “God called Muḥammad the noblest of Messengers” and *dāʿīnā* (Muḥammad, “the one who called us [the Muslims]” to obey God), in the first hemistich, followed by the repetition of *ʿakram* (noblest) first for Muḥammad and then for his community, in the second.

In sum, as we have seen in the earlier Sīrah-related sections of the Burdah, al-Būṣīrī has selected elements from the narrative materials concerning the Night Journey and Ascension of the Prophet and has poetically recast them in a highly rhetoricized non-narrative form. His purpose in this is to buttress his polemic in support of the preeminence of Islam and the Islamic community as a corollary to the unique and superior position of the Prophet Muḥammad vis-à-vis the other, Jewish and Christian, Prophets and Messengers. The closing verse of part 7, 117, constitutes a virtual declaration of Islamic Manifest Destiny, the guarantee of the worldly success and victory of Islam, its Prophet, and his community, which is the subject of part 8.

**Part 8: The Messenger’s Jihād and Campaigns**

(vv. 118–139)

118. The news of his message struck terror in the hearts of his enemies,
    Like the roar of the lion that startles the heedless sheep.

119. He fought them on every battleground
    Till the spears left them like meat exposed in the sun to dry.
120. They were so eager to flee that they envied the limbs of the slain
That were carried off by eagles and vultures.

121. In their terror they lost count of the passing nights,
   Except in the Sacred Months when no battle is waged.

122. As if Islam were a guest that alighted in the enemies’ courtyard
   Bringing with it every brave Muslim warrior greedy for enemy flesh.⁹⁴

123. The Prophet draws behind him a five-part army like a bounding sea,
   which,
   Mounted on swift-swimming steeds, flings dashing waves of warriors
   at the foe.

124. Each of its warriors, consecrated to God and reckoning God’s
   heavenly reward,
   Assaults with weapons that exterminate the Infidel,

125. Until, through them, the community of Islam was bound together
   Like blood-kin, after they had been like strangers.

126. In them it is forever protected by the best of fathers and husbands,
   So the Muslim community is never orphaned or widowed.⁹⁵

127. The Companions are as steadfast as mountains, so ask
   Their battle-foe how they find them on every battleground.

128. Ask Ḥunayn and Badr and Uhud about them, they will reply:
   “The ways they kill the Infidel are more deadly than the plague.”

129. They are the ones who return from battle with their white blades red
   From drinking the blood of every black-locked foe,

130. Who write using the brown-hued Khāṭī spears,
   Whose pens leave no letter on an enemy corpse undotted,

131. Who are bristling with weapons, but marked by the same sign
   That tells you the rose from the thorn-tree.

132. When the winds of victory spread the sweet fragrance of their news,
   You think each armor-clad warrior has blossoms in his sleeves.

133. Like clinging hilltop plants, they are rooted to the backs of their steeds
   From firm resolve, not from firm saddle cinches.

134. The enemy’s hearts were so flustered by fear of the Muslims’ fierce fighting
   That they couldn’t tell sheep [bahm] from brave warriors [buham].

135. Whoever is succored by the Messenger of God, if lions
   Were to find him in their lair, they would fall silent.
136. You will find no friend of his who is not victorious;  
    Nor any foe who is not broken.

137. He settled his community in the stronghold of his creed,  
    Like a lion with its cubs in its lair.

138. How many an opponent did the Words of God refute,  
    How many an adversary was vanquished by the Proof.

139. It is miracle enough for you that an illiterate should achieve such  
    knowledge  
    In the Age of Ignorance, that an orphan should acquire such refinement.

By now we realize that the titles assigned to the traditional divisions of the  
Burdah provide only a general indication of the main subject matter. Once  
again, as we have come to expect, the poet does not offer an encapsulation  
of historical events, but rather a carefully constructed polemic aimed at  
establishing the terror and cowardice of the enemies of the Prophet and of  
Islam as opposed to the courage and determination of the Prophet’s war-  
rors, who have consecrated their lives to Allâh. Above all, in the context  
of the present study, we find that al-Bûşîrî does not in any way follow the  
extensive and largely narrative sections on the Prophet’s battles or raids  
such as we find in Ibn Hîshâm’s Al-Sîrah al-Nabawiyyah (and notably al-  
Qâdı ‘Iyâd does not cover these topics in Al-Shifâ’); rather he casts this  
passage on the military prowess and victory of the Prophet and his Com-  
panions as a High ‘Abbâsid military panegyric with its characteristic bâdî’  
style, which we have identified in the beginning of chapter 2 as the expres-  
sion of Arab-Islamic hegemony. This means that the poem has moved from  
employing the rhetorical techniques of the bâdî’ style to adopting its sub-  
ject matter. What is equally significant is that we have moved from the  
domain of miracles to the concrete real-world proving ground of the ideology of Islamic Manifest Destiny.

Although the theme of contrasting the nobility of one’s own forces  
to the depravity of the foe is, no doubt, universal in martial polemics  
from the crudest propaganda to the most sublime poetry, in the case of  
Arab poetry the rhetorical techniques for achieving this antithesis were  
most highly developed in the High ‘Abbâsid period and within the spe-  
cific context of the nearly perennial military encounters between the Muslims and the Byzantines along the constantly shifting borderlands
known as the *thughūr*. In part 8, then, the rhetoric, diction, and imagery that grew out of those later hostilities are projected back to a much earlier period, onto the rivalry between the Prophet Muḥammad’s nascent Muslim community and the Meccan polytheists. This has the effect of detaching the events from their historical chronological moorings and conferring upon them a mythic, timeless—that is, paradigmatic—quality.\(^{97}\) This sense of timelessness is further enhanced by the striking absence of proper names in this passage, which is presented largely in the most general of terms, such as “the enemy” (al-ʿidā, vv. 118, 122) versus the warriors of Islam. Even the invocation (v. 138) of the Prophet’s most famous battles against the Meccan polytheists—Hūnayn (8 AH), Badr (2 AH), and Uḥud (3 AH)—which had soon taken on an emblematic more than historical quality, does little to dispel the sense of timelessness.

Through a process of chronological and rhetorical retrojection, a mythic concordance is established between victorious ʿAbbāsid caliphs such as al-Muʿtaṣīm and their imperial armies and the Prophet and his Companions as warriors. What is particularly important from a literary point of view is that despite the Qurʾānic sources for these battles and their extensive coverage, including large amounts of poetry as well as prose, in Ibn Hishām’s *Al-Sīrah al-Nabawiyyah*\(^{98}\) this poetic passage has not been shaped by these, nor has it been shaped by works such as al-Qāḍī ʿIyād’s *Al-Shifāʾ*, in which, though mentioned in the context of various miracles, these battles have no formative presence. Rather, both in terms of subject matter and in style, the sources for this passage are the rich Arabic poetic tradition of battle poetry, rooted in the Jāhiliyyah. Closer at hand is Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr’s description of the Prophet and the warriors of the Muhājirūn (the Emigrants, who accompanied Muḥammad in his flight from Mecca to Medina) in his celebrated *Suʿād Has Departed*, the subject of chapter 1. The main source here, however, is the *bādiʿ*-style High ʿAbbāsid battle panegyric for caliphs, princes, and generals, typified by Abū Tammām’s Amorium Ode (see chapter 2 and the introduction). In this respect, we must realize that it is the structural and thematic imperatives of such *qaṣīdahs*, which in the High ʿAbbāsid period required military prowess and God-given military success as signs of legitimate rule and hence as necessary components of the *madiḥ* (praise) section, that explain the presence of this passage in al-Būṣīrī’s poem.
In a manner closely resembling that of Abū Tammām’s description of the moral and spiritual depravity of the Byzantine Christian foe in his Amorium Ode,99 al-Būṣirī opens part 8 describing the terror that Muhammad’s mission struck in the hearts of the enemy, who are defined by the commentarists as “al-Kuffār,” meaning in general the Infidel, but also, specifically at the period of the Prophet’s early battles, the Meccan polytheists who refused to accept Muhammad’s prophethood and message (vv. 118–122). Grounded in images that are rooted in the Jāhiliyyah—the lion startling the heedless sheep (v. 118); meat on a drying block (v. 119) to describe those slain in battle—the passage soon picks up distinctly bādī’ features: the conceit of terrified soldiers so eager to flee that they are jealous of the limbs of the slain that are carried from the battlefield by birds of prey (v. 120). Verse 121 plays on the pre-Islamic custom of abstaining from warfare in the Sacred Months of Dhū al-Qa’dah, Dhū al-Ḥijjah, Muḥarram, and Rajab, to say that the terrified enemy lost all sense of time during the Profane Months when they were in perpetual fear of the Prophet’s attacks, as opposed to the Sacred Months when they could relax. Even more distinctively bādī’ is the complex (and, to the commentators, the subject of some confusion) conceit of verse 122. In its personification of Islam, it adds a level of conceptualization and Islamization to a pre-Islamic metaphor. In the Mu‘allaqah of ʿAmr ibn Kulthūm, for example, we find the obligation to feed the guest as a metaphor for its counterpart, the obligation to slay the enemy, for, the commentarist tells us, the verse means “we took the initiative to attack you first rather than wait for you to revile us for cowardice”:

You alighted as guests alight in our courtyard
And we hastened to provide hospitality lest you revile us.100

[51]

Al-Būṣirī’s verse likens the Muslim warriors in their battle-lust against the Infidel to hungry guests that Islam has brought to the enemy’s courtyard, and whom, custom requires, the host, however unwilling, must provide with the flesh that they crave—i.e., of the slain enemy (following al-Bājūrī, v. 122).

Verses 123 to 133 or 134 counter the depiction of the terrified foe with that of the majestic sweeping Muslim army led by the Prophet. This
central passage of part 8 is not a narrative of historical account of the Prophet’s battles and raids, but rather a madh (praise, panegyric) of the Prophet’s Companions (the commentaries identify them as “al-Ṣaḥābah,” see al-Ghazzā, al-Bājūrī, v. 127) in their capacity as warriors for the faith cast in the bādīʿ style of the qaṣīdat al-madh (panegyric ode) of the High ‘Abbāsid period. Although it is clear from the context that the Prophet is the referent of the pronoun, the verse itself is purely in the High ‘Abbāsid bādīʿ in both its diction and the complex rhetorical play on the comparison of the sweeping five-part army to the sea. The crux of the word-play is sābiḥah, that is, “swimmers,” a conventional epithet for swift-paced steeds. This prepares us stylistically for verse 124, which, in the exhilarating momentum of its rhythmic repetition of participial forms—muntadib (consecrating), muḥtasib (reckoning), mustaʾṣīl (eradicating), muṣṭalim (exterminating)—is styled quite explicitly on Abū Tammām’s famous and much imitated line (cited in chapter 2), describing the Caliph al-Muʿtaṣim on the Amorium campaign: muʿtaṣim (relying), muntaqīm (avenging), murtaqīb (striving), murtaghib (yearning).

Verses 125 and 126 continue to describe the warriors for the faith, now through similes involving kinship: it is through them that the community of Islam is bound together and it is they who protect the community as husbands and fathers protect their wives and children. The sense seems to be that the community was forged, and is maintained and protected, by the sword.

Verses 127 to 133 or 134 combine the conventional Jāhilī fakhr (boast) strategy of the rhetorical question, sal fūlānan ʿannā . . . [ yujib] (Ask so-and-so about us, [he/they will answer]), as, for example in the pre-Islamic warrior poet Bishr ibn Abī Khāzim’s verse:

And ask Banū Tamīm about us on the Day of al-Jifār and ask
Banū Laʾm about us, when they turned tail and would not stand
in battle.101

[52]

Verse 127 asks their foes about the warriors for the faith in battle; verse 128 intensifies the rhetoric to personify the renowned battles of the Prophet and the nascent Muslim community against the Meccan polytheists: Ḥunayn, Badr, and Uḥud, who are asked and respond. This
serves as the transition into a passage based on another Jāhilī-rooted structure, the descriptive passages in fakhr (boast), madḥ (panegyric), or rithāʾ (elegy) based on a series of what I have termed elsewhere active participial epithets:102 al-muṣdirī al-bīḍi (those bringing back the white swords, v. 129); al-kātibīna bi-sumri al-Khaṭṭī (those writing with the brown spears of al-Khaṭṭī, v. 130); shākī al-silāḥi (those bristling with weapons, v. 131). Indeed, the commentators explain the accusative case of these participles as the direct object of an elided verb of praise (al-Ghazzī, al-Azharī, al-Bājūrī, v. 129). This structure is now cast, however, in purely Abū Tammāmian badīʾ: the intensified play on color epithets of verses 129 and 130; the conceit of verse 130 comparing spears leaving puncture-wounds in the bodies of the foe to pens putting dots on letters; the complex conceit and word-play of verse 131 which, the commentators explain, means that the Muslim warriors in their weapons are distinguished from the foe by their moral or spiritual sweetness just as the rose bush is distinguished by the beauty and fragrance of its blossoms from the thorny salam-tree (al-Ghazzī, al-Bājūrī, v. 130).

The metaphor of sensory sweetness for spiritual purity is taken up once more in verse 132, where it is compounded with the metaphor of the wind spreading redolent fragrances for the spreading of the good news of Muslim victory. Again, the verse is closely modeled on verses like Abū Tammām’s description of the “sweetness” of Muslim victory over the Byzantines at Amorium:

60. How many a bitter soul was sweetened when [the enemies’] souls were plucked from them, Had it been drenched in musk it would not smell so sweet.103

The following two verses exhibit once more precisely the sort of word-play that defines the badīʾ style: verse 133 contrasts the firm physical steadfastness of tightly cinched saddle girths to the psychological fortitude of the Muslims warriors on their mounts through a compound jīnās: they cling to their saddles through the firmness of their resolve (min shiddati al-ḥazmi) not from the tightening of their saddle-girths (lā min shaddati al-ḥuzumi). Verse 134 returns to the subject of the terror-stricken foe, whose mental confusion is expressed through the verbal confusion suggested by another double jīnās, first of fāraq (fear) and
tufarriqu (distinguish), and especially between al-bahm (sheep) and al-buham (brave warriors).

What we should understand above all from this recasting of the battles and raids of the Prophet and the warriors of the nascent Muslim community into the rhetorically complex and eminently imperial or hegemonic discourse of the badī'-style qaṣīdat al-madh of the High Abbāsid period is that a chronologically reversed process of mythic concordance has taken place. If Abū Tammām achieved a mythic concordance between the Prophet’s Battle of Badr and the Caliph al-Mu’tasim’s victory over Amorium with his lines

69. If among fate’s vagaries there is
   Any tie of kinship or unsevered bond

70. Then the closest lineage connects
   The days of Badr to your victorious days.¹⁰⁴

then al-Būṣīrī, by clothing the Prophet and his Companion warriors in the rhetorical garb that Abū Tammām had produced for al-Mu’tasim and his victorious Muslim forces, has achieved a timeless, mythic image of Islam victorious, of an Islamic Manifest Destiny.

We can better appreciate the emphasis on the warrior Companions in this passage if we keep in mind that, inasmuch as the qaṣīdat al-madh, as I have demonstrated elsewhere, constitutes a declaration of allegiance, it also presents a hierarchical model or paradigm of the polity or community of which the mamdūḥ is the legitimate leader.¹⁰⁵ Thus we found in chapter 1 that Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s Sū‘ād Has Departed devotes the major portion of its maddīḥ section to describing the Prophet in martial terms as more dreadful than a lion, as one of the swords of God (chapter 1, Sū‘ād Has Departed, vv. 43–48), before concluding with a description of the Muhājirūn (the Emigrants, those who followed Muḥammad from Mecca to Medina) as noble warriors for the faith and the Anṣār (Medinese Helpers) as wretched runts (chapter 1: Sū‘ād Has Departed, vv. 51–55).

It is significant, then, that the climactic emphasis on the Sīrah-related parts of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah falls on the militant Ummah or Community in a passage whose principle components are the divinely appointed Muslim leader, his warriors, and the adversary. It is interesting, too, that the Meccan polytheists, termed merely “the enemy” (al-ʿidā, v. 118) and “the Infidel”
(al-kufr, v. 124), through their description in the High ‘Abbāsid panegyric descriptions of the enemy, acquire a mythic cognation with the Byzantine (Christian) Infidel of that period, as found, for example in the martial panegyrics of poets such as Abū Tammām, al-Buḥturi, and al-Mutanabbī. For al-Būṣīrī’s contemporaries the further identification of the infidel enemy of this passage with the Crusaders was, no doubt, inevitable.

The remainder of part 8, verses 135 to 139, explicitly names the Messenger of God for the first time in part 8, effects a transition away from the martial imagery that dominates the earlier verses of this passage, and redirects our attention to Muḥammad’s defining miracle, the Qurʾān. Within the Arabic poetic context, however, the lion is the staple metaphor for the fierce warrior, so that verse 135, in which the Prophet defends those he succors against lions, and verse 137, in which he himself is likened to a lion, both have a martial tone and, indeed, recall the comparison of the Prophet to a lion in Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr’s Suʿād Has Departed (chapter 1, vv. 44–46).

Nevertheless, verse 137 refers to Muḥammad’s creed as a stronghold or refuge, conveying the concept of a protection that is spiritual rather than military. Finally, the two closing verses of this section move away from the martial theme of the main passages and revert to the broader theme of the Sirah-related passages: the Prophet’s miracles. Verse 138 quite cleverly maintains the adversarial tone while invoking the Prophet’s greatest miracle, the Qurʾān as the Word of God and as the ultimate Proof of Muḥammad’s Prophethood that defeats and vanquishes opponents and adversaries. Verse 139 offers a corollary to the Iʿjāz al-Qurʾān tenet, again through the rhetorical device, a double antithesis that produces an impossible (i.e., miraculous) combination of opposites: that an illiterate (the general understanding of QK 7:157, al-nabī al-ummī) should achieve knowledge in the Age of Ignorance and that a (poor, neglected) orphan (Muḥammad’s father, ʿAbd Allāh, died before he was born; his mother, Āminah, died when he was six years old) should achieve such refinement of language are proof of the divine inspiration of the Qurʾān and therefore of Muḥammad’s prophethood.

Through these closing verses part 8 redirects us out of the martial world of military victory and conquest and back into the spiritual domain of Muḥammad, the succor and support of his community (vv. 135–137), whose prophethood is confirmed above all by the miracle of the
Qurʾān (vv. 137–138). This then sets the stage for the introduction of the two final sections of the overarching supplicatory structure of the Burdah—Part 9: Supplication and Plea for Intercession, and Part 10: Fervent Prayer and Petition.

It is essential to understand how the Sīrah-related polemical sections of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, parts 4–8, function within what we have argued is the overarching supplicatory structure of the ode. On the one hand, in terms of their placement and themes, these sections serve as extensions of the madīḥ section and perform its assigned function of demonstrating the legitimacy of the mamdūḥ. Inasmuch as the poem is addressed to the Prophet, this amounts first of all to confirming his claim to prophethood and particularly of establishing his claim to be the Seal of Prophets; hence the polemical trajectory of these sections. The recasting of Sīrah-related materials into poetic structures, especially the highly rhetorically charged poetics of bādīʾ, has the effect of downplaying the chronological or historical narrative aspect of these materials and foregrounding their cosmic dimensions and associations, in particular through establishing a mythic concordance between the events of the Prophet’s lifetime and the apex of Arab-Islamic hegemony in the High ʿAbbāsid age.

This process of mythicization creates a sense of teleological time, and with it a sense of the continued efficacy of the Prophet’s connection with the Islamic Ummah, both corporately—what I have termed the ideology of Islamic Manifest Destiny—and individually. This, in turn, is essential to the ritual exchange that is at the core of the supplicatory ode—i.e., that the poet-suppliant presents a gift or offering of praise and requests a boon; and, in return, the patron-benefactor fulfills that request, thereby confirming the poet’s words. Furthermore, part of this poetic ritual involves the poet’s establishing the mamdūḥ’s ability to grant the supplicant’s wish. For madīḥ nabawī, this means that the poet must establish Muḥammad’s prophethood, for only if he truly is God’s prophet can he bestow the boon of intercession (al-shafāʾah) on Judgment Day.

Completion of the Supplicatory Pattern: Parts 9–10

Let us now turn to parts 9 and 10, whose traditional titles tell us quite directly that they are concerned with Supplication and Plea for Intercession. Part 9 can be termed the ritual contractual core of the poem.
140. I hereby serve him with praise whereby I seek forgiveness
   For a life spent in poetry and service to worldly masters,
141. Which had led me to deeds of dreadful consequence,
   Till I was like a sacrificial sheep led to the slaughter.
142. I obeyed the temptations of youth in both poetry and worldly service
   And from them I obtained nothing but sin and regret.
143. What a loss for my soul in her commerce! She did not purchase
   the next world
   With this world; she did not even put this world up for sale!
144. Whoever sells the world to come to buy this fleeting world
   Will soon see he’s been defrauded in the sale and in the purchase.
145. If I have committed sins, yet my pact with the Prophet is not broken,
   Nor is my bond with him severed.
146. Surely by my name Muḥammad I fall under his protection,
   And he is the most faithful of all creation to his covenants.
147. If on Resurrection Day he does not take me by the hand,
   Then say, “Oh, how his foot does slip from the Bridge into Hellfire!”
148. No one who hopes for his generous gifts is ever denied;
   No one who seeks his protection is ever dishonored.
149. Since I have committed my thoughts to his praise,
   I have found him most committed to my salvation.
150. His wealth will never fail the dusty outstretched hand,
   For surely the rain makes flowers bloom even on hilltops.
151. —And I don’t mean the blossoms of this world
   That Zuhayr’s hands plucked for his praise poems to Harim.

As we saw in chapter 1 on Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr’s Suʿād Has Departed, the
ritual core of the supplicatory ode is signaled by a change in style from
the rhetorically laden and heavily metaphorical opaque poetic language
to a more transparent, straightforward, prosaic language. Again, we
must insist that the ritual core is the most essentially performative part
of the poem, that is, it is in no way a description or poetic recounting of
the poet’s making a pact with the Prophet; rather it is a speech act: as the poet utters the words, the pact assumes moral force. In essence, part 9 sets forth and confirms the supplicatory panegyrical pact between poet and patron, specifying for this maddīḥ nabawī the moral, spiritual, and otherworldly—that is to say, religious—dimensions of the covenant. Verse 140 succinctly reiterates the pact: that the poet is presenting praise to the Prophet Muḥammad in return for his intercession for God’s forgiveness, on the Judgment Day, for the sins of a life spent devoted to the praise and service of worldly masters (al-Ghazzi, Bājūri, v. 140). At the same time, this verse confirms our suggested reading of Part 1: Nasīb Lyric-Erotic Prelude, that the passions of youth and adolescent erotic suffering are figurative expressions for worldly poetry—or vice versa, as the following verses further confirm. At the same time, part 9 reiterates the key elements of the supplicatory ritual: verses 141–144 recapitulate the pattern of nasībic youthful passion that leads to sin and regret, followed by self-abasement in the form of confession and repentance. Note, too, the insistence on the use of commercial terms (vv. 143–144) that underline the concept of contractual exchange, while at the same time contrasting the inevitably fraudulent and unprofitable commerce of this world with the success of the otherworldly commerce—i.e., selling this world to gain the next. These mercantile metaphors are reminiscent of and derived from religious discourse on the subject, but also have a poetic precedent in lines such as al-Buḥturi’s, from his renowned poem on Īwān Kisrā (the Arch of Chosroes, in Ctesiphon):

In my purchase of Iraq I was swindled,
After my selling Syria at a loss.\textsuperscript{108}

[56]

The despair of self-abasement (“like a beast/sheep to the slaughter,” v. 141) and the failure of worldly commerce yields to hope and praise in verses 145–148, with particular emphasis on pacts and their binding nature: verse 145 insists that between the poet and the Prophet, “my pact (‘ahdī) . . . is not broken, . . . my bond (ḥabli) is not severed”; verse 146, the poet’s “covenant of protection” (dhimmah) balanced with the Prophet’s “covenants” (dhimam); verse 148, likewise, among many possible virtues he chooses the Prophet’s honoring his obligation to those who have sought his protection (al-jār . . . muḥtaram). In verse 149, the pan-
egyric compact is reiterated yet again: as the poet “commits” or binds himself to praising the Prophet, the Prophet thereby becomes reciprocally committed or bound to the poet’s salvation. The sense of this mutually binding obligation is expressed with utter clarity in the Arabic through the *jinās* (root-play) of ʿalzamtu (I bound myself) in the first hemistich and *multazim* ([he was] bound, committed) in the second, both deriving from the root *l-z-m* and evoking its core meanings of clinging and sticking, obligation and duty.

The final couplet of part 9, too, reaffirms the panegyric pact, in a way that makes explicit once more the distinction between court panegyric, that is, worldly poetry, and *madīḥ nabawī*—the very distinction with which verse 140 opened the section. Now, however, the poet begins with a straightforward line of praise: just as a heavy rain makes the flowers bloom even on the driest hilltops, so too the boundless generosity of the Prophet reaches even to the poorest hand. This leads, however, in verse 151, to the poet’s renouncing earthly rewards, as he insists that these flowers—the rewards for his poetry that the Prophet would bestow—are not the flowers or splendors of this world (the Qur’ānic *zahrata al-ḥayāta al-dunyā*, QK 20: 131), like the lavish robes bestowed on Zuhayr (his name means “little blossom”) ibn Abī Sulmá by his patron Harim ibn Sinān (see chapter 1), but rather the flowers or rewards of the world to come.

**PART 10: FERVENT PRAYER AND PETITION (VV. 152–160)**

152. O most generous of all Creation, I have no one to turn to
But you, when the dreaded Day of Judgment comes.

153. O Messenger of God, it will not offend your dignity to help me
When God, the Generous One, is endowed with the name of the Avenger.

154. For indeed both this world and the next come from your generosity,
And our knowledge of the Pen and Tablet comes from your knowledge.

155. O my soul, do not despair of pardon for a sin, however grave,
For mortal sins in God’s forgiveness are like venial ones.

156. I hope that my share of my Lord’s mercy, when He apportions it,
Will be in equal portion to my disobedience and sins.

157. O my Lord, ensure that my hope for Your mercy is not disappointed,
And ensure my account is not left unsettled.
158. Be gracious to your servant in both abodes, for his resolve is weak:
Whenever terrors call out to him, he flees.
159. And let clouds of prayer from You rain down unceasingly upon the
Prophet
In heavy downpours and in steady rains
160. For as long as the eastern breeze stirs the boughs of the ben-tree
And the camel driver stirs his light-hued beasts with song.

Part 10 seals the poem and, in the context of the present reading, also
seals the contract that the poet has so explicitly and insistently spelled
out in part 9. At this climactic point the poet voices what is undoubtedly
the most emotive verse of the poem. Abandoning the “objective” third
person of part 9, the poet now turns directly to apostrophize the Prophet
and, in an expression of total self-abasement, submission, and helpless-
ness, throws himself upon the mercy of the Prophet and begs for his
intercession. As we witnessed elsewhere, both in this poem and in the
examples of `Alqamah, al-Nābighah, and Ka‘b in chapter 1, the elements
of praise, submission, confession, and supplication are so intimately
bound together as to be hard to isolate. Al-Būṣīrī’s plea for the Prophet’s
intercession on his behalf on the Day of Judgment is couched in terms
familiar to us from traditional court panegyric: verse 152, he seeks ref-
uge; verse 153, he seeks to be included in the ranks of those protected by
the mamdūh/Prophet. Further, although in religious terms we are deal-
ing with a rite of pleading for intercession (shafā‘ah), in terms of the
poetic tradition, the poet is pleading for forgiveness and incorporation,
to be brought near, into the patron’s inner circle—in this case those who
on the Day of Judgment will be spared the horrors of the Last Judgment
and be led under the Prophet’s banner into the heavenly Garden.109

The last six verses of the poem, verses 155–160, signal a transition from
entreaty to the Prophet to a plea for mercy from Allāh himself; and, finally,
the poem closes with the Benediction of the Prophet. This concluding pas-
sage constitutes a second, more elevated, supplication to Allāh Himself, as
the poet now places his hope in Allāh (v. 156) and abases himself before
Him. Once again, the tropes and diction are those of hope and fear, and
include the mercantile image of the Day of Judgment (or Day of Reckoning,
Yawm al-Ḥisāb) as a balancing of accounts (v. 157). Finally, the poem closes
with the poet calling upon Allāh to bless the Prophet Muḥammad.
The ritual and structural purpose of this begins to emerge if we follow Annemarie Schimmel’s discussion of Muhammad as intercessor, where she states that the blessing of Muhammad, most commonly in the formula ُللّٰلُّا َاللّٰهُ َعَلَى وَالللاَّمِلَّمَا (termed ‘tasliyah) is a ritually required element of supplication: “The blessing has also been regarded as a necessary condition for the granting of a prayer of petition: “The personal supplication (du’ā) remains outside the heavens until the praying person utters the blessing upon the Prophet.” Although Schimmel makes no connection between this and the classical court panegyric, those familiar with the poetic tradition will recognize that the poet’s du’ā or benediction, calling Allah’s blessing down upon the mamduḥ, performs a similar function there. In terms of our argument concerning ritual exchange, this means that part, indeed a requisite part, of the poet’s (verbal, performative) gift to the patron is the blessing—the power of which may be more palpable to us when we consider it as the opposite of a curse. Further, we can now say that the blessing and the boon are intimately linked together, both in the supplicatory panegyric poetic tradition and in the supplicatory prayer.

In this case al-Būṣīrī has subtly melded the religious and the poetic, the tasliyah (blessing) of the Islamic Prophetic tradition with the most traditional du’ā (benediction) of the panegyric qasīdah tradition, that is, the istisqa or prayer for rain. An example from a supplicatory panegyric by the celebrated Umayyad poet al-Akḥṭal (d. ca. 92/710) will give us some idea of the poetic pedigree of al-Būṣīrī’s closing lines, and of the traditional association of rain with fertility and abundance of every kind:

52. May God water a land the best of whose people is Khālid,
   With a cloud whose spouts keep on disgorging abundant rain.
53. When the east wind cuts through its crotches,
   Its water-laden lower parts flow like milk.
54. When the wind shakes it, it drags its trains,
   Like the ponderous gait of newly calved she-camels, tending their young.
55. Pouring incessantly, the lightning-bolts on its sides like lamps aglow
   in the darkness
   Or the flanks of piebald steeds in panic bolting.

Al-Būṣīrī’s closing line deserves some comment of its own. Its surface sense is entirely clear: forever.
For as long as the eastern breeze stirs the boughs of the ben-tree
And the camel driver stirs his light-hued beasts with song.

On the literal level it also combines the elements of nature and culture—as long as the easterly breeze blows through the boughs of the ben-tree and as long as camel drivers sing to hasten their camels along. But in a tradition as rich as the Arabic one, all of these terms are laden with further meanings and associations that have accumulated over the centuries. In the poetic tradition, the šabā or easterly breeze, whether blowing through the ben-tree or from the highlands of Najd, is the breeze that bears the fragrance of the place where the poet’s now distant beloved or loved ones dwell or dwelt, and that stirs the memory of the beloved in his heart. And, further, this then serves as a trope for poetic inspiration, which begins with the sparking of a memory of loss. The ben-tree, too, has a complex poetic history. As a trope for the beloved, we have seen it already in verse 5—the image of the poet spending the night sleepless remembering the fragrance of the ben-tree—i.e., of the supple figure of his beloved. In the Post-Classical period, the beloved and with it the ben-tree are transformed, first by Sufism into symbols of the Divine (Allāh as the Beloved), but then further, from the sixth-century Hijrah on, to descriptions of the Prophet Muḥammad, Allāh’s beloved. The longing and memory that are stirred in the context of sixth/thirteenth century mādiḥ nabawī are for the visit to the city of the Prophet, Medina, and his tomb, after the pilgrimage to Mecca.

This further level of interpretation then colors our reading of the second hemistich: the camel driver assumes the identity, too, of a pilgrim headed to the Holy Places, and specifically to the city of the Prophet and his grave. The song with which he hastens and delights the camels, which serve additionally or essentially as a metonymy for their riders, can then be nothing other than mādiḥ nabawī. The poem thus closes with an intriguing metapoetic and self-referential image that also reflects the exchange ritual that has formed the basis of our argument and of our reading of the poem. For the closing line comes to express a curious reciprocity or circularity: the poet prays in a mādiḥ nabawī for Allāh to rain His blessings down upon the Prophet for as long as the remembrance of the Prophet stirs poets to compose mādiḥ nabawī.
Conclusion

Now that we have unearthed the ritual-liturgical structure of the poem we are in a far better position to offer an assessment of its literary and religious success. We have determined through a close analysis of the text, in comparison with other supplicatory qaṣīdahs, that al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah is thematically structured as a ritual of supplication for the Prophet’s intercession on the Day of Judgment; and further, that the ritual of supplication is essentially a ritual exchange—a mutually binding contract whereby the poet-suppliant presents the qaṣīdah to the supplicated mamdūḥ who, in turn, must present a counter-gift, i.e., grant the supplicant his wish. This finding is confirmed by the statements repeated in the manuscripts and print editions of various forms of the Burdah, that the author, translator, scribe, mukhammis (composer of takhmiṣ), etc., did so in the hope of intercession. It is this full (and efficacious) ritual structure, as I see it, that allowed the Muslim faithful to employ the poem in this way, i.e., as a liturgical text whose recitation constituted a reenactment of al-Būṣīrī’s experience of offering a gift of praise to the Prophet and receiving in return the gift of spiritual transformation or healing. In other words, the supplicatory ritual structure, much more than the religious content per se, meant that to recite (or imitate, translate, copy, etc.) the poem was to perform a religious rite. The generation of the myth of the cure and the mantle then has the effect of symbolically underlining the efficacy of this performative recitation. As we argued in the case of Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr’s Suʿād Has Departed, so with al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, for a believer to perform the poem is to undergo a transformation that leaves him spiritually cleansed or cured or healed and, in particular, renews his hope for the intercession of the Prophet on Judgment Day and salvation in the world to come. The story of the dream vision and cure serves, as the Anglican Church says of the Christian sacraments, as “an outward and physical sign of an inward and spiritual grace.”

Surely the Śūfis who have widely adopted this poem as part of their mystical practice exercised great literary perspicacity in sensing that at the root of the supplicatory-panegyric ritual is admission into the presence and inner circle of the mamdūḥ. It was precisely this idea that we found so charmingly expressed by the Śūfī in al-Kutubi’s version of the Dream of the Mantle in the introduction to this chapter.
The performative concept of the efficacious word was then expanded, metaphorically we would say, on the popular level to include cures and preventions other than the purely spiritual. For if the full Burdah poem can usher the believer into the (dream) presence of the Prophet and procure both earthly, physical cure and otherworldly intercession and salvation, it follows that its parts should have their own special properties (khaṣā‘iṣ), that is, spiritual or psychological benefits of a more limited nature; and further, following the metaphorical relation between the poem and the story of its composition—the relation between the spiritual cure and the physical cure—specific parts of the poem should have specific properties to produce specific physical benefits as well. Although the present discussion is confined to understanding these matters in literary terms of metaphor, metonymy, and synecdoche, the range of efficacy of the Burdah in traditional Islamic society and the associative logic behind it suggest that our literary concepts are grounded in broader psychological and psychosomatic experience.

The present study has focused on establishing the ritual supplicatory structure of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, derived from the supplicatory court panegyric, as a major determinant of its performative liturgical function in a ritual exchange of poem for prize and blessing for boon. It has argued that the function of the story of its composition, including the dream vision of reciting it to the Prophet and the miraculous cure, is to confirm the ritual efficacy of the Burdah. Whereas modern literary critics understand the story as a metaphorical expression of the poem’s ritual and spiritual efficacy, centuries of believers took the story more literally and sought to employ the poem as a means not only to achieve a spiritual healing, but to cure or prevent a wide range of psychological and physical ailments.

Many other aspects of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah remain to be explored: the Burdah’s preeminent position in the massive production of madā‘iḥ nabawiyyah in Post-Classical Arabic poetry and in other Islamic literatures; its textual history, in both manuscript and print; its commentaries and translations; its past and current private devotional and public liturgical performance by Muslims in general and Ṣūfīs in particular; and its poetic progeny, such as takḥmisāt, bādī‘iyyāt, and mu‘āraḍāt; its performance history generally, including contemporary recitations and contests, commercial music videos, and Internet-based (YouTube, etc.) video productions. We will turn now in chapter 3 to the most cele-
brated of the innumerable contrafactions or imitations of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah: Nahj al-Burda (The Way of the Mantle) by the preeminent Egyptian Neo-Classical poet, Aḥmad Shawqī. With Shawqī’s poem we move beyond the Medieval and Post-Classical periods to the age of the encounter of the Arab-Islamic world with Western ideas and Western imperialism, and to the Arab political and cultural response to the colonial encounter, termed Nahḍah (Awakening) or Iḥyāʾ (Revival).
THREE

Aḥmad Shawqī and the
Reweaving of the Mantle

Introduction

The third of our Mantle Odes is Nahj al-Burdah (The Way of the Mantle),¹ which, as its title indicates, is a contrafaction (muʿāradah), that is, a formal imitation in rhyme and meter, of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah composed by Aḥmad Shawqī (1868–1932), the most celebrated of the Egyptian Neo-Classical poets.² Termed in Arabic “Shuʿarāʾ al-Iḥyāʾ” (Poets of the Revival), the Neo-Classical poets formed an integral part of the mid-nineteenth–mid-twentieth-century renascence of Classical Arabic language, literature, and culture termed the Arab Awakening (al-Iḥyāʾ, literally “revival”) or Renaissance (al-Nahḍah).

AḤMAD SHAWQĪ AND THE NAHḌAH

Aḥmad Shawqī was born in Cairo in 1868 to a family of mixed Arab, Turkish, Greek, and Circassian origins. His family had close ties to the court of the Khedives. Descendants of the great mid-nineteenth-century Albanian reformist ruler of Egypt, Muḥammad ʿAlī Pāshā (r. 1805–1845), the Khedives ruled Egypt under more or less nominal Ottoman suzerainty. In 1883 Shawqī completed his secondary studies at the Khedival School and enrolled at the Law School in 1885, where he studied for two years followed by two years in its Division of Translation, graduating in 1889. In 1890 he was appointed to the Khedival Secretariat of Khedive Tawfīq, who then sent him to study law and literature in France from 1891 to 1893, first in
Montpelier and then in Paris. Upon his return to Egypt, he was reappointed to the Khedival Secretariat. He remained there under Tawfīq’s successor, ʿAbbās Hīlmi II (r. 1892–1914), whom he served as court poet until the Khedive’s dethronement and Shawqi’s own exile to Spain, in 1914. Upon his return from exile in 1919, Shawqi was unable to gain a position at court but became an increasingly popular poet throughout the Arab world. Proclaimed by the Arab poetic establishment “Prince of Poets” (Amīr al-Shuʿarā’) in 1927, Shawqi died, after a long illness, in 1932.3

Shawqi’s extraordinarily rich and varied poetic production ranges from imitations of French and European models in his early Dīwān; to the Neo-Classical court panegyric to the Khedive of Egypt and occasional poetry addressed to countless notables (including Lord Cromer) in his middle period; to the Neo-Classical masterpiece, his Sīniyyah in imitation of al-Buṭurī, on the monuments of Islamic Spain, the end product of his exile in Spain (1914–1919); to his experiments in verse drama, didactic poetry, etc.4 His lifetime spans a dramatic transitional period in Egyptian and Arab culture: the emergence of Egyptian nationalism; the ʿUrābī Revolt of 1882, whose failure ushered in the British occupation of Egypt, 1882–1936; World War I, 1914–18; the Egyptian 1919 Revolution; and in the 1920s the Wafd party’s establishment of a constitutional monarchy under diminished British authority—concomitant with the dissolution of the Ottoman Sultanate, and with it the Islamic Caliphate, in 1922, with the establishment of the Turkish Republic.

It has been widely recognized that the Nahḍah, or Arab Renaissance, arose largely as a response to Western imperialism and domination of the Arab world. In poetry, this led to the formation of the Neo-Classical school and took the form of attempting to recuperate a vision of Arab-Islamic hegemony through reprising the robust and majestic voices of the master poets of the High ʿAbbāsid era, often in the form of contrafactions (muʿāraḍāt) of established masterpieces.5 A formative figure on the Egyptian scene was the Shaykh al-Ḥusayn al-Marṣafī (1815–90), who is regarded as the first to have formulated a renaissance (nahḍah) of Arabic literature, as seen in his influential study of Arabic language, grammar, and rhetoric, etc., Al-Wasīlah al-Adabiyyah ilā al-ʿUlūm al-ʿArabiyyah (vol. 1: 1289/1875; vol. 2: 1292/1879). There he espouses the revival of the art of writing or composition (inshāʾ), based largely on examples taken from the Umayyad and ʿAbbāsid prose masters, as essential for the rebirth and modernization of Egypt.6 Worthy of mention here, too, is the poet and statesman Maḥmūd
Sāmī al-Bārūdī (1839–1904), one of the leaders of the failed nationalist ʿUrābī Revolt (1881–82). When British military intervention quashed the revolt and ushered in the British occupation of Egypt, Bārūdī was among the leaders exiled to Ceylon, where he spent the next seventeen years. It was there that he composed major parts of his diwān and his voluminous and influential anthology of ʿAbbāsid poetry, Al-Mukhtārāt, both of which appeared posthumously and established him as major proponent of and formative influence on the Neo-Classical movement.\textsuperscript{7}

Concomitant with this valorization of Classical, especially early ʿAbbāsid, poets was the Neo-Classical disparagement of the more immediate poetic precedents of what came to be termed ʿAṣr al-Inḥīṭāṭ (Age of Decline) or al-Jumūd (of Stagnation), that is, the Post-Classical period of Arab subjugation to “foreign,” if Islamic, rule, such as the Ayyūbids, Mamlūks, and Ottomans. Charged with excessive artifice and artificiality, the late medieval tradition was taken to embody the degeneracy of Arab-Islamic culture that had, in turn, paved the way for non-Arab (Central Asian, Turkish, Kurdish, or Caucasian) Islamic and subsequently European Christian ascendency and domination.

We must not forget that this literary movement was part and parcel of the broader cultural movement of the Nahḍah, the rebirth and reform of Arab and more generally Islamic culture under the influence of European liberal thought and scientific progress on the one hand and European imperialism and military domination on the other. In this respect, the work of intellectuals and reformers such as the Egyptian modernizer and educator Rifāʿah Rāfiʿ al-Ṭahṭāwī (d. 1873), the revolutionary pan-Islamist, Jamāl al-Dīn al-Afghānī (d. 1897), and, especially, the Egyptian Islamic modernizer Muḥammad ʿAbduh (d. 1905), must be kept in mind—particularly in light of Albert Hourani’s point that the Islamic reformism of Muhammad ʿAbduh, Rashīd Riḍá, and others “took place under the stimulus of European liberal thought, and led to the gradual reinterpretation of Islamic concepts so as to make them equivalent to the guiding principles of European thought.”\textsuperscript{8}

**Poetic Precedents**

What is curious about Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah is that he has chosen not, as the usual Neo-Classical manner would suggest, a High ʿAbbāsid model for his contrafaction (muʿāraḍah), but rather the centerpiece of
the poetry and piety of the Post-Classical era, al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, or Mantle Ode. Shawqi’s Neo-Classical predecessor, al-Bārūdī, too, composed a muʿāradah, of sorts, of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, namely his mādīh nabawī of nearly 450 verses, Kashf al-Ghummah fī Madḥ Sayyid al-Ummah (The Banishment of Sorrow in Praise of the Master of the Ummah). It opens with an unmistakable allusion to its poetic genealogy echoing the image and diction of al-Būṣīrī’s base-text, the Mimīyyah of Ibn al-Fāriḍ (see chapter 2 of this volume):

O harbinger of lightning, make your way to Dārat al-ʿAlam
And urge your flock of clouds to a tribe at Dhū Salam

Although it begins with a lyrical nasīb or “ghazal” section, it is, as al-Bārūdī states in his prose introduction, basically a poetic rendition of Ibn Hishām’s Al-Sīrah al-Nabawīyyah. It thus represents a poetic undertaking altogether different from Shawqi’s, one that has led to the debate over whether Kashf al-Ghummah is a qaṣīdah or an epic (malḥamah). Further, we should note that Shawqi himself also composed a contrafaction of al-Būṣīrī’s second most celebrated and imitated mādīh nabawī, his Hamziyyah, known by its incipit Wulida al-Hudā (True Guidance Was Born).

Yet another layer of literary influence must be taken into account. The intervening six centuries between al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah as base-text and Shawqi’s contrafaction of it in Nahj al-Burdah witnessed not merely the florescence of mādīh nabawī as a major poetic genre, including myriad contrafactions and expansions of the Burdah, but also the peculiar genre of bādīʿiyah, that is, a contrafaction of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah that is composed in such a way that each verse exhibits a particular rhetorical device. Ṣafī al-Dīn al-Ḥillī (d. 749/1348 or 750/1349) is usually credited with creating the first such poem. Later practitioners, beginning with ʿIzz al-Dīn al-Mawṣili (d. 789/1387), added the further proviso that each verse contain a tawriyah (pun) on the rhetorical term for the device exhibited therein. A number of these poems, especially those of Ṣafī al-Dīn al-Ḥillī and Ibn Ḥijjah al-Ḥamawī (d. 837/1434), had a certain currency in the Neo-Classical period. Inasmuch as their poems closely followed the thematic structure as well as rhyme and meter of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, they, and the aesthetic rhetorical expectations they engendered,
must have influenced both Shawqi’s choice of base-text and his composition. Indeed, in his introduction to Nahj al-Burdah, Muḥammad al-Muwaṭṭi states that Shawqi has taken the ʿadī ʿiyyāt type of mādiḥ nabawī as his model. Although Shawqi does not follow their programmatic practice, nevertheless his rhetorically ornate style creates a similar effect, and his poem shows the influence of this body of poetry. It should be noted, further, that especially since the technical term ʿadī ʿiyyah is sometimes used more loosely for rhetorically ornate mādiḥ nabawī—usually contrafactions of, or strongly influenced by, al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah—to Shawqi’s contemporaries the ʿadī ʿiyyah, whether in the precise or looser sense, is the immediate genre-association for Nahj al-Burdah.

As we saw in chapter 2, al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah is without question the preeminent example of mādiḥ nabawī, one that, in addition to spawning the subgenre of the ʿadī ʿiyyah, was imitated, copied, commented upon, expanded upon, translated, etc., in an entirely unprecedented manner. Further, we must not forget the widespread popular belief in the Burdah’s miraculous and talismanic powers, both spiritual and physical, that were both initiated and confirmed by the story associated with it, as follows. The poet, stricken with hemiplegia, composed this poem of mādiḥ nabawī. He then saw the Prophet in a dream and recited the poem to him, whereupon the Prophet, in an expression of appreciation and delight, conferred his mantle upon the poet. The poet awoke the next morning cured of his ailment. The protection and blessings, in this world and the next, that the Burdah conferred resulted in its extensive incorporation into the everyday piety of the faithful—its recitation at mawlids of the Prophet and various saints, at funerals, etc., and especially to its incorporation into Ṣūfī liturgies, where, as I understand it, its recitation is believed to evoke the presence of the Prophet even as it had for al-Būṣīrī (see chapter 2). Thus, in choosing to imitate al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, Shawqi was at once engaging a powerful and evocative model and creating for himself a formidable poetic challenge.

To understand Shawqi’s purpose in following al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, then, we will once more invoke Connerton’s concept of “mythic concordance,” that is, the identification with an originary and authoritative model, whereby the imitator acquires, or coopts, for himself and his own work, the model’s authenticity and authority. In the case of Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burdah, we will refine the concept of mythic concordance to argue
that Shawqi has chosen al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, and with it the centuries-old tradition of madīḥ nabawī, especially bādi‘iyyah, associated with it, to appropriate for his “Iḥyā’ Project” for the cultural and political revival of the Islamic Ummah the most compelling poetic and religious authority. As with any poetic contrafaction (mu‘āraḍah), Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burdah must be understood as a “performance” of the base-text, that is, a form of ritual reenactment that combines repetition and mimesis of the authority-conferring base-text on the one hand, but at the same time transforms and redirects it through the new text toward the poet’s own contemporary goals and concerns (poetic, political, religious, etc., see below), on the other.

AUTHORIZING THE TEXT:
THE KHEDIVE, THE SHAYKH, AND THE ADĪB

Shawqi’s quest for authority—political and religious as well as poetic—comes to the fore extrapoetically in the prose dedication of Nahj al-Burdah to his patron, the Khedive ʿAbbās Ḥilmī II, to commemorate his Ḥajj of the year 1909/1327; this appears as the frontispiece, beneath the Khedival coat of arms, of the first edition (1910/1328).

The Exalted King, My Lord the Ḥajji ʿAbbās Ḥilmī II:

God has thought it best for this humble servant, the poet of your noble house, to follow the light of the unrivaled luminary, the blessed al-Būṣīrī, the master of the celebrated qasida known as al-Burdah in praise of the best of all mankind, Muḥammad, pbuh. So I have composed this poem, which I ask Allāh and implore his Messenger to accept, and I have made it, my Lord, to commemorate your blessed Ḥajj of the year 1327, that people might spread the news of it each time it is read. Our lord the distinguished professor, the Shaykh of al-Azhar University, Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī, has graciously undertaken to provide a commentary on it for the people. Thus, blessing has been added to its verses from every source and its favorable reception by the king is the utmost goal of the originality and the beauty of [its composition] [AND/OR] would be the most marvelous and generous [reward] [underlining mine].

The prose dedication is composed in a rhetorically ornate stylized idiom that is designed with the utmost precision to enhance the prestige and authority of the royal gift that the poet proffers. While employing the
conventional formulae of self-abasement, Shawqi first invokes the *madiḥ nabawi* tradition of divine or prophetic inspiration (as we saw in the case of al-Būṣīrī, chapter 2). Further, he specifies that this divine mandate is to compose a *madiḥ nabawi* following the model of the unrivalled master of that genre, al-Būṣīrī, which, in the Arabic poetic context of the time, means that the poem is technically a *muʿāraḍah*, a contrafaction that follows the same rhyme (*mīm*) and meter (*al-basīʿ*), and that its first religious and literary objective is therefore to be pleasing and acceptable to Allāh and the Prophet. In keeping with the Islamic hierarchical structure, it is only then that Shawqi introduces the statement that the poem has been composed in commemoration of his patron’s Ḥajj of 1327/1909 and that it is intended to perpetuate among the people the memory of that blessed event. To further buttress the Islamic credentials of the poem, Shawqi adds that none other than the Shaykh al-Azhar of the period, the Mālikī *muftī* Salīm al-Bishrī (1832–1917) has deigned to compose the accompanying commentary, *Waḍaḥ al-Nahj* (Light of the Way), to explicate the poetic text to the reading public.\(^{16}\)

This ranked hierarchy of religious figures—Allāh, the Prophet, al-Būṣīrī as the master of the greatest of all *mādīʾiḥ nabawiyyah*, the Khedive-as-ḥajjī (i.e., the legitimate Islamic ruler in the performance of his religious duty), and the Shaykh al-Azhar—thus serves to authorize the poetic text in the religious, literary, and political spheres, an authorization or guarantee that Shawqi terms *barakah* (blessing). The rhetorically complex final sentence seems to me to be intentionally ambiguous. In a manner that charmingly encapsulates the panegyric pact (the ritual exchange of poem for prize) while at the same time exhibiting the convention of asking for no reward but the patron’s satisfaction, Shawqi’s closing statement reads both as: “the king’s favorable reception of the poem is the utmost goal of the originality and beauty of its composition” and/or “the king’s favorable reception of the poem should take the form of the most marvelous and generous [reward].”

We can contextualize the prose dedication more broadly. On the one hand, Shawqi’s choice of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah as his model is natural, for in this period the visit to the Prophet’s grave in Medina was still an integral part of the Ḥajj ritual and was traditionally an occasion for the composition of *madiḥ nabawi*. What seems strange, however, is that despite the prose dedication, in which the poet expresses his intention that the patron’s
Hajj will be remembered as often as people recite the poem, there is no mention of the patron or his Hajj in the text of the poem itself. In fact, Shawqi had already performed his panegyric obligation of celebrating and commemorating his patron’s Hajj in his tâ’iyyah, To [Mount] ‘Arafāt, which takes as its themes the Khedive’s Hajj to Mecca and subsequent visit to the tomb of the Prophet in Medina. Shawqi closes that poem, interestingly, by calling upon the Khedive to make a plea to the Prophet, bemoaning the backward and benighted state of the Islamic Ummah: “Your people . . . are in a deep slumber, like the Seven Sleepers snorting in their Den” (cf. QK 18:9 Aṣḥāb al-Kahf), and pleading for its awakening or revival.17

It is noteworthy that the prose dedication, which appears as the frontispiece of the original 1910 publication, is relegated in later editions of Nahj al-Burdah /Waṣdāḥ al-Nahj to a footnote to al-Muwaylihi’s introduction, while in Al-Shawqiyyāt (as Shawqi’s diwan is conventionally titled), there is no mention at all of the occasion or patron.18 That is, over time, the association of the Khedive with the poem has been obscured, omitted, and forgotten. Inasmuch as the normal celebratory or commemorative poem to one’s patron is the qaṣīdat al-madh— the panegyric ode of praise to the patron—the fact is that in this case the earthly patron has been replaced as the mamduh by the Prophet. What I would like to suggest is that there are political implications to not naming one’s patron in a poem ostensibly dedicated to him: as we shall see from the poem itself, the poet does not place any political hopes in his patron nor, as is otherwise standard for a court poet, does he offer any praise of the patron or his rule. This is both very telling and very clever on the poet’s part, for the Khedive could certainly not complain about the Prophet displacing him, and Shawqi’s dedication declares that it was Allāh’s bidding that he compose a madih nabawī following al-Būṣīri. As we noted, the Islamic credentials of the poem are further enhanced by the commentary by the Shaykh al-Azhar of the time, Salīm al-Bishri. And yet in political terms, the Prophet as mamduh and an idealized vision of past Islamic glory have completely displaced the court poet’s contemporary patron and any expression of praise for or approval of his rule. In all, this suggests that Shawqi’s dedication of Nahj al-Burdah to the Khedive in commemoration of his Hajj of 1909/1327 goes beyond performing the obligatory ceremony of allegiance incumbent upon a court poet; it further functions as an expedient to shield the poet from accusations of disloyalty or sedition that the poem’s contents might invite.
In sum, in light of the 1,500-year court panegyric tradition of which Shawqī was the last great exemplar, his silence within the poetic text itself on the subject of the poem’s proclaimed dedicatee and patron is telling. As I have demonstrated at length elsewhere, the principal role of the court panegyrist in the Arab-Islamic tradition is to substantiate and celebrate the legitimacy of his patron’s rule.19 Shawqī’s silence on this subject in Nahj al-Burdah is therefore glaring. In fact, the poem as text does not do what the prose dedication says it is intended to do—i.e., immortalize the memory of the Khedive’s Hajj. However, prominent the placement of the dedication in the original 1328/1910 printing, by limiting mention of his patron to a prose dedication, Shawqī has made it not only distinct from, but also eminently detachable from, the poetic text—and this is precisely what happens in later editions and publications. In brief, over time any and all connection to the Khedive and his performance of the Hajj is lost.

The detachment of the compositional context from the poem in the case of Nahj al-Burdah is altogether in contrast to the inseparability of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah from the myth of the dream vision of the Prophet and the poet’s cure, and from Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s Su‘ād Has Departed and the myth of the donation of the Prophet’s mantle. In my reading, to the extent that the poem is at least for appearance’s sake dedicated to ʿAbbās Ḥilmi II, it functions as an unspoken but eloquent rebuke of the Khedive’s rule—a virtual, or silent, hijā’ (see below).

A final feature of the first printing of Nahj al-Burdah and its numerous reprintings is the introduction by the esteemed political journalist and litterateur (adīb) of the period, Muḥammad al-Muwayliḥī (1858?–1930). Most renowned for his Ḥadīth ʿĪsá ibn Hishām (1907), the collection of his series of maqâmāt-inspired articles, originally published in his journal Miṣbâḥ al-Sharq, exposing the foibles of contemporary Egyptian society, al-Muwayliḥī himself was, as Roger Allen characterizes this work, for the most part Neo-Classical and conservative in his views. A staunch defender of Arab classicism in the face of the onslaught of Western—first French and then English Romantic—literature and literary theory on Arab letters, al-Muwayliḥī had ruthlessly attacked Shawqī in his reviews, also published in Miṣbâḥ al-Sharq. There he decried the young poet’s first edition of Al-Shawqiyyāt (1898) for its espousal of Western (French) literary models such as Victor Hugo, Lamartine, and la Fontaine, whom he had read during his student years in France.20 In
this respect, Muwayliḥī’s exuberant approbation in his Nahj al-Burda introduction, with its defense of the timeless expressive capacities of the classical Arabic idiom in the face of Western-influenced modernists’ claims that it is obsolete and inadequate, constitutes a Neo-Classical (or “Neo-Conservative”) literary manifesto and, for Shawqī, imprimatur.  

THE COLONIAL DOUBLE BIND

A brief survey of the Egyptian political situation at the time of the composition of Nahj al-Burda will, in my reading of the poem, shed light on Shawqī’s silence on his patron’s rule. Although under the British Agency Egypt experienced substantial material and economic improvements (regrettably at the expense of education, public health, etc.), particularly under the consulship of Lord Cromer (Sir Evelyn Baring, r. 1884–1907), it was otherwise in a state of political and cultural doldrums, as virtually all factions—the Ottomanists/pan-Islamists, the nationalists, the secularists—chafed under the British colonial yoke. The Khedive, although apparently nursing dreams of independently ruling Egypt, was in practical terms expecting to be deposed by the British Agency at any time (as in fact happened in 1914). Further, however trapped the Khedive was between the nominal suzerainty of the moribund Ottoman Sultan/Caliph (the notoriously despotic ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd II was deposed by the Young Turks in 1909 and replaced with Muḥammad V) and the actual control of the British Agency, nevertheless, the former guaranteed that Egypt could not be annexed, as India had been, into the British Empire. The French, to counter the influence of their British rivals, had supported the Khedive and the Nationalists, but the Anglo-French Entente of 1904 dashed any hopes of the French serving to end the British occupation. The Khedive, for his part, voiced support for Muṣṭafā Kāmil’s Egyptian Nationalist Movement, inasmuch as it served as a threat to the Ottomans and British, but stopped short of espousing its idea of a constitutional monarchy, which did not accord with the Khedive’s absolutist appetites.  

On the broader Egyptian scene, outside of the khedival court, the 1910 date of Nahj al-Burda and its commentary Wāḍāḥ al-Nahj by Shaykh Salīm al-Bishrī comes just four years after the crystallization of the Egyptian-British colonial experience in the notorious Dinshawāy Incident of May 1906 and its aftermath, and three years after Cromer’s
1907 resignation, marked by his offensive and insulting Farewell Address. Of the former, Hourani writes:

the famous incident of Danishway (Dinshaway) brought to the surface the feeling of national humiliation. In 1906 a fight broke out between villagers of Dinshaway, near Tanta in the delta, and a group of British officers who were shooting pigeons in the neighborhood. Several officers were injured, and one died of shock and sunstroke; a peasant was beaten to death by the British soldiers who found the dead officer. Cromer was absent on leave, and those who were temporarily in charge lost their heads: a special court was set up, a number of peasants were condemned to be hanged, others to be flogged, and the sentences were carried out with barbarous publicity.

The Egyptian reaction to the brutal and disproportionate British response (in a summary trial four villagers were condemned to be hanged, one to fifteen years in prison, others to shorter prison terms or flogging; the hangings and floggings were publicly carried out) is recorded in the oft-quoted remarks of Egyptian nationalist and reformer Qāsim Amīn:

Every man I met had a broken heart and a lump in his throat. There was nervousness in every gesture—in their hands and their voices. Sadness was on every face, but it was a peculiar sort of sadness. It was confused, distracted and visibly subdued by superior force. . . . The spirits of the hanged men seemed to hover over every place in the city.

Lord Cromer’s Farewell Address was humiliating and patronizing: his statement that British control would need to continue indefinitely dashed hopes for Egyptian independence; his castigating the Egyptians for not showing gratitude to their British occupiers offended Egyptian sensibilities, as did his failure to mention the Khedive, the legitimate Islamic ruler of the land.

If relations between the Khedive and Cromer (and later Kitchener, 1911–14) were unremittingly hostile, the rapprochement between him and the new consul general, Sir Eldon Gorst (r. 1907–11), who was under orders from the British Liberal government to give the Egyptian government more control over matters of policy and administration, did not entirely ease his situation. Rather, it opened the Khedive to more insistent attacks from the Nationalists for his conciliatory policy toward the British.

In the year 1910 itself, the political scene was dominated by the assassination on 10 February of Buṭrus Ghālī, the Coptic prime minister and a
strong ally of the British, who had been the presiding judge in the Dinshawāy trial and who was now prepared to approve a British plan to extend the Suez Canal concession beyond 1968 for an additional forty years. The literary scene was dominated by the publication in July of the poetry collection, Waţâniyyatî (My Patriotism), by the ardent nationalist and follower of Muştafâ Kâmil, ‘Alî al-Ghâyâtî (d. 1956). The poems included vehement attacks on the British and Egyptian authorities, and the collection boasted three introductions: by Muḥammad Farîd, Muştafâ Kâmil’s successor as leader of the National Party; ‘Abd al-‘Azîz Shâwîsh, Kâmil’s successor as editor of the party’s paper, Al-Liwâ‘; and the poet himself. In August 1910 the three were brought to trial, convicted, and sentenced to imprisonment (for terms of six, three, and twelve months, respectively) for incitement against the government. Thus, al-Ghâyâtî’s Waţâniyyatî provided Gorst with the occasion he had been looking for to crush the extreme wing of the Nationalist Party and its leaders.27

Beyond the political events and economic statistics, what is above all important is the moral condition of Egypt during the period of Shawqî’s composition of Nahj al-Burdah. In this regard, I follow Husayn N. Kadhîm in his astute choice of Albert Hourani’s summation of the common characteristics of British and French control of Arab peoples in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries:

First of all, it was imposed by acts of force, in opposition to such articulate political feeling as existed. In Egypt British control was imposed by the defeat of an inept but genuine national movement against older alien domination [the ‘Urâbî Revolt, 1882]. . . . Secondly, this foreign control was not established primarily for the sake of the inhabitants of the Arab countries themselves. . . . What was important for them was the land and its resources. Those who happened to occupy the land were at best instruments for, at worst obstacles in the way of, purposes which were no concern of theirs. . . . Thirdly, foreign control was not only imposed by acts of force; it was always maintained by force. . . . It is this imposition of an alien rule upon an unwilling people which is called “imperialism.” . . . The essence of imperialism is to be found in a moral relationship—that of power and powerlessness—and any material consequences which spring from it are not enough to change it.28

In light of this background, the categorization of Nahj al-Burdah under the rubric of Shawqî’s Islâmiyyât, as is sometimes done, must not blind us to its eminently political circumstances and polemical intent.
As we shall see, Shawqi’s poem and the vitriolic political-polemical passages of al-Bishri’s commentary constitute an eloquent and cogent Arab-Islamic response to the moral and political double bind of a nation trapped between the moral and material decay of the Ottoman Muslim East on the one hand and the hypocrisy and oppression of the Christian West on the other. It is this situation of political and cultural paralysis, despondency, and humiliation that Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burdah addresses and that his İhya Project of revival seeks to remedy.

We should also keep in mind that Arab literary modernism (tajdid) of early twentieth-century Egypt, often termed Pre-Romantic, was espoused at this time by the Lebanese-born Khalil Mu’tran (1872–1949). In his Neo-Classical poems Shawqi is moving against this growing trend, which would soon find more vocal support in the English and French Romantic-based movement composed of the triumvirate of İbrahim al-Mażinî (1890–1949), ’Abd al-Raḥmān Shukrî (1886–1958), and Maḥmūd ʿAbbās al-ʿAqqād (1889–1964), later named the Dīwān School after the 1921 publication of their literary manifesto of that title. Although in 1910 this movement was still in a formative stage, in the coming years the Dīwān School, especially al-ʿAqqād, would launch a sustained and virulent attack on Shawqi’s Neo-Classicism as artificial, reactionary, and alienated from contemporary life and experience. In this respect, Nahj al-Burdah, like Shawqi’s other mu’aradât of Classical Arabic masterpieces, should be understood as a challenge, not merely to the poet’s Classical and Post-Classical forebears, but to his contemporary Modernist rivals as well.

Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burdah: The Thematic Structure

The thematic structure of Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burdah can best be understood through a comparison with that of the poem he has explicitly taken as his model or base-text, al-Buṣirî’s Burdah. As we saw in chapter 2, al-Buṣirî’s Burdah is traditionally, though not originally or always, divided into ten thematic sections, which for the purposes of a thematic and structural overview provide a useful starting point and frame of reference. Badr al-Dīn Muḥammad al-Ghazzî (d. 984/1577) in his commentary, Al-Zubdah fi Sharḥ al-Burdah, labels the sections as follows (emphasis mine):
Al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah: Thematic Parts

1: Prophetic Nasīb (vv. 1–11) = lyric-elegiac prelude
2: Warning against the Desires of the Willful Self (vv. 11–28)
3: Praise of the Noble Messenger (vv. 29–58)
4: His Birth (vv. 59–71)
5: His Miracles (vv. 72–87)
6: The Noble Qur’ān (vv. 88–104)
7: The Night Journey and Ascension (vv. 105–117)
8: The Messenger’s Jihād and Military Campaigns (vv. 118–139)
9: Supplication and Plea for Intercession (vv. 140–151)
10: Fervent Prayer and Petition (vv. 152–160)

In chapter 2, on al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, I proposed that parts 1, 2, 3, 9, and 10 constitute that traditional structural framework of a courtly ode of supplication to which the Sirah-related passages of parts 4–8 have been added as an extension of the madīḥ (praise) section. As Shawqī is avowedly following the example of the “Master of the Burdah,” (in his prose dedication, above, and NB v. 101), we can assume that he is intimately aware of the structure of his model—even though he would not have expressed that awareness in the same terms as our contemporary studies—and undoubtedly he was familiar with the traditional division of the Burdah into ten thematic parts. With this in mind and, first, with the intention of revealing the structural and thematic relationship of Shawqī’s poem to al-Būṣīrī’s and, second, for the practical expedient of providing a structural and thematic overview of Shawqī’s 190-line poem, I have divided Nahj al-Burdah into the following twelve parts and, as will be discussed below, two movements.

Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah: Thematic Parts

Movement I: In the Path of al-Būṣīrī
1: Nasīb: Complaint of Unrequited Love (vv. 1–24)
2: Chiding the Unruly Soul—Warning against Worldly Temptations (vv. 25–38)
3: Repentance, Submission, and Supplication (vv. 39–46)
4: Prophetic Praise (vv. 46/7–74)
5: Sirah Themes (vv. 75–99)
   The Birth of the Prophet (vv. 75–82)
   The Night Journey and Ascension (vv. 83–93)
   The Miracle of the Cave (v. 94–99)
6: Metapoetic Recapitulation of Prophetic Praise (vv. 100–117)
Movement II: Deviation and Transformation

7: Polemic against Christians/Christianity (vv. 118–128)
8: Defense/Praise of Jihād and the Prophet’s Military Campaigns (vv. 129–141)
9: The Sharī’ah (vv. 142–154)
10: The Glory of Baghdad (vv. 155–164)
11: The Orthodox Caliphs (vv. 165–176)
12: Benediction and Supplication (v. 177–190)

As the italics in the above list indicate, parts 1, 2, 3, 9, and 10 of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, which constitute what I have termed the supplicatory framework of the poem, find their counterparts in parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 12 of Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah. The sequence of supplicatory ode elements in Shawqī’s poem is approximately: 1) Lyric-Elegiac Prelude (nasīb, consisting of the complaint of unrequited passion and resolving with chiding the self/soul and warning it against earthly temptations; 2–3) the poet’s Self-Abasement and Supplication (repentance and submission) to the mamdūḥ/Prophet; 4) Praise of the mamdūḥ/Prophet; and, as we saw in al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, 5) Benediction with supplication, that is, asking for God’s blessing upon the mamdūḥ/Prophet, etc. It should be noted here that, as we saw in chapter 2 for medieval madīḥ nabawī of the type of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, the object of supplication is invariably (though not exclusively) the intercession (shafā’ah) of the Prophet on Judgment Day and, therefore, the gist of the supplicatory rite that the poem entails is the exchange of the poet’s praise today for the Prophet’s future intercession (see part 3, below).

As we shall see, Shawqī both complies with and transcends the dictates of the traditional form. Furthermore, Shawqī incorporates counterparts to what I have termed the Sīrah-related sections of al-Būṣīrī, i.e., Burdah parts 4–7, although in a truncated form, in what I have labeled as Nahj al-Burdah part 5. However, Būṣīrī’s part 8, on the Prophet’s jihād or military campaigns, takes on a new structural position in Shawqī’s poem, where it forms part of the new extended political-polemical section that Shawqī has introduced into the traditional madīḥ nabawī—parts 7–11.

In sum, then, a comparison of the thematic structure of the two poems reveals that Shawqī has incorporated the main structural and thematic components of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah in parts 1–6 and 12 of his Nahj al-Burdah. He has then introduced a new extended section, parts 7–11, including a
counterpart of al-Būṣīrī’s part 8, in which he presents his own new political polemic against the Christian West and proposes, to counter Western military, political, cultural, and moral hegemony, a competing model for an Arab-Islamic hegemony grounded in a humanistic (re)vision of early Islam, the Shari‘ah, and the ‘Abbāsid Golden Age. Finally, in what is undoubtedly the most moving poetic and political achievement of Nahj al-Burdah, Shawqī closes his poem with a resounding plea, not for mercy on Judgment Day, but for the restoration of Islamic worldly dominion. This allows us to divide Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah, for the sake of discussion and analysis, into two movements: movement I, in which the poet for the most part follows al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, and movement II, in which he departs from his model to redirect the poem toward his Iḥyā’ Project of revival. A fuller comparison with specific points in al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah follows in the discussion of the individual parts of Nahj al-Burdah.

Nahj al-Burdah Movement I: In the Path of al-Būṣīrī—Parts 1–6

The Way of the Mantle by Ahmad Shawqī

PART 1: NASĪB: COMPLAINT OF UNREQUITED LOVE (Vv. 1–24)

1. On the plain between the ban-tree and the peak, a pale fawn
   Has found it licit, in forbidden months, to shed my blood.

2. With the glance of fawn’s eyes fate has shot a lion:
   O gazelle of the plain, slay the lion of the thicket!

3. When the gazelle turned its gaze upon me, my soul said to me,
   “Alas! Your heart has been struck by well-aimed arrow!”

4. I denied what my soul said and hid the arrow in my heart.* [*lit. “liver”]
   For me, the wounds of lovers caused no pain.

5. You are endowed with the noblest of virtues
   If you seek excuses for the sins of others.

6. O you who blame me for this love, though love is fate,
   If passion so emaciated you, you would not be so quick to censure me.

7. I have lent you my ear, but it pays no heed;
   For often, though the ear can hear, the heart is deaf.

8. O you with drowsy eye, may you never taste passion!
   You’ve kept your lover awake, pining over passion, so sleep!
9. A thousand times would I be your ransom, and your
night-phantom’s, too,
Though the love that made you miserly to me has made it generous.

10. Your phantom came to me by night, found a bleeding wound,
and healed it.
How many gifts do dreams to lovers bring!

11. Among the maidens that sway like ban-trees on a hill and, like
spear-shafts, quiver,
Are those who make sport of my soul and spill my blood,

12. Those who, in the late morning, reveal faces like full moons,
Who, with their jewels and necklaces, stir to jealousy the
forenoon sun,

13. Those who, with eyelids sick with languor, slay their lover—
For love-sickness is well-known to lead to death,

14. Those who trip over the hearts of men, and there is nothing
That can steady the coquettish tripping of their gait,

15. Those who inflame men’s cheeks until they glow, revealing
The infatuation that inflames their hearts,* [*lit. “livers”]*

16. Those who bear the banner of beauty, which,
However varied in its forms, is one and indivisible,

17. Every maiden, pale or dusky, adorned to the eye’s delight,
For beauty is found in both the white gazelle and tawny
mountain-goat,

18. How strange that they are frightened by a mere raised glance,
They who merely by pointing their ‘anam-twig fingers can capture
the lion.

19. I abased my cheek to the gazelles and divided my heart among
them in the hills;
In their coverts they grazed upon my heart, and on the rises.

20. O daughter of the full-maned lion of the well-guarded lair,
Shall I meet you in the forest or the palace?

21. I did not know, till I saw where he lived,
That death and desire pitch their tents in the same camp.

22. How did a swaying bough spring from a keen blade?
How did a white gazelle issue from a ravening lion?

23. Between you—my beloved—and me, brown spears block the way,
And likewise an ‘Udhrī veil of chastity.
The challenge of the poet who chooses to imitate a great masterpiece is to evoke the power and majesty of the original while at the same time establishing his own distinct poetic identity. If we understand poetic imitation as a type of performance of the base-text, we must accept as well that every successful imitation, like every successful performance, transforms and transcends the base-text. The critical issue for discussing the opening section of Nahj al-Burdah is how Ahmad Shawqi identifies Nahj al-Burdah with al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah while establishing at the same time its, and his, own unique identity. We will take as our starting point Gian Biagio Conte’s remarks on classical Greek and Latin poetry:

[The “incipit” of poems . . . had all the importance of a title or heading; its function was that of the author’s “signature.”. . . [The] opening of a work boasts a supreme position in composition because it is particularly memorable and quotable and is consequently an indispensable guide to interpretation for both reader and philologist. But for the author, poetic memory implicit in the opening verses is redeemed by the way it invests the very substance of the work with a literary identity. It is the quintessential literary act. The opening situates the poetic act and by situating it justifies it. The opening is, first and foremost, a bold signal asserting, “This is Poetry,” because for our cultural tradition this is the way poetry begins. Once the word has issued from the living voice of the poet’s personal invention and has entered the code of poetic tradition, it has the responsibility of imposing the emblematic quality of poetry upon its new host discourse. . . . The first line . . . acquires emblematic value and can stand for the work itself. . . . [It] signals . . . the relation between a specific composition and its literary genre.]

The incipit, in the classical Arabic tradition the rhymed hemistichs of the opening verse, announces multiple aspects of the poem’s identity. First, the rhymed hemistichs in the meter basīṭ identify the speech in terms of sonority as the opening line of a classical Arabic poem. The motif of a fawn shedding the poet-lover-speaker’s blood identifies verse 1 as the opening of a nasīb dominated by the erotic or amatory (tashbih) theme (as opposed to the elegiac icon of the abandoned campsite [atlāl]
theme), modeled on an ʿAbbāsid conceit, and suggests that the poem is, potentially at least, a full-fledged qaṣīdah of traditional form. The antithesis (tibāq) between “licit” and “forbidden” further suggests the influence of the High ʿAbbāsid bādī` style. As quickly becomes apparent, the heavily rhetoricized and conceptualized expression of the nasīb theme of the unattainability of the beloved throughout part 1 establishes Shawqī’s Neo-Classical identification with the High ʿAbbāsid master poets. This broad sense of poetic ancestry and possibilities quickly yields, however, to a much more precise poetic genealogy: the combination of the meter basīt and the rhyme in the letter mīm already alludes to the most popular poem of the period, al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, but the phrase al-bānī wa-al-ʿalami, “the ban-tree and the mountain peak,” explicitly identifies the new poem with its base-text, al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah verse 5, and its base-text, Ibn al-Ḥārijī’s Ṣuṭṭī ghazal, Was That Laylā’s Fire, verse 1 (see chapter 2). Ibn al-Ḥārijī’s ghazal is further invoked by the second half of Shawqī’s opening verse: “Has found it licit, in forbidden months, to shed my blood” (ʾaḥalla safka damī fi al-ʿashhuri al-ḥurumi), which closely echoes that of the Ṣuṭṭī poet’s verse 17: “To shed my blood in both profane and sacred months” (ʾaftā bi-safki damī fī al-ḥilli wa-al-ḥarami). At the same time, of course, Shawqī is identifying his poem as one of the entire subgenre of madiḥ nabawī that takes the form of muʿāraḍāt of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, whether explicitly bādī`iyyāt that programmatically exemplify a bādī` device in each verse, or not. Nevertheless, Shawqī’s opening verse is strikingly different from al-Būṣīrī’s, thereby staking out the new poet’s original turf at the same time that he identifies his base-text.

In developing the ʿAbbāsid “hunt of love” conceit, in which the apparently weak and passive gazelle/beloved “slays” the mighty lion-poet, Shawqī stakes out his own individual poetic territory (vv. 1–5), distinct from both al-Būṣīrī’s likewise ʿAbbāsid conceit of weeping tears of blood and Ibn al-Ḥārijī’s Umayyad ʿUdhrī-derived “lightning-flash” that evokes the memory of the beloved. Nevertheless, at verse 6, with the poet’s reproof of the blamer who censures him for his excessive passion, he forcefully reasserts his poetic lineage through this close variant of al-Būṣīrī’s verse 9, itself a close variant of Ibn al-Ḥārijī’s verse 10 (see chapter 2). Shawqī’s verse 7, on lovers’ deafness to sound advice, is likewise a close variant of al-Būṣīrī’s verse 11. Shawqī then expands in verses 9–10 upon al-Būṣīrī’s verse 8 one-line reference to the motif of the phan-
tom of the beloved (tayf al-khayāl) before diluting further and with ex-
quisite lyricism upon the lethal beauty of the gazelles/maidens (vv. 11–19).
He then adds to this extended “hunt of love” another ʿAbbāsid conceit,
that of the gazelle/maiden as the daughter of a fierce lion/father (vv.
20–22). Part 1 concludes on the theme of the dangers of love, and the how
fulfillment of the lover’s desires has been doubly blocked—by the be-
loved’s kinsmen’s spears and by her veil of chastity (v. 23). This leads to
his sealing part 1 with the motif of the unattainable beloved (v. 24). Thus,
in closing, Shawqi follows and develops al-Būṣirī’s verse 9 motif of chaste
love, whereas al-Būṣirī, for his part, concludes with reproach for both
his censurer and himself (BB vv. 10–12).

The closure of Shawqi’s nasīb exhibits a very curious transitional
feature. Until verse 24, the nasīb has been purely lyric and erotic, with
no religious—or Islamic—elements. It could just as well have served as
the nasīb of a court panegyric. By closing it, however, with the Qurʾānic
“the [many-]columned Iram” (Irāma dhati al-ʿimādi [QK 89:7]), Shawqi
creates a transition from the personal erotic loss and separation of lovers
to the moral and mythic destruction of the pre-Islamic people of ʿĀd
and their capital city of Iram, the object of divine retribution for their
moral excesses. The Qurʾān warns: “Have you not seen how your Lord
dealt with [the people of] ʿĀd of the many-columned Irām? . . . who
committed transgressions in the lands and sowed much corruption in
them, so that your Lord rained down on them the scourge of chastise-
ment” (QK 89: 6–7, 11–13). This one word “Iram” thus serves as a pivot-
point from the lyric-erotic nasīb to the moral admonitions of part 2.

In sum, Shawqi’s part 1 is the more developed and the more lyrical of
the two poems, and the emotional trajectory is somewhat different: al-
Būṣirī moves into the ʿādhilah (termagant, female reproacher) motif (vv.
9–12) to close his twelve-verse nasīb, whereas Shawqi develops the gazelle
theme in delightful lyrical detail to end with an expression of the dangers
and difficulties, both moral and practical, that make his desire/beloved
unattainable. Although we may retroactively (after reading part 2) read this
lyrical nasīb on the unattainability of the beloved and the poet’s resultant
suffering as an allegory of the vanity and unattainability of earthly desires,
nothing forces us to do so at this point. To my mind, Shawqi’s nasīb pos-
sesses an emotional immediacy and delicacy that mere allegory lacks. I
prefer to look at it in a subtler light as the introit into the world of poetic
emotions and sensibilities that, by evoking a deeply felt mood of loss and despair that begs for a remedy, sets the stage for the dramatic emotional and moral trajectory of the poem. Having clearly set his own poetic parameters and network of generic, historical, and stylistic identities, Shawqi has established his own authentic and authoritative poetic voice as well as the setting-off point for his poem’s journey. Nahj al-Burda “imitates” al-Būṣirī’s Burdah, but it does not reiterate it.

PART 2: CHIDING THE UNRULY SOUL—WARNING AGAINST WORLDLY TEMPTATIONS (vv. 25–38)

25. O my willful soul, your worldly abode conceals every tearful thing, However beautiful the smile that she reveals.

26. So break her teeth with your piety each time she laughs, As you would break the speckled viper’s fangs to spill its venom.

27. She has been betrothed as long as mankind has existed, and betrothing; From time’s beginning, she has never been widowed or unwed.

28. Time fades away, but her evil deeds remain A wound to Adam’s flesh that forever makes him weep.

29. Don’t be concerned with her fruits or with her crimes, For death with flowers is just like death with coals.

30. Many a man is asleep and does not see her, but she is ever wakeful. Were it not for hopes and dreams, he would not sleep.

31. At one time, she bestows on you prosperity and health; At another, she afflicts you with disease and misery.

32. How often has she led you astray! For, when she veils a man’s sight, If he finds bitter colocynth, he drinks; if he finds acrid ʿalqam-plants, he grazes.

33. O woe is me for my soul! She is struck with fear and terror By the pages black with evil deeds on her white forelocks.

34. I raced her at a gallop to the lush pastures of disobedience and sin; I took no pious deeds to protect her from foundering.

35. She yearned after the traces of delight, seeking them; For the soul, when youthful passion calls, yearns after it.

36. For your own good, you must return to virtue; Straighten your soul with morals and it will follow the straight path.
37. It is best for the soul to graze on wholesome pasture-grounds;
   The worst thing for the soul is to graze on noxious grass.

38. The soul, when emboldened by delight and passion,
   Is as unruly as a fiery steed, champing at its bit.

With part 2 the tone of Nahj al-Burdah changes quite abruptly from the erotic lyrical-elegiac mood of the nasib to that of a moral exhortation or admonition. This may force us, retrospectively, to read part 1 allegorically, or at least to recognize that it has an allegorical level. Part 2 consists of a warning against worldly and fleshly temptations, much along the lines of al-Būṣīrī’s part 2 but, again, longer. Al-Būṣīrī’s sixteen-line part 2 contains the moral and emotional transition from admonition to repentance and submission. Shawqī, however, has expanded this process to what I have counted as two distinct passages: part 2 (vv. 25–38) and part 3 (vv. 39–45). These two Nahj al-Burdah passages differ strikingly in tone and style. Part 2 consists of traditional hortatory sermonizing (waʿz) couched in metaphors or allegories of physical appetites (e.g., vv. 37, 38) and the temptations they present to what I have translated as the “willful soul” (nafs), quite in keeping with al-Būṣīrī’s model. In both poems, the reference is to the Qur’ānic Sūrat Yūsuf, ‘inna al-nafsa lā-ummāratun bi-al-sūi (surely the willful soul commands one to do evil [QK 12:53]), although al-Būṣīrī keeps the willful self in the third person and addresses the reader, whereas Shawqī apostrophizes the soul/self. The result is that where al-Būṣīrī commands the reader, “Defy your wicked self and Satan, disobey them!” (al-Būṣīrī, v. 24), Shawqī, with, I believe, greater dramatic effect, urges the his self/soul against the world, “Break her teeth with your piety each time she laughs,” (v. 26). This admonition continues until verse 33 with its plaintive “O woe is me for my soul! . . . .” Here Shawqī departs from al-Būṣīrī’s metaphor of taming the soul in terms of weaning a child (BB v. 18) to develop as a metaphor for curbing the soul’s appetites the breaking in of a horse, perhaps deriving from al-Būṣīrī’s verse 20 with its image of grazing a steed. The effect of the depiction of youthful passion in terms of an unruly steed champing at the bit (NB vv. 35, 38), whatever its association with Platonic imagery, is, in the Arabic tradition, to evoke the oft-cited metaphor for the loss of youth and its impetuousness of the Jāhilī master Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmá:
“And the steeds of youth and its camels have been stripped of their saddles” (wa-‘urriya ‘afraṣu al-ṣibā wa-rāwāhiluh). In both Shawqī and his predecessor al-Būṣīrī we thus find clearly articulated the classical qaṣīdah movement from the emotional and moral abandon of youthful passion to the realization of its futility and the concomitant shouldering of the responsibilities of maturity: self-control and the submission to a higher (moral) authority.

**PART 3: REPENTANCE, SUBMISSION, AND SUPPLICATION**

(vv. 39–46)

39. If my sin is too grave to be forgiven,
   Yet I have hope that God will grant me the best of refuges.

40. When God the Savior appears in His terrible might, I will place my hope
   In Muḥammad, the dispeller of cares and sorrows in this world and the next.

41. When I lower the wing of submission before him, asking for the precious gift
   Of intercession, to him my request will be a paltry thing.

42. And if the pious man comes before him with good deeds,
   I will present before him tears of remorse.

43. I hereby cling to the door of Muḥammad, the Prince of Prophets,
   For he who holds tight to the key of God’s door will prosper.

44. For every act of virtue, charity, or favor, whether performed freely
   Or compelled, comes through him.

45. I hereby grab tight to the rope of praise for him, which will avail me on a day
   When bonds of lineage and kinship are of no avail.

46. My poetry, when I praise the Prophet, disdains Zuhayr’s,
   For the dew of Harim’s gifts cannot compare with the bounty that will pour down on me.

At verse 39, part 3 is set off from part 2 by the change to the first person and by the abrupt transition from the hortatory tone of part 2 to a language that is highly emotively charged, simplified, transparent, and
direct—stylistic qualities which, as I have demonstrated elsewhere, are characteristic of what we have termed the poem’s “ritual core” (see chapters 1 and 2). Part 3 sets forth explicitly the ritual of supplication that constitutes the performative heart of the madiḥ nabawī, and in this respect part 3 of Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burda bears a close resemblance, poetically and ritually, to al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah Part 9: Supplication and Plea for Intercession (BB vv. 140–151). It is essentially a ritual of exchange whereby a relationship of mutual obligation, the panegyric pact, is established: the poet offers submission to and praise of the Prophet in return for the Prophet’s intercession on the Day of Judgment. With regard to the performative aspects of language, it is essential to realize that “repentance,” “submission,” and “supplication” are not the mere subjects or themes of part 3; they are, above all, speech acts whose (poetic) utterance changes the moral status of the poet (see chapters 1 and 2). The poet in uttering these lines is ipso facto performing those very acts of repentance, submission, and supplication that they entail. Furthermore we must keep in mind that this ritual is not unique to Shawqi, or even to madiḥ nabawī as a genre of devotional poetry, but is fully and extensively grounded in the structure of what we have termed the Supplicatory Ode, which was already fully developed in the Jāhiliyyah and, as early as Kaʿb ibn Zuḥayr’s Suʿād Has Departed, totally assimilated into the Islamic poetic tradition, for both courtly and religious purposes (see chapter 1).

It behooves us to examine these verses in detail. Verses 39–40 explicitly express the twinned emotions of hope and fear that are essential to the act of submission to the patron: through them the poet-suppliant throws himself on the patron’s mercy. If there is no fear, there is no virtue in the poet’s expression of hope. Self-abasement is also essential to the supplicatory ritual, and we find the poet performing his act of self-abasement most explicitly in verse 41, “When I lower the wing of submission . . .” where it is intimately tied to the object of supplication—“the most precious gift of intercession.” The metaphoric expression “lower the wing of submission,” or “humility” is of Qur’ānic provenance (QK 17:24), thus adding a spiritual dimension to the image of physical self-abasement. The shedding of “tears of remorse” of verse 42 is likewise a bodily enactment of remorse, not merely a verbal expression. But the “tears of remorse,” like the “pious man’s good deeds,” are also the offering that the poet makes to the Prophet in a gift-exchange ritual to obtain his intercession. Al-Bishrī explains it thus:
“When the pious benefit from the good deeds that they have done beforehand and the virtuous acts that they have performed in advance, I will implore the Messenger of God (pbuh) with my weeping and remorse for my misdeeds, so that he will accept me and intercede for me” (WN v. 42). On a metapoetic level, of course, the “tears of remorse” that the poet presents to the Prophet are these very verses of poetry.

Graphic physical images and acts (i.e., bodily enactments or performances) of submission, self-abasement, and supplication continue in the following verses (vv. 43–45), which form an effective expansion and development of al-Būṣīri’s verse 37. Let us note first that the verbs lazimtu (43) and ʿaliqtu (45) are best understood in what Arab grammarians tell us is the performative use of the Perfect Tense, hence: “I hereby cling . . .,” “I hereby grab tight . . .” graphically conveying in their physicality the strength of the moral and spiritual commitment that the poet is thereby establishing: the first, in the image of the supplicant clinging to the door of his patron; the second, a compelling encapsulation of the panegyric pact (cf. BB vv. 148–149). Paul Connerton’s discussion of the performativity of physical postures will help us to grasp the rhetorical effectiveness of the “verbal acts” that the poet “performs.” He says, taking kneeling as his example:

To kneel in subordination is not to state subordination, nor is it just to communicate a message of submission. To kneel in subordination is to display it through the visible, present substance of one’s body. Kneelers identify the disposition of their body with their disposition of subordination. Such performative doings are particularly effective, because unequivocal and substantial. 37

The supplicatory intent of verse 43 is stated explicitly by al-Bishrī: “‘Clinging to his door’ is a metonymy (kināyah) for seeking refuge in his generosity and not ceasing to implore him to grant his requests” (WN v. 43). Verse 45 is striking for its performative immediacy as well as its metaphorical power: the praise of the Prophet, which the poet is at this instant uttering, is the figurative “rope” to which the poet clings. The verse, simple as it is in terms of diction, is rhetorically complex. The “rope” (ḥabl) to which the poet clings is first of all a metaphor for the “bond” of mutual obligation instituted by the praise-for-prize exchange of the panegyric pact (i.e., the poet’s praise obligates the Prophet to grant intercession to him on Judgment Day, as discussed in chapter 2 in BB,
part 9, vv. 140–151). This rope is also intended to contrast with the failed “bonds” of lineage and kinship, which, on Judgment Day, will be of no avail. At the same time, to hold tight to a rope (ُحَبْل) that guarantees salvation has the effect of identifying the act of mādiḥ nabawī with the Qur’ānic “firm handle” (الْوُثْقَى الْأَلْمَعَ), much as al-Būṣīrī has alluded to the use of this expression as an epithet for Muḥammad (see BB v. 37). Again, the performative aspects of these poetic utterances indicate that to compose mādiḥ nabawī is not merely to compose a devotional poem; it is, moreover, in and of itself an “act of faith.”

Finally, verse 46 follows the established convention of mādiḥ nabawī, particularly that which imitates al-Būṣīrī in its rhyme and meter, of contrasting purely material and worldly panegyric pact, invariably exemplified by the pre-Islamic panegyrist Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmá and his lavishly munificent patron Harim ibn Sinān (apparently aided by the suitability of “Harim” to the rhyme and meter), to the spiritual and otherworldly panegyric pact of mādiḥ nabawī (see BB v. 151). In other words, the poet’s praise poem to the Prophet is part of a ritual exchange for which the poet will receive as counter-gift a downpour of (spiritual) bounty (intercession on Judgment Day, salvation) that would put Harim ibn Sinān to shame.

Thus with great beauty, simplicity, and precision Shawqī has performed the supplicatory ritual and established the panegyric pact between poet and Prophet. In this respect verses 43–46 serve as the ritual and poetic preamble to the ensuing panegyric sections of Nahj al-Burdaḥ, wherein the poet fulfills his end of the bargain by offering his gift of praise: parts 4, Prophetic Praise; 5, Sirah Themes, which we can understand as an extension of the Prophetic Praise theme; and 6, Metapoetic Recapitulation of Prophetic Praise. These parts of Nahj al-Burdaḥ constitute Shawqī’s reprise of parts 3–7 of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, namely: 3, Prophetic Praise; and the Sirah-derived sections; 4, The Prophet’s Birth; 5, His Miracles; 6, the Qurʾān; and 7, The Night Journey and Ascension—excluding Būṣīrī’s Part 8, The Messenger’s Jihād and Military Campaigns (see below, Nahj al-Burdaḥ, part 8).

**PART 4: PROPHETIC PRAISE (VV. 47–74)**

47. Muḥammad, the chosen of the Creator, His mercy to mankind,
The goal of God’s desire from among creation and mankind,
48. The Master of the Basin on the day when even God’s Messengers are supplicants,
When the time has come for drink and even the trusty Jibrīl is thirsty.
49. The Prophet’s majesty and glory are like the sun when it rises,
For the celestial orb runs high in its heavenly sphere, and yet its light illuminates the world below.
50. And even the stars in the heavens fall far short
Of his forefathers’ lofty dominion and haughty mien.
51. When his forebears’ lineage was traced to him, their nobility among men increased,
For sometimes the root is traced in glory to a branch!
52. Before their time he was preserved in two lights of “primordial adoration”38
The way a man is preserved in his ancestors’ loins and wombs.
53. When the monk Baḥīrā beheld him, he said, “We recognize him From the names and signs we have preserved.”
54. Ask Mt. Ḥirā’ and [Jibril] the Holy Spirit, whether they knew The guarded secret that was concealed from all but Muḥammad.
55. How often was Mecca’s pebbled flood-plain honored
By his coming and going at morning and night!
56. How often did Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd Allāh desire seclusion with Mt. Ḥirā’ and Jibril
More than the company of friends and servants?
57. Waiting through the night for inspiration to descend,
For he who is given glad tidings is marked for great things.
58. When the Companions, out of thirst, called out for water,
A vessel-filling stream gushed forth from your two hands.
59. There shaded him, then came to seek the shade of his protection,
A cloud that was drawn along by the best of continuous rains.
60. A love for God’s Messenger suffused the hearts of both
The monastery’s cloistered monks and the hermit monks on mountain-tops.
61. For if one’s character is gentle it can sway
Both obdurate rock and all creatures that have breath.
62. A voice called out to Muḥammad, “Recite!” Great is God the Speaker of these words,
Which, before they were revealed to him, had never crossed any man’s lips.
63. Thereupon he called mankind to the Most Merciful
   And filled the Meccans’ ears with the pure tones of his voice.

64. Don’t ask about Quraysh! How in their confusion
   They fled to plain and mountain,

65. Asking each other, “What calamity has befallen us?!"
   It left both young and old distraught.

66. O you impetuous fools [jāhilīna] who attack the Guide and his Call,
   How can you ignore [tajhalūna] the rank of this great and truthful man?

67. In his youth you used to call him “Honest,”
   And the word of an honest man is not to be doubted.

68. In beauty he exceeds the moon; in virtue, the prophets;
   How great then are his form and character!

69. The other prophets brought miracles that are done and gone,
   But you brought us a wisdom that is never ending—the Qur’ān.

70. Its miraculous verses, however much time passes, remain forever new,
   Yet an ancient and primordial splendor adorns them still.

71. A single sublime utterance from it may suffice
   To guide a man toward truth and piety and mercy.

72. O most eloquent of all who utter ǧād,* [* = the Arabs]
   Your speech is honey to him who tastes and understands.

73. With its jewels you adorned the naked neck of eloquence
   Until every phrase of prose bore poetic beauty.

74. With every noble word you utter, you revive men’s hearts
   And bring dead aspirations back to life.

As we have seen in the case of al-Būṣirī’s Burdah, the purpose of the praise section of madiḥ nabawī is not a random enumeration of laudable traits; rather, just as in Arab-Islamic court panegyric the poet’s goal is to establish and confirm the unique authority and legitimacy of his patron as caliph or emir, etc., so in the case of madiḥ nabawī, the poet’s aim in his praise is to establish the unique position of Muḥammad as the last and best of God’s prophets. Further, as in caliphal panegyric the poet sought to prove his patron’s supreme position as ruler of the Islamic Ummah vis-à-vis rival claimants, whether within or without Islamdom, so too in madiḥ nabawī,
the poet’s goal is to establish the prophethood of Muḥammad and the truth of Islam and its superiority over its main contenders for religious truth—that is, Judaism, or more explicitly in the case of Nahj al-Burdah, Christianity, as the religion of Western imperialism and colonialism. In reading this section, then, we will see that Shawqī is not merely establishing the authority and truth of Muḥammad’s prophecy, but effecting a transition from prophetic praise to the Iḥyāʾ Project of Arab-Islamic cultural revival which lays the groundwork for the later more explicitly polemical and political parts of Nahj al-Burdah (parts 7–12).

Shawqī opens the praise section (v. 47) invoking Muḥammad’s unique position as Allāh’s Chosen One (as his epithet Muṣṭafā conveys, here alluded to in the noun of the same root, ṣafwāḥ), that Allāh sent him as an act of mercy to mankind (wa-mā ʿarsalnāka ʿillā raḥmatan lil-ʿālamīna [QK 21:107]), and, in accord with the tenets surrounding the doctrines of al-Ḥaqīqah al-Muḥammadiyyah (the Muḥammadan Truth), the idea that Muḥammad is the ultimate goal or purpose of Allāh’s creation (see below v. 52). He then turns in v. 48 to Muḥammad’s position on Resurrection Day when, as his epithet Ṣāḥib al-Ḥawḍ al-Mawrūḍ (Master of the Watering-Basin) indicates, he will preside over a basin. This doctrine is not based in the Qur’ānic text, except inasmuch as the Ḥawḍ comes to be identified with the paradisical spring of Kawthar, but rather in Ḥadīth. It is said that the Ummah will gather there on Resurrection Day. While the details are unclear, we can gather from the general symbolism of this figure that water represents life, that is, salvation, and that Muḥammad plays a crucial role in dispensing it, with even other Messengers or Prophets coming to him as supplicants (WN v. 48). Again, Muḥammad’s superiority over other Prophets and Messengers is the crucial point here.

The next two verses (49–50) shift from theological to ʿAbbāsid-style poetic-astrological images to describe Muḥammad with the paradox of the sun—that is at once the highest orb in the distant heavens, yet its light illumines the earth below; likewise, the stars fall short of his lofty ancestry. With this, the poet shifts to the Prophet’s noble ancestry (v. 51), which was, of course, further ennobled by its glorious descendent, to conclude (v. 52) with Muḥammad’s supernatural antecedents—that is the (Post-Classical) doctrines of al-Nūr al-Muḥammadiyyah (the Muḥammadan Light) and the related al-Ḥaqīqah al-Muḥammadiyyah
It is related that God Almighty created the Muḥammadan Truth (al-haqqah al-muḥammadiyyah) from His light and that it revolved around the throne, and the lights of His epiphanies flooded over it; and those are the two lights which contained Muḥammad, pbuh, before he was contained in the loins and wombs of his ancestors. . . . ‘Abd al-Razzāq related that Jābir ibn ‘Abd Allāh al-Anṣārī said: I said, “Oh Messenger of God, by my father and mother, tell me about the first thing that God Almighty created before all other things!” He replied, “O Jābir, before all other things, God Almighty created the light of your Prophet from His light.” (WN v. 52)

With verse 53 Shawqī returns to the Sirah lore of the predictions of Muhammad’s coming, especially that of the Christian monk Baḥīrā. Al-Bishrī cites a tradition related by al-Bayhaqī and Abū Nuʿaym that the monk Baḥīrā was in his cell when he saw Muḥammad among a group of approaching riders, and a white cloud was shading him alone. They approached until they alighted in the shade of a tree near where Baḥīrā was, whereupon the branches of the tree bent to provide shade for Muḥammad. So Baḥīrā queried him about certain signs he had read about and found that Muḥammad agreed with the descriptions in the predictions, and he saw the seal of prophethood on his shoulder as had been predicted. Thereupon he embraced him and declared that this was the prophet whose coming the signs foretold (WN v. 53).

The theme of Muḥammad’s coming being foretold in the Torah and the Gospels (al-Tawrāh wa-al-Injīl) had long been a potent element of Muslim polemic against the Christians and Jews. The prophet foretold by Moses in Deut. 18:5 (“The LORd thy God will raise up unto thee a Prophet from the midst of thee, of thy brethren, like unto me; unto him ye shall hearken”; King James Version) is identified by Muslims as Muḥammad. Under the name of Aḥmad, an etymological variant of Muḥammad, he is identified with the Biblical paracletos (counselor, helper), read by Muslims as pericletos (most praiseworthy = aḥmad), of John 14:16 (“And I will pray the Father, and he shall give you another Comforter, that he may abide with you for ever”; King James Version). Hence in the Qurʾān we read, “Those who follow the Messenger, the unlettered Prophet, whom they find written of among them in the Torah
and the Gospel . . .” (QK 7:157), and “When Jesus the son of Maryam said: O Children of Israel, I am the Messenger of God, confirming what came before me in the Torah and proclaiming the glad tidings of a Messenger who will come after me whose name is Aḥmad . . .” (QK 61: 6; WN v. 60). These predictions surrounding the name Aḥmad and physical signs such as the “seal of prophethood” mark on the shoulder, then, are what the poet succinctly conveys in verse 53 with the jinās between the phonetically and semiotically proximate asmāʾ (names; s. ism, root s-m-y) and its metathetic mate siyam (signs; s. simah, root s-y-m).

Verses 54–57 and 62–67 offer a summation of Muḥammad’s divine revelation and mission to the doubting Meccans or Qurashīs. Shawqī begins by evoking Muḥammad’s long period of waiting in the cave on Mount Ḥīrāʾ for divine revelation to come to him through Jibrīl (the archangel Gabriel). As al-Bishrī notes, Muḥammad had to spend a doubt-filled and anxious thirty-six months of prayer from the initial revelation, “Recite in the name of your Lord . . .” (QK 96:1), until Jibrīl appeared to him and cried, “You are truly the Messenger of God,” and then a further period of waiting until he received the revelation “O you who are wrapped in your cloak, rise and warn [mankind]” (QK 74:1), whereupon he began his prophetic mission (WN v. 57).

This is followed by two verses of popular Sīrah-derived “standard miracles,” of which al-Bishrī tells us there are many examples and/or variants, of water gushing from the Prophet’s two hands (v. 58) and of a cloud following over the Prophet’s head to shade him (WN v. 58). Within the poetic, as opposed to narrative prose, formulation of these events, however, a symbolic meaning emerges. The thirst of the Prophet’s companions, especially in the context of verse 48, becomes a spiritual thirst, and his quenching their thirst thus becomes a spiritual life-giving, adumbrating the Prophet’s powers of revival with which this section culminates (v. 73). Similarly, “the best of continuous rains” of verse 59 takes on the standard Arabic poetic connotation to refer to the Prophet’s unequalled generosity and magnanimity.

Verses 60–61 take up once more the persuasive effect of Muḥammad’s mission on Christian monks—again a powerful polemical element in the colonial context. This continues with verses 62–67, which ostensibly relate to Muḥammad’s efforts to convert the Meccans and his tribe Quraysh but would seem in the present colonial context to refer to all
who would foolishly reject the Prophet’s message. For example, verse 66 combines root-play on jāhilīn (ignorant) and tajhaliūn (ignore) to imply the falsehood and error of the Jāhiliyyah (Age of Ignorance, i.e., the pre-Islamic period) with a double antithesis, first with al-hādī (the guide, also an epithet of the Prophet) and al-šādiq (truthful). The verse is addressed in its immediate context to the Meccan or Qurashi polytheists but, by extension, equally and allegorically to the poet’s contemporaries who ignore or deny the true faith.

The remaining verses of this section, 68–74, focus in on the uniqueness of Muḥammad’s message and miracle, which are one. That is, in keeping the Islamic doctrine of Iʿjāz al-Qurʾān (the unsurpassable rhetorical beauty of the Qurʾān), it is the divine origin of the Qurʾān revealed to Muḥammad that is the essential proof of his Prophethood, in addition to which he possesses among his šamāʿīl, or virtues, extraordinary personal eloquence. In this respect, verse 69 echoes al-Buṣirī’s Burdah verses 91–93 in propounding a key element of Iʿjāz al-Qurʾān, namely that while other prophets’ miracles—such as Moses’ changing a staff into a serpent or Jesus’ raising the dead—are one-time occurrences that are now over, the miraculous rhetorical power of the Qurʾān is eternal and permanently effective. That is, as Shawqī states in verse 69, the āyāt (s. āyah; the word means both “Qurʾānic verses” and “miracles”), are ancient, indeed pre-eternal, and at the same time forever new—that is, forever efficacious, so that even a single word or sentence may lead a man to “truth, piety, and mercy,” that is, salvation. To exemplify this last proposition, al-Bishrī adduces the renowned episode of the conversion of the Meccan oligarch al-Walīd ibn al-Mughīrah (father of the illustrious general of the Islamic conquests, Khālid ibn al-Walid). Upon hearing Muḥammad recite: “God commands justice, good deeds, and generosity to one’s kin, and He forbids foul deeds, loathsome acts, and injustice, admonishing you so that perhaps you will take heed” (QK 16:90), he said, “By God, [these words] possess a sweetness and elegance, the least of which is a copious downpour, the best of which is abundant fruit. Surely these are not the words of a mere mortal,” whereupon he converted to Islam (WN v. 71). The continued efficacy of Muḥammad’s message is, of course, the crux of Shawqī’s message in Nahj al-Burdah.

Shawqī closes part 4 with a poignant and powerful three-verse (72–74) apostrophe to the Prophet in which he brings the precept of the eternal
veracity and efficacy of Muḥammad’s miracle—the Qurʾān—and the Prophet’s own personal eloquence to bear on the present condition of the Islamic Ummah. What is essential here is the identification of eloquence—and in these verses the poet has shifted from the Qurʾān itself to Muhammad’s own personal eloquence—with the power to revive. This curative, restorative power of the beauty/truth of Muḥammad’s eloquence is first introduced in verse 72 in calling his speech “honey” or “honey-comb” (shahd). As al-Bishrī astutely points out, “honey” is intended here not merely to convey the sweetness and beauty of Muḥammad’s speech, but also its properties as a spiritual restorative, that is, his words cure the soul just as honey is used medicinally to cure the body (WN v. 72). Shawqī adds rhetorical intensity to create a sense of momentum as he reaches the climax and closure of part 4. Employing a double ʿibāq (adorned/naked//prose/poetry), he praises the eloquence of Muḥammad as so powerful that his prose utterances have all the beauty of poetry (v. 73). Finally, the poet brings all his rhetorical might to bear in the closing verse of part 4 (v. 74), where, through the root-play on “word/utter” (qawl/qāʿil), intensifying repetition of tuḥyī (revive, bring back to life), and the antithesis between life and death (tuḥyī/mayyīt), he drives home his message of the revival of the spiritually dead and politically moribund Islamic Ummah through the word of the Prophet (cf. BB v. 46; NB vv. 116 and 190).

Further, we should note that himam (aspirations, sing. himmah) of the phrase tuḥyī mayyīta al-himami (“revive dead aspirations,” lit.: “those whose aspirations are dead”) is a literarily and culturally loaded term rooted as far back as Jāhilī poetry. It denotes determination, ambition, and resolution, with an energetic forward-looking zeal that distinguishes it diametrically from its root-mate hamm, pl. humūm, which denotes paralyzing cares and anxieties. The choice of himam, then, in this context points toward quite worldly political and military ambitions, as indeed al-Bishrī comments: that the words of the Prophet “made the ambitions (himam) of his Companions and Successors reach the Gemini, until kingdoms became subject to them and difficult obstacles were easily overcome” (WN v. 74). What is above all important in the context of the present argument is that Shawqī has mustered carefully chosen elements of traditional mādiḥ nabawī to bring them at last to bear on his climactic conclusion: that the Prophet Muḥammad’s words (that is, Qurʾān and Ḥadīth Nabawī) are a source for Islamic political and cultural revival.
A further level of discourse is at play here as well. Inasmuch as Shawqī is a, or the, leading proponent of the school of poetry termed Ḩyāʾ (Revival; conventionally translated as Neo-Classical), his reiterative insistence on its verbal form tuḥyī (revive) should alert us to the fact that this poem, indeed the entire project of the Shuʿārāʾ al-Ḥyāʾ (Poets of the Revival), is not the mere resuscitation of classical Arabic poetry and language, but above all, the revitalization of a moribund Arab-Islamic civilization through the recuperation of the cultural values of the classical (normally the High ʿAbbāsid Golden Age) period. Furthermore, then, Shawqī sees himself as the poetic counterpart of the Prophet in the Ḥyāʾ Project. In this respect, verse 74, as indeed its rhetorical highlighting indicates, is a key structural marker in the poem, pointing the way to the polemical-political sections of parts 7–12.

**PART 5: SĪRAH THEMES (VV. 75–99)**

[The Birth of the Prophet]

75. Glad tidings of the Guide and his birth spread east and west,
Like light that penetrates the dark of night,

76. It snatched the blood from Arab despots’ hearts,
And put to flight the foreign tyrants’ souls.

77. It so alarmed the battlements of Īwān Kisrá that they cracked,
From the shock of truth, not the shock of bold warriors’ advance.

78. When you came you found mankind in chaos,
Like stone idols enthralled by stone idols,

79. And the earth was filled with injustice
And subjected to every despot who held sway over mankind.

80. The Persian overlord oppressed his subjects,
While pride left Rome’s Caesar blind and deaf to his people’s plight.

81. They tortured God’s worshippers on false pretexts,
And slaughtered them like sacrificial sheep. 44

82. Among mankind, the strong shed the blood of the weak,
Like lions killing sheep or whales killing minnows.

[The Night Journey and Ascension]

83. God conveyed you by night to the Farthest Mosque,
Where His angels and Messengers stood gathered to receive you.
84. When you strode in, they thronged around you, their master,
Like stars around the full moon, or troops around their flag.

85. Each one of noble station, they followed you in prayer,
For whoever follows God’s beloved will triumph.

86. Passing close by them, you traversed the heavens—or what lies above them—
On a luminous mount with a bridle of pearl.

87. Yours was a mount that, due to your might and dignity, has no equal
Among swift steeds or hard-treading she-camels that leave traces on
the ground.

88. Burāq is of the Maker’s will and of His make,
And God’s power is above all suspicion and doubt.

89. Until you reached a heaven to which
No wing can fly, no foot can walk.

90. As if a voice had said, “Let every prophet stand according to his rank,”
And, “O Muḥammad, this is God’s throne, so touch it!”

91. You have written out the sciences for both religion and the world,
O reader of the Tablet! O holder of the Pen!

92. Your mind comprehends the secrets of both religion and the world;
The stores of science and knowledge were laid open before you.

93. Your closeness to God has multiplied beyond reckoning
The pendants of favor bestowed upon you, and the necklaces of grace.

[The Miracle of the Cave]

94. Then ask the band of polytheists who were pasturing their herds
round the cave
(Who, except to pursue God’s Chosen One, would not have pastured
there):

95. Did they see the pure trace or hear the whisper
Of voices glorifying God or reciting the Qur’ān?

96. Did they think the spider’s web was a forest
And the dappled doves that hovered there were vultures

97. So that they turned back, cursed by the very ground they traversed,
Like falsehood put to flight by the majesty of truth?

98. But for God’s hand, the two companions would not have been safe;
But for His watchful eye, the pillar of religion would not still stand.
99. They were concealed and covered by the wing of God,
   And whoever is enfolded in God’s wing will not be harmed.

In the structural configuration of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, as we saw in chapter 2, the Sirah-derived passages (BB parts 4–9) function primarily as extensions of the Prophetic Praise (BB part 3) and lead into Supplication and Plea for Intercession (BB part 9). Shawqi configures his poem somewhat differently: his Sirah themes (NB part 5) are also extensions of the Prophetic Praise (NB part 4), but they lead into a further Metapoetic Recapitulation of Prophet Praise (NB part 6). In part 5, which I have labeled Sirah Themes, we find that in contrast to parts 1–3 of Nahj al-Burdah (vv. 1–45), where Shawqi has expanded upon al-Būṣīrī’s parts 1–2, his treatment of Sirah themes is more condensed than that of his predecessor, and, indeed, only three themes are developed in this part: The Birth of the Prophet (vv. 75–82); The Night Journey and Ascension (al-Īsra’ wa-al-Mi’rāj, vv. 83–93); and The Miracle of Muḥammad and Abū Bakr in the Cave (vv. 94–99). Other Sirah themes of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah have been given condensed treatment elsewhere in Nahj al-Burdah—such as the miraculous nature of the Qur’ān and other, lesser, miracles (NB part 5), and the Prophet’s Jihād and Military Campaigns (BB part 8), which we find in Nahj al-Burdah thematically reconfigured in the polemic-political section, part 8. The effect of Shawqi’s condensation is to bring each Sirah theme to focus on a particular idea.

1) The Birth of the Prophet (vv. 75–82). Notice, that, especially compared with al-Būṣīrī (BB part 4, vv. 59–71), who presents a rhetorically dense passage designed to foreground the cosmic and miraculous effects of the Prophet’s birth, Shawqi mentions only one of these—the cracking of the Sasanian Arch of Chosroes at Ctesiphon (v. 77). Shawqi opens his passage (v. 75) with the description of the birth of the Prophet as light penetrating darkness. This sets the stage for the thematic focus of the passage around the theme that Islam brought mankind “light,” that is “enlightenment” of truth, justice, and civilization, to replace the “darkness” of falsehood, tyranny, and chaos that had up until then oppressed them.

In fact, however, this passage does not deal much with the birth of the Prophet, focusing instead on the chaos and tyranny that mankind suffered before his coming. It is not rhetorically complex, but rather the...
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The poet drives home his message by densely lading it with what we might term the “diction of oppression”: zulam (darknesses, s. zulmeh—closely related to zulm, oppression) (v. 75); al-tâghûn (despots), al-bâghûn (tyrants) (v. 76); fawdâ (chaos) (v. 78); jawr (persecution, injustice, oppression), tâghiyah (despot) (v. 79); yabghî (oppress, tyrannize), ašamm ([morally] deaf), ‘amî (for ‘amin; [morally] blind) (v. 80)—the last two inevitably evoke the Qur’ânic condemnation of those who refuse Muhammad’s message as “deaf, dumb, and blind” (ṣûmmun bukmun ‘umyun [QK 2:18, 2:171]); yu‘âdhhibân (torture)—; yadhba‘ân (slaughter) (v. 81); yaftiku (shed blood) (v. 82).

As contextualized in the poem, these catch-words of violence and tyranny are associated with Arab as well as foreign (or Persian, ‘ajam) despots, but the overall emphasis is on the Persian (Sasanian) and especially Roman empires, the main contenders for power at the advent of Islam, but especially the Romans. On the one hand, the Caesars of Rome had become a byword for tyranny, and verse 81 in particular seems to refer to their persecution of the early Christians, especially in the gladiatorial arena. More important for Shawqi, however, in the context of the British occupation of Egypt, is that the British Empire and the Christian West generally are perceived as the heirs to the Roman Empire. Therefore Roman persecution, torture, and slaughter, of ʿibâd Allâh (God’s worshippers), that is, the early Christians (v. 81), reads allegorically as contemporary British persecution of Muslims. Certainly, in the aftermath of the Dinshawây Incident (1906, 1907—see above) with its rigged courts, brutal sentences, and barbaric public floggings and executions, for the Egyptians of 1910 this reading is inescapable. This passage concludes its description of the reign of injustice by citing the “law of the jungle” whereby the strong shed the blood of the weak (v. 82).

Shawqi’s redirection of al-Bûsîri’s model to focus on the reign of tyranny before the coming of Islam is clearly not arbitrary; rather, it is intended allegorically to identify Persian and especially Roman tyranny at the time of the advent of Islam with the current state of Egypt and the Islamic world generally under the yoke of Western domination. This allegory entails a crucial corollary: that just as the light of Islam came to dispel the darkness of pre-Islamic, Persian and Roman, oppression and tyranny, so too should the revival of Arab-Islamic civilization in the twentieth century put an end to Western oppression of the Islamic East.
In this respect, then, the theme of the Birth of the Prophet in Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burda is meticulously constructed to pave the way toward his political-polemical formulation of Iḥyā’ (Revival) in the final sections of the poem.

2) The Night Journey and Ascension (al-Isrā’ wa-al-Mi’rāj). We have already seen in al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, Part 7: The Night Journey and Ascension (vv. 105–117), how Shawqi’s predecessor narrowed the rich body of material associated with the Prophet’s Night Journey from Mecca to Jerusalem and back, and his Ascension from Jerusalem to the heavens, where he approached God’s throne, to a precise poetic formulation of those elements that highlight the poet’s central concern: the superiority of Muḥammad to all other prophets and the concomitant superiority of his Ummah to all other (religious) communities. Again, as we have noted before, this performs the contractual obligation of mādīnā nabawī, establishing and confirming through its “praise” the unique authority of the Prophet Muḥammad and the veracity of his message. Shawqi follows al-Būṣīrī’s lead in likewise leaving aside much of the vast lore associated with the Prophet’s initiatory journey (see BB part 7) to focus on those elements that establish Muḥammad’s unrivalled and unique authority as the Seal of the Prophets, and then further directs the topic toward his own Iḥyā’-determined poetic-polemic purposes.

The Qur’ānic textual foundations for the Sīrah literature and popular lore of the Night Journey and Ascension are, for the former, “Glory to Him who took His servant by night from the Sacred Place of Prayer (masjid al-ḥaram) to the Furthest Place of Prayer (al-masjid al-aqṣā), whose precinct We blessed so that We might show him some of Our signs. . . .” (āyātinā = signs, also: miracles, Qur’ānic verses [QK 17:1]). Associated with the Ascension are the verses:

By the star when it falls, your companion has not erred or gone astray, and he does not speak out of personal desire, but rather from inspiration that has come down to him. There instructed him one [Jibril or Allāh] of great power, imbued with strength, who stood on the highest horizon, then approached and drew near, until he was two bow-lengths away or closer. Then he revealed to his servant his revelation. The heart has not lied about what it saw. Will you then dispute with him over what he sees? And he saw him in another descent by the Lote-Tree of the Furthest Limit near which is the Garden of the Refuge. Then the Lote-Tree was shrouded,
but his sight did not swerve or stray. He saw the greatest of the signs of his Lord. (QK 53:1–11)

This second Qur’anic passage with its short rhymed verses (saj’/ fawâṣil) has a lapidary, incantatory style that is extremely rhetorically effective in its evocation of the mystery and majesty of divine revelation. However, in strictly prosaic expository terms (which, of course, are not the purpose of the Qur’an) it is somewhat obscure, especially as regards the antecedents of pronouns.

At this point, Qur’anic commentary, Sirah literature, and popular lore take over to generate cohesive prose narratives of these events. From the Qur’an itself, though, the essential message is clear and strikingly phrased. For the first passage, God has taken his servant Muḥammad on a nocturnal journey and showed him some of His signs. For the second, the antecedents of the pronouns are open to interpretation, but again, it strikingly conveys the message that Muḥammad’s revelations are of divine inspiration. The prose narratives add the miraculous mount, Burāq, described as a white riding beast between the size of a donkey and a mule, whose hoof-steps carry it as far as its eye can see. This beast carries the Prophet to Jerusalem, where he leads in prayer, that is, serves as leader or imām to, the other prophets, specified in some narratives as Ibrāhīm, Mūsá, ‘Īsá, Dāʾūd, and Sulaymān. Muḥammad’s superiority to the other Prophets is presented quite explicitly in the Ascension narratives where, leading a procession of angels, he systematically greets and then bypasses each of the seven heavens with its associated Prophet (1, Ādam; 2, ‘Īsá and Yahyá; 3, Yūsuf; 4, Idrīs; 5, Hārūn; 6, Mūsá; 7, Ibrāhīm), until he comes within two bow-lengths of Allāh and approaches His throne, where he receives knowledge that has been imparted to no human being but him (see chapter 2, BB part 7).

Like the Qur’anic text, the poetic text eschews the narrative cohesion of the prose renditions in favor of a rhetorically focused insistence on the message. In the case of madiḥ nabawī, of course, both literary and popular versions of al-Isrā’ wa-al-Miʿrāj are already well known to the poet’s audience and require merely to be evoked, not reiterated. Against this background, then, as well as such well-known poetic antecedents as al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, Shawqi’s verses 83–85 effectively encapsulate the Night Journey. He conveys the superior status of Muḥammad through his images of ritual
or bodily practice. The communicative effect of such postures is termed by Connerton “the choreography of authority,” as he explains:

> The importance of posture for communal memory is evident. Power and rank are commonly expressed through certain postures relative to others; from the way in which people group themselves and from the position of their bodies relative to the bodies of others, we can deduce the degree of authority which each is thought to enjoy or to which they lay claim. We know what it means when one person sits in an elevated position when everyone around them stands; when one person stands and everyone else sits. . . . There will of course be disparities between cultures in the meanings ascribed to some postures, but, in all cultures, much of the choreography of authority is expressed through the body. 49

It is just such postures and positions that the poet exploits to convey the superior status of the Prophet Muhammad: v. 83: the angels and prophets stand in attendance, waiting to receive Muḥammad; v. 84 Muḥammad strides before them, as we would imagine a general surveying his troops, and they throng around him as stars around a full moon, or troops around a flag. Finally, in v. 85, the angels and prophets, however exalted their own status, are ranked behind Muḥammad as they follow him in prayer, where he serves as leader, imām. The emphasis on bodily practice as an expression of rank and authority in the images and diction of these verses should remind us of Shawqī’s similar methods in describing his own submission and clinging to the Prophet in verses 42–44, as discussed above. The final hemistich of v. 85, with its inverted expression (qalb), succinctly encapsulates in its antithesis of triumph (yaḥfūz) and submission (yaʿtamīmī) of the hierarchy of power: to triumph, even for prophets and angels, one must submit to the authority of Allāh’s chosen Prophet.

Verses 86–90 constitute Shawqī’s reprise of Muḥammad’s Ascension. The first three verses, much to al-Bishrī’s consternation, feature Muḥammad’s magical mount, Burāq. Al-Bishrī is at pains to insist that Burāq served as the Prophet’s mount for the Night Journey from Mecca to Jerusalem, but that the Ascension was accomplished by means of a ladder or stairway (miʿrāj) (WN vv. 86, 89). For poetry, however, popular imagination trumps theological learning. We can appreciate Shawqī’s choice when we consider the iconographic primacy in manuscript illustrations of Muḥammad mounted on Burāq (often portrayed with a female human face) and surrounded by angels. Although technically this
may be meant to illustrate the Night Journey (and other pictures show Muḥammad being carried on the back of Jibril ascending the heavens), it has become in popular Islam the emblematic referent to al-Isrāʾ wa-al-Miʿrāj and an iconic representation of Muḥammad’s exalted status. We can understand, too, that the image of Muḥammad mounted on Burāq does not merely serve as a pictorial illustration of a narrative element, but comes to function iconographically as an Islamic religious equivalent of the equestrian statue: that is, the monumental representation of power and authority. Now translated from a horse to a divinely created mythical-magical mount and from the earth to the heavens with an entourage of angels, the image of Muḥammad mounted on Burāq adds to the political and military authority conveyed by the equestrian statue an apotheotic aura entirely consistent with the belief in Muḥammad’s unrivalled status among God’s creatures. The Ascension culminates in verses 89–90, in which Muḥammad’s preeminent rank is conveyed through his reaching a heaven that no wing or foot has ever reached before (89), and he alone of all the prophets approaches and, it seems, touches God’s throne. Again, al-Bishrī is concerned with the theological detail and insists that Muḥammad could not really touch God’s throne (WN v. 90). Poetically, of course, what is intended is Muḥammad’s unique proximity to and favor with Allāh (see v. 93).

The most interesting verses of this passage in terms of the Iḥyāʾ Project are verses 91–92. The culmination of the Ascension, as the original Qurʾānic passage states quite explicitly, is Allāh’s revelation/inspiration to Muhammad, that is, revealing some of His ʿayāt—“signs” in this context, but otherwise equally miracles or Qurʾānic verses. Shawqī, in accordance with the Iḥyāʾ imperative, eschews the common esoteric and/or mystical readings of such “signs” to interpret them rather in Enlightenment terms of both moral and practical science, knowledge, and wisdom. The discourse of the Western-influenced thinking of the nineteenth- and early twentieth-century Egyptian liberal intellectuals commonly associated traditional Islamic concepts of al-Lawḥ al-Maḥfūẓ (the Preserved Tablet) and al-Qalam (the Pen), whereby all God’s decrees have been written,50 with the popular fatalism of the Islamic world which they saw as the main source of its backwardness (jumūd) and the major obstacle to modern enlightened development. Quite remarkably, Shawqī has reconceived the Preserved Table and the Pen in such as way as to identify them with pre-
cisely those terms that have in his day become associated with progressive and liberal modern thinking: ‘ulūm (sciences) (v. 91), ‘ilm (science), ḥikam (knowledge) (v. 92). By invoking the otherwise clichéd expression of Islam as al-dīn wa al-dunyā (“religion and world”—that is, as offering precepts both for religious and practical, political, life) in verse 91, Shawqi transforms or extends its sense to embrace the religious sciences and moral precepts on the one hand, and the modern sciences on the other. This continues in verse 92, where the secret (sirr) revealed to the Prophet now conjoins religious and scientific precepts, as does the store of knowledge that has been uncovered for him.

The importance of Shawqi’s interpretation of Islam in these verses should not be underestimated. What he has done is to resolve the conflict between those Muslims who cling to traditional religion and reject modern secular liberalism as godless and those modern liberal secularists who condemn Islam as a stronghold of backwardness, fatalism, and superstition. Shawqi does this in the manner of the Iḥyāʾ/Nahḍah intellectuals and Islamic reformers, such as Jamāl al-Dīn al-Afghānī and Muḥammad ʿAbduh, by defining Islam as essentially enlightened, scientific, and just—and this, in turn, he accomplishes by a quite stunning conjoining (vv. 91–92) of the most traditional Islamic terms al-dīn wa al-dunyā, al-Lawḥ, al-Qalam, al-sirr, inkashafat (religion and world, the Tablet, the Pen, the secret, be revealed) with terms such as ‘ulūm, ‘ilm, ḥikam (sciences, science, knowledge), which, however rooted they are in the religious tradition, have become by the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries bywords for Western science and learning. In brief, Shawqi has achieved in poetry the Islamic Reformist identification of Islamic tradition and Western Enlightenment humanism and science, which he will develop at length in the later sections of the poem (especially parts 9, 10, and 11).

Verse 93 seals this passage by invoking Muḥammad’s closeness to Allāh as the source of the countless favors and graces bestowed upon him. Although this verse sounds altogether lyrical in its metaphors of “pendants of favor,” “necklaces of grace,” it is interesting to note that al-Bishrī takes these favors and graces to be ‘ulūm (sciences or knowledge) (WN v. 93).

3) The Miracle of Muḥammad and Abū Bakr in the Cave. In the prose accounts of the Prophet’s miracles, God’s protection of the two
companions as they hid in the cave from the pursuing Meccan polytheists is quite simply presented. Al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ writes:

It is related on the authority of Anas, Zayd ibn Arqam, and al-Mughirah ibn Shuʿbah that the Prophet (pbuh) said: “On the night of the Cave, God commanded a tree to grow in front of the Prophet (pbuh) and conceal him; and he ordered two doves to stop at the mouth of the cave.” And another hadith says, “the spider wove its web at the cave’s entrance, so that when his pursuers came and saw this they said, ‘If there were anyone inside the cave the two doves would not be at its entrance,’ and the Prophet (pbuh) heard what they said. Then they departed.”

Shawqī, in his poetic rendition, follows in part al-Būṣīrī’s cognate passage (BB part 5, vv. 76–81), where this event serves to undergird the poet’s oath that he has never come as a supplicant to the Prophet except that his request, for justice, protection, physical or spiritual bounty, has been granted. At the same time, however, Shawqī changes the rhetorical emphasis to begin by first foregrounding the Meccan polytheists who rejected and persecuted God’s Chosen Prophet (vv. 94–97). To his rhetorical question, following al-Bishrī’s interpretation, “Ask the polytheists what frightened them away—did the Prophet’s footprints or the voices reciting the Qurʾān strike fear in their hearts?—In their terror, did they think the spider’s web a forest? The doves vultures?” (WN vv. 94–97), he answers that it was God alone that protected them.

Much as al-Būṣīrī did, Shawqī extends this one-time miracle to an abiding religious principle. In each of verses 97–99, the first hemistich refers specifically to the Miracle of the Cave, while the second presents an abiding Islamic truth (ḥikmah or aphorism). Thus the fleeing Meccan polytheists (97a) are “like falsehood put to flight by the majesty of truth” (97b); God’s hand protecting Muḥammad and Abū Bakr (98a) is followed by the aphoristic: “But for His watchful eye, the pillar of religion would not stand” (98b); finally, in a lovely lyrical verse in which the poet extends the doves’ wings to a metaphor of divine protection: the “wing of God” conceals the Companions (99a), and moreover, the passage concludes, “Whoever is enfolded in God’s wing will not be harmed” (99b). The careful rhetorical structure of verse 99 gracefully balances the repetition of “wing of God” (jināḥ Allāh) in the middle of each hemistich and brings the passage to a sonorous and semantically concise closure.
through the alliteration of liquids (l, m, n) and the combined *jinās* and *ṭibāq* of *yaḍummu* (protect, enfold) and *yuḍami* (be harmed).

The effect of the repeated movement from the particular to the general in verses 97–99 is to extract a permanent truth from even the passing miracle. Further, within the colonial context of Shawqi’s day, the polytheists who persecute Muhammad and Abū Bakr find an analog in the British occupation and its persecution of contemporary Muslims. The Miracle of the Cave thus is transformed from an episode in the Sīrah of the Prophet to an exemplar of Allāh’s abiding protection of the Believers.

**PART 6: METAPOETIC RECAPITULATION OF PROPHETIC PRAISE (VV. 100–117)**

100. O Ahmad of goodness, I have the dignity of being named for you,
    And how can one named for the Messenger not reach exalted rank?

101. The panegyrists and the lords of passion* are all followers [* = Ṣūfīs]
    Of the preeminent Master of the redolent Mantle.*
    [* = al-Būsīrī, al-Burdah]

102. His praise for you springs from sincere love and passion,
    For true love dictates true words.

103. As God is my witness, I do not strive to surpass him,
    For who could vie with the downpour of so widespread a cloud?

104. I am merely one of those who emulate him, and surely he who tries
    To emulate your saint is not to be rebuked or blamed.

105. Praise of the Prophet is a station conferred by the Most Merciful;
    Its awful dignity strikes dumb even the most silver-tongued Saḥbān,

106. For the full moon falls short of you in beauty and nobility;
    The sea falls short of you in bounty and munificence.

107. The haughty mountains, if you challenged them in height, would sink;
    If you vied in beauty with the gleaming stars, you would outshine them;

108. The lion when it pounces is not as bold as you
    When you march against an iron-clad warrior bristling with weapons.

109. Though in war you make the hearts’ black grains bleed,
    Yet the hearts of the brave and valiant yearn for you.

110. Wherever armies clashed, God threw His love and dread
    Behind Aminah’s son, Muhammad.
111. Your face amidst the battle-dust is like the full moon [badr] on a
dark night:
Whether it is veiled by clouds or not, it shines.

112. Like a full moon [badr], Muḥammad rose at Badr and his radiant
countenance
Was like the new moon of victory shining through the gloom of night.

113. In the Qurʾān you were called an orphan to honor you,
For the uniquely precious pearl is called an “orphan.”

114. When God apportioned His blessings among mankind,
You were allowed to choose your blessings and your lot.

115. Whether you say “no” concerning an affair or “yes,”
God’s choice is in that “no” and in that “yes.”

116. Your brother Jesus called a dead man back to life and he arose,
But you revived whole generations of decaying bones.

117. Ignorance is death, so if another miracle is granted you,
Then raise mankind from ignorance or from the grave.

Part 6 forms not only both climax and metapoetic recapitulation of the
theme of Prophetic praise, but also the completion of movement I of the
poem. As such, it concludes the more traditional madiḥ nabawī themes
and structure (with the partial exception of part 12) that follow the model
of al-Būṣirī’s Burdah and sets the stage for Shawqi’s new Iḥyāʾ- informed
political-polemical passages (NB parts 7–11, and 12) that form the second
movement of the poem. We are alerted to the heightened emotional
urgency of this passage by the change from the third-person “he” that
has dominated parts 4 and 5, to the second person “you” and the dra-

Shawqi’s evocation in verse 100 of his base-text, al-Būṣirī’s verse 146,
both establishes the cognition between the two texts and highlights the
dramatic effect of Shawqi’s rhetorical choices. The metapoetic substance
of the opening passage (NB vv. 100–105) concerns the complex dynamic
between the identities of Aḥmad Shawqi, Aḥmad/Muḥammad the
Prophet, and Muḥammad al-Būṣirī, the Master of the Burdah. The initial
“O Aḥmad!” thus has three possible referents, until further defined. For
just as we noted above (NB part 4) that Shawqi sees himself as the poetic
counterpart to the Prophet in bringing a message of redemption/Iḥyāʾ
to the Islamic Ummah, a shared name suggests some measure of shared identity, as the blessing traditionally associated with being named after the Prophet, Muḥammad or Aḥmad, indicates.\(^{52}\) This (con)fusión of identities on the principle that nomen est omen is rhetorically mimicked in the three-fold root-play of v. 100: tasmiyah and samī (“naming” and “namesake,” from the root s-m-y) and yatasāmā (“reach exalted rank” from the root s-m-w). The other issue of identity is one of imitation, that Shawqī (NB v. 101), like all the other poets of madīh nabawī and the passionate devotees of the Prophet (Ṣūfīs), is following the model of the “preeminent Master of the Burdah,” that is, al-Būṣīrī. The intensity of the praise passage and the intimate association of the Prophet with madīh nabawī and its practitioners is further suggested in the metathetic root-play (the roots h-m-d and m-d-h both denote “praise”) of the opening words of vv. 100–102: yā ʿAḥmadu, al-mādiḥūna, madiḥuhu (Aḥmad “the most praiseworthy,” “the praise-poets,” “his praise”). Furthermore, in a manner that is, no doubt, intended to reflect Shawqī’s own motivations and intentions, he states in v. 102 that al-Būṣīrī’s praise for the Prophet is a pure, sincere expression of his love for him, unadulterated by any worldly or material motives or goals.

Inasmuch as the Arabic term muʿāraḍah (contrafaction) conveys opposition and challenge—to try to outdo a rival—Shawqī is careful to define his present poetic undertaking with regard to al-Būṣīrī. He insists, therefore, in vv. 103–104 that Nahj al-Burdah is an attempt not to surpass al-Būṣīrī’s masterpiece, but merely to emulate Muḥammad’s “saint” or “friend” (wālī). Finally, in v. 105, Shawqī concludes this metapoetic passage on the nature of madīh nabawī by declaring that to praise one so great as the Prophet is beyond human capacity; it is, rather, an awful dignity conferred by God, or, as we might say, a humbling responsibility. As al-Bishrī explains, even the greatest of proverbial orators, Saḥbān, would be struck dumb by this task, which can only be accomplished with divine help (WN v. 105).

In metapoetic terms, Shawqī has defined the elements of the formidable double challenge that he faces in composing a madīh nabawī following the model of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah. The first is the undisputed preeminence of that work in the genre of madīh nabawī. Shawqī claims that he would not presume to try to surpass it, but what, we should ask, would be the point of composing a lesser poem? Clearly there is a poetic competition
involved, for poetic contrafaction by its very nature calls for a comparison and evaluation of the base-text and the new text, and Shawqī has followed al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah so closely that such a comparison is inevitable, as we will discuss in the conclusion. The second challenge has to do with the mamdūḥ—that is, that the subject and recipient of the praise is the Prophet Muḥammad, who surpasses all mankind in every praiseworthy quality and virtue, whose enumeration and description therefore exceed the poet’s expressive capacities. Shawqī’s response or resolution to this double challenge, that to compose madīḥ nabawī is a “station conferred by the All-Merciful” (maqāmun min al-raḥmānī muqtabasun), is then altogether stunning. For just as the synonymity of his name and the Prophet’s of verse 100 has insinuated some level of identification or correspondence between the two, so too “a station conferred by the All-Merciful” is a phrase eminently applicable to prophethood as well as to the composition of prophetic praise poetry. Thus, Shawqī is at once establishing a bond of identification between himself and the Prophet and suggesting that his poem is the result of divine inspiration or aid. The latter proposition has the effect of undermining Shawqī’s modest demurral of vv. 103–104. However much his personal modesty or sense of intimidation may make him refrain from challenging the Master of the Burdah, divine aid, needless to say, makes anything possible.

In light of this reading of vv. 100–105, then, the remaining verses of part 6 (106–117) in particular, and the entire Nahj al-Burdah in general, constitute Shawqī’s response to this double challenge: one whose outstanding (even superior) poetic beauty he does not attribute to his own presumption of superior talent, but rather to divine aid—what we might otherwise understand as “(poetic) inspiration.” It is as if, after v. 105, he says, “Here goes!” as he throws all his poetic and rhetorical skills, particularly the badī’-style rhetoric of High ‘Abbāsid court panegyric, into demonstrating the impossibility of describing that which is above and beyond all description. The rhetorical sleight of hand he engages in here, especially in vv. 106–108, is that in ostensibly demonstrating the impossibility of expressing the Prophet’s virtues, he in fact expresses them. In other words, the poet achieves the impossible, a sort of poetic “i‘jāz.” This he accomplishes through a negation of all the conventional similes of beauty, generosity, etc. The effect of the simplicity and beauty of verse 106 with its parallelism of the two hemistichs and its emphatic reitera-
tion of ḏūnaka (“falls short of you,” literally, beneath you, below you) is not merely to express the unrivalled beauty and generosity of the Prophet, but to declare the inadequacy of the Arabic poetic idiom to express it. The verse is a conundrum inasmuch as it beautifully expresses this idea and thereby contradicts it. Verses 107–108 follow a similar logic, declaring that the Prophet’s (metaphorical) height makes lofty mountains sink; that he outshines the stars and is bolder than the lion. That is, all the conventional similes fall short.

Verses 109–112 describe the Prophet’s valor on the battlefield, culminating in verses 111–112 with a dense word-play centering around the full moon (badr) as a symbol of the Prophet and the miraculous early Muslim victory over the Meccan polytheists at the Battle of Badr (2 AH), and related terms for luminosity and darkness. This picks up the light versus darkness imagery and diction of the Birth of the Prophet passage (NB part 5, vv. 75–82); thus, v. 111, badru dujan (full moon of a dark night); yuḍī́ru (shine), multathim (veiled [twice]); v. 112, with even more intensity: badr (full moon), taṭall’a (rise [of a heavenly body]), Badr (proper name of the battle-place), ghurrah (bright face, luminosity [twice]), tajlū (appear, shine), dājī (dark), al-żulami (darknesses). The imagery of luminosity is joined to the concept of Muḥammad’s unique status in verse 113 through a pun and root-play on yatīm (“orphan,” but also “unique, peerless,” here in the substantive form yutm/yutum). Invoking the Qurʾānic verse that refers to Muḥammad’s orphanhood (his father, ‘Abd Allāh, died before Muḥammad was born), “Did He not find you an orphan (yatīm) and give you shelter?” (QK 93:6), the poet then proceeds to adduce another meaning for yatīm, that is “a matchless, precious pearl.” In verse 115 Shawqī invokes al-Būṣīrī’s v. 35 about the justice of the Prophet’s judgments (“no” and “yes”), adding, through the near parallelism and repetition of “no” and “yes” in each hemistich, a divine choice that undergirds and confirms the Prophet’s decisions.

Perhaps the most interesting verses of this passage are 116–117, in which Shawqī concludes part 6 with an Iḥyā’-inspired plea for the revival and recuperation of the Islamic Ummah, thereby forming a transition to movement II of Nahj al-Burdhah, Shawqī’s poetic rendition of the Iḥyā’ Project. Verse 116 reiterates the standard Iʿjāz al-Qurʾān doctrine (above NB vv. 69–70 and BB v. 93) that the miracles of other prophets are one-time events, whereas Muḥammad’s message and miracle (the Qurʾān)
is forever and continuously in force to guide and save mankind. At the same time it invokes, through the key terms “revive” (ahyā, ahyayta) and “decaying bones” (al-rimami—the rhyme-word), verse 46 of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah and its Qur’ānic referent (see chapter 2). The “generations of decaying bones” refers to the generations of mankind who, through the salvific effect of Muḥammad’s message, will be called back to life on Resurrection Day. However, in the present context, as al-Bishrī explains with great concision, Shawqī is using “revival” (al-ihyā’) and “revive” (ahyayta) metaphorically to mean “awaken men’s hearts and lead them out from the darkness of ignorance to the path of following Islam” (WN v. 116). Shawqī’s ihyā’ (revival) clearly refers to the Ihyā’ Project of the cultural revival of the Islamic Ummah, and forcefully echoes in both sense and diction the Ihyā’ proclamation of verse 74. Verse 117 then closes this passage with a rhetorically complex and powerfully condensed formulation of the Ihyā’ Project: it equates ignorance (jahl) with death and, therefore, political and cultural revival with resurrection. This is particularly effective because, as we saw with verse 66, jahl, with its etymological relation to Jāhiliyyah, the Age of Ignorance prior to the coming of Islam, bears a religious significance in this context—ignorance or denial of the true religion (Islam). But further, in the Ihyā’/Nahḍah context, it conveys the idea that the contemporary fatalism and superstition of the Muslim masses and the backwardness of Islamic society constitute a falling away from true Islam, which in turn has now become identified with Western-derived concepts of science, progress, and civilization—as we will see in movement II.

This constitutes a dramatic change of direction and orientation for madiḥ nabawī. For whereas the sort of madiḥ nabawī that al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah exemplifies and has spawned has an otherworldly goal, the plea for the Prophet’s intercession on Judgment Day, Shawqī changes the focus from otherworldly concerns to worldly political, intellectual, and cultural “revival.”53 Opening the verse with the proclamation that “Ignorance is death,” Shawqī employs a network of antithesis (tibāq) and repetition (takrār): jahl (ignorance) occurs twice, as does the imperative fa-ibr’ath (resurrect, revive); death occurs as mawt (death) and rimam ( decayed bones) to equate the (earthly) eradication of ignorance and backwardness with the (otherworldly) raising of dead bodies from the grave on Resurrection Day.54 This then paves the way thematically for most of the remainder of the poem,
our parts 7–12, in which Shawqi sets out his vision of an essentially Islamic humanism that serves as the foundation for his Ihyâ’ Project.

In structural terms, we can observe that part 6, for all its metapoetic preoccupations, nevertheless performs a recapitulation of the supplicatory ritual, beginning with the direct address to the one supplicated, “O Aḥmad!” of v. 100, Shawqi’s (poetic) Self-Abasement: “I do not strive to surpass... I am merely one of those who emulate...” (vv. 103–104), Praise of the mamduḥ (vv. 105–116), and, finally, the Supplication: “... if [a] miracle is granted you, // Then raise mankind from ignorance...” (v. 117).

Nahj al-Burdah Movement II: The Ihyâ’ Project—Parts 7–12

In a radical restructuring of his base-text, Shawqi subordinates the other-worldly concerns (the Prophet’s intercession on Judgment Day) that dominate the supplicatory framework of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah to complete the Nahj al-Burdah supplicatory pattern with elements based on the Islamic Manifest Destiny themes of al-Būṣīrī’s Sīrah-derived parts, especially Part 8: The Messenger’s Jihād and Military Campaigns, to create what I have labeled movement II of Nahj al-Burdah. Shawqi begins with a poetic polemic against Western Christian imperialism as a preamble to his vision of an idealized and paradigmatic Islamic past; he then presents in his supplicatory closing a plea for the restitution in this world of the dignity and dominion of the Islamic Ummah. Hourani offers a concise formulation of the traditional Sunnī Muslim view of Islamic history and thereby provides a prose context for our reading of Shawqi’s poetic work in movement II.55

For an orthodox Muslim, history was the process by which the society of religious ignorance, directed to worldly ends, held together by natural solidarity and ruled by kings, was replaced by the ideal Muslim society. In a sense the struggle had been going on throughout history, wherever and whenever God had sent prophets to a specific umma. In a sense too it was still happening, wherever the umma faced the unconverted world. There had however been one period of particular importance, when the final revelation was fully embodied in the institutions of society. To devout Muslims, at the time and later, there lay a special significance in the early history of Islam, when the community was expanding and flourishing, the Quran and the Prophet’s words were taken as principles of action, and the umma was one in outer manifestation as well as in spirit. For the
moral imagination of Sunnis, the early centuries of Islam have always been a compelling drama in three acts: the early days of the Prophet and his immediate successors, the golden age when the umma was as it should be; the Umayyad period when the principles of Islamic piety were overlaid by the natural human tendency towards secular kingship; and the early ‘Abbasid age when the principles of the umma were reasserted and embodied in institutions of a universal empire, regulated by law, based on the equality of all believers, and enjoying power, wealth, and culture which are the reward of obedience. In later ages this period of history served as a norm for rulers and ruled alike, a lesson of what God had done for His people, a lesson too of the evils of division and the rejection of God’s will.56

**PART 7: POLEMIC AGAINST CHRISTIANITY (V. 118–128)**

118. The Christians say that you conducted raids, but that God’s Messengers
Were not sent to kill souls nor to shed blood.

119. This is ignorance, the delusion of dreams, and sophistry,
   For you conquered by the sword only after you conquered by the pen.

120. Only after every man of high degree came to you of his own accord,
   Was the sword charged with subduing the ignorant masses,

121. For if you meet evil with goodness, you will not withstand it;
   But if you meet it with evil, it will be cut down.

122. So ask meek Christianity how often it has drunk
   The bitter colocynth of wanton tyrants’ lusts,

123. A prey to paganism that persecuted it
   And at every turn attacked with fury.

124. Were it not for the protectors who took up the sword in its defense,
   Its kindness and mercy would have been to no avail.

125. Were it not for Jesus’ high rank with Him who sent him
   And a sacred bond established to the Spirit from the beginning of time,

126. His noble and inviolate body would have been nailed to the cross’s
   two boards
   And his tormentor would have felt no dread or fright.

127. But the Messiah was too great for this! It was his enemy who suffered
   on the cross,
   For punishment is in proportion to one’s sins and crimes.
128. Jesus, the Prophet’s brother and inspired by God, holds an honored rank
Above the heavens but below God’s Throne.

[66]

Part 7: Polemic against Christianity serves largely as an introduction—or apologia—for Part 8: Defense/Praise of Jihād and the Prophet’s Military Campaigns (ghazawāt). It is particularly interesting to compare Shawqi’s part 8 with al-Būṣīrī’s counterpart (BB part 8), which is pure and unabashed praise and celebration of the Prophet’s military prowess and accomplishments without any need for apology or explanation, as indeed the traditional prophetic epithet “Prophet of Fierce Battle(s)” (nabī al-malḥamah/al-malāḥim) indicates. It is indicative of the degree to which the colonial subject’s identity is formed by the colonizer and the defensive anticolonial posture of Neo-Classical poetry that Shawqi feels obliged to preface his praise of the Prophet’s jihād with a refutation of Christian anti-Islamic polemic, namely their claim that God’s messengers should promote peace (like Jesus), not war (like Muḥammad). Shawqi exposes the hypocrisy of their deluded claims in a largely self-explanatory argument (118–128). The poet’s vindication of Islam is set out in two basic steps: Muḥammad conquered by the sword only after he conquered by the pen—that is, as a last resort—while men of rank converted to Islam of their own accord (119–120); and that meekness cannot prevail in the face of violence, but rather violence must be met with violence for the sake of righteousness—as, indeed, the persecution of the early Christians has shown (121–124). He concludes in verses 125–128 with a reiteration of the Islamic doctrines concerning Jesus: that he was a prophet, but was not crucified, and his rank, though exalted, is below that of Muḥammad, as verses 128 so succinctly states (see this in light of v. 90: Muḥammad, alone of God’s prophets, approached the Throne).

- Part 8: Defense/Praise of Jihād and the Prophet’s Military Campaigns (vv. 129–141)

129. You, Muḥammad, taught the Muslims everything of which they were ignorant,
Even how to do battle and honor the covenants of war.

130. You called them to a jihād by which they won dominion,
For war is the basis of the order of the world and of its nations.
131. But for jihād we never would have seen, through time’s calamities,
Long-standing columns nor steadfast buttresses.

132. The evidence for this is clear in age after age,
Whether eras of enlightenment or benighted times.59

133. Of old, some thrones declined while others were erected;
Without bombs they would never have been breached or cracked.

134. The followers of Jesus have prepared every weapon of destruction,
While we have prepared for nothing but to be destroyed.

135. Muhammad, whenever you were called to battle, you arose
Hurling warriors like lions, while God hurled demons like meteors
from the sky.

136. Beneath your battle-standard there gathered every warrior
Avenging for God, advancing to meet Him, determined,

137. Glorifying God, his heart ablaze with passion to meet Him,
Mounted on a battle-steed like lightning’s blaze,

138. Who, if he encountered time itself trying to get past him,
Then shot the arrow of his determination at its mount, time would
not budge.

139. They are gleaming white swords, notched from combat;
They are the swords of God, not Indian blades.

140. How many a man, when you searched the battle-dust for dead,
Died true to his solemn promise and loyal to his oath!

141. Were it not that God bestowed His gifts on some more than on others,
Men would not differ in rank and worth.

It is only after the poet has refuted imperialist Christian claims, pointing
out that the early Christianity’s kindness and meekness led only to perse-
cution until its protectors took up the sword in its defense (vv. 123–124), that
Shawqi feels free to launch into his praise of Muhammad’s ghazawāt (raids,
military campaigns) and the role of jihād in establishing and spreading
Islam. Even here, however, verses 130–134 are essentially defensive and po-
lemical. Declaring that war is the way of the world and the means by which
dominions are won and civilizations developed, Shawqi clinches his argu-
ment in verse 134, exposing with bitter sarcasm the hypocrisy of “Christian
meekness.” The irony of Christian violence versus Islamic passivity is cap-
tured with biting rhetorical, in this case morphological, precision in the contrast of the active (Form I active participle: *fā'il* *qāsimah* “crushing, destroying, shattering” [blow or weapon]) for the Christians, as opposed to the passive (Form VII—this form is passive in meaning—active participle: *munfa'il* *munqaśim* “crushed, destroyed, shattered” for the Muslims: the Christians have all the weapons of destruction, while the Muslims wait passively to be destroyed. Shawqi’s morphological contrast of active and passive forms provides a stunningly accurate formulation of the colonial experience, one that finds its prose counterpart in Albert Hourani’s epitome (cited above), “The essence of imperialism is to be found in a moral relationship—that of power and powerlessness. . . .”

This passage, and verse 134 in particular, elicits from al-Bishrī a compelling and vehement prose diatribe against the hypocrisy of the Christian West (which, in light of the intervening century, seems almost prophetic):

In this verse the poet intends to compare the people of the Christian religion with those of the Islamic religion. So he mentions that today the adherents of Christianity, “the religion of tranquility and peace,” are the people of military power who devote themselves to preparing lightning-like weapons of destruction in wars, until it seems as if they have no occupation other than extracting gold from the bowels of the earth and spending it on iron and steel factories to produce the instruments of war throughout the length of the land and the breadth of the sea. They have mastered the manifold forms of destruction and demolition, and nothing—not the oaths they have sworn nor any virtues of their character—has prevented them from destroying the people and visiting scourges upon them, from behind their backs or under their feet, *until they have subjugated the very winds* (*taskhīr al-riyāḥ*) so they can rain down upon their heads every crushing disaster. While the people of the Islamic religion, whom their oppressors accuse of loving conquest and jihād, and whose reputation they besmirch by claiming they love nothing more than fighting and battle and the taste of human blood, today *they* are the people of tranquility and peace. Far be it from them to even come close to the people of the Christian religion in a contest for love of conquest and war, or even begin to match them in amassing weapons or in devising the instruments of war. (WN v. 134) [emphasis mine]

The passage is especially effective for the Muslim reader in its use of expressions associated with the unfettered might and dominion of
Sulaymān (King Solomon) as he is described in the Qurʾān, Qurʾānic commentary, and popular Islamic legends of the prophets (qisas al-anbiyāʾ). In the Islamic tradition Sulaymān was endowed by Allāh with supernatural powers. He knew the language of birds and animals (QK 27:16, 19); unruly winds were subjected to his command (“Then We subjected the wind to him so that it would blow gently according to his command wherever he directed it” [fa-sakhkharnā lahu al-rīḥa tajrī bi-ʾamrihi rukhāʾan ḥaythuʾaṣāba], QK:38:36); a fountain of molten brass was put at his service (QK 34:12); and he subdued the jinn to do his bidding, to work on his building projects (QK 34:12–13). His vast and irresistible army was composed of men, jinn, and birds (QK 27:17). The awe and horror that the Muslims feel at the (almost) supernatural power of European economic, industrial, and military might are intensified by the evocation of the cosmic and God-given “superpower” of its Islamic analog and the realization that such might is not in the hands of a prophet of Islam and forerunner of Muḥammad, but rather in those of their arch-foe—the Christian West. In other words, the battle takes on cosmic proportions. There is also a subliminal logic evinced by al-Bishrī’s shrewd phraseology. For inasmuch as Sulaymān is a mythic-symbolic prefiguration or analog of the Prophet Muḥammad, al-Bishrī’s Qurʾānic phrase points to Muḥammad as the Islamic counterforce to the Christian West, which is precisely where the poem takes us.

The humiliation and passivity of the contemporary Muslim world provides the perfect foil for the Prophet’s bold military action. Thus, with verse 135 there is a dramatic transition from the first person contemporary Muslim submissiveness of verse 134 to direct address of the Prophet responding to the call to war. The rhetorically effective contrast of active and passive voices (“whenever you were called by God to battle . . . you arose”) stresses both the Prophet’s divine mission and military action. The parallel structure of the Prophetic and divine “hurling” (tarmī . . . yarmī Allāhu) of weapons (v. 135) again reinforces the divine agency behind the Prophet’s actions, in a manner that invokes both Qurʾānic and poetic antecedents: “It was not you who slew them, but God slew them, and you did not hurl when you hurled, but God hurled . . .” (fa-lam taqtulūhum wa-lākinna Allāha qatalahum wa-mā ramayta ʿidh ramayta wa-lākinna Allāha ramā [QK 8:17]) and Abū Tammām’s famous Amorium qaṣidah (v. 41):
God hurled you against Amorium’s two towers and destroyed them; If anyone other than God had hurled you, he would have missed the mark.  

Verse 135 opens a passage (135–140) describing the Prophet and his Muslim warriors in battle that stands out for its explicit employ of the heightened rhetorical style of the High ‘Abbāsid panegyric. What is most interesting here is that we are dealing with a double-layered imitation. For Shawqī’s model al-Būṣirī, as we have seen in chapter 2, casts his Burdah Part 8: The Messenger’s Jihād and Military Campaigns quite precisely in the style and motifs terms of a High ‘Abbāsid military panegyr. Thus Shawqī is imitating al-Būṣirī, who is in turn imitating Abū Tammām and his ilk. What is curious about this is that the Neo-Classical poets most often preferred to emulate and write contrafactions of High ‘Abbāsid panegyrists in the first place. Therefore, although Shawqī has initially chosen a medieval Post-Classical model for his contrafaction, he shares with his model al-Būṣirī the appropriation of High ‘Abbāsid “hegemonic discourse.” This is true for even the lyrical sections of Nahj al-Burdah, as discussed above, but is particularly striking in this martial section, as is likewise true of the martial sections of al-Būṣirī’s Burdah and Ṣafī al-Dīn al-Ḥillī’s Bādī‘īyyah (chapter 2, BB part 8).

Achieving its consummate expression in his celebrated ode to the Caliph al-Mu’taṣim on the conquest of the Byzantine city of Amorium (223/838), Abū Tammām’s distinctive style of self-confident and robust rhetorical derring-do, termed bādi‘, and the Amorium Ode in particular, came to be synonymous with Islamic triumph and triumphalism. Therefore, not only is the use of this distinctive style in itself rhetorically powerful, but it inevitably, and indeed essentially, evokes the Islamic triumphalism of the Amorium Ode, and in particular the spectacular and eternal victory of Islam over Christianity that that ode conveys. Verse 136 recalls Abū Tammām’s oft-cited and oft-imitated “signature verse” describing the caliph al-Mu’taṣim (Amorium, v. 37):

Directed by one relying on God, avenging for God, Striving and yearning toward God.
Likewise, in verse 138, the convoluted playing with abstractions—personifying time, giving it a mount, then shooting “determination” at it—are typical of Abū Tammām’s al-madhhab al-kalāmī (“dialectical mannerism”), what I have termed elsewhere “mental gymnastics.” What is involved in such expressions, however, is not an empty rhetorical display. Rather, in Abū Tammām’s and other ‘Abbāsid panegyrist’s verses of caliphal panegyric, the ability of the patron (mamdūh) to defeat (the personifications of) time/fate (dahr, zamān [=death]) conveys a message of foreordained victory, cosmic power, and immortality (see chapter 2 and the introduction). It is not surprising that such rhetoric should make its way into madiḥ nabawī. Al-Bishrī cites as an antecedent of Shawqī’s verse 138 another example from Abū Tammām:

You rained down upon them such arrows of resolve
That if you had shot them in battle at fate’s foundation, it would have crumbled. (WN v. 138)

What needs to be noted here is that the tradition of transposition of the High ‘Abbāsid badi‘ style to the passages describing the military campaigns of the Prophet in madiḥ nabawī seems to have been initiated, or at least popularized, by al-Būṣīrī and to have become a standard stylistic practice of the genre, as we see, for example, in the Abū Tammāmian style of badi‘ that dominates the passages on the Prophet’s battles in Şafi al-Dīn al-Hilī’s Badi‘iyyah.

Verse 139 employs the convention of terming the Muslim warriors who fight in the path of Allāh “God’s swords” (asyuf Allāh), evoking verse 48 of Ka‘b’s Sū‘ād Has Departed, which describes Muḥammad as “one of the swords of God, an Indian blade unsheathed” (muḥannadun min suyūfī Allāhi maslūlu). But curiously, Shawqī denies them the equally conventional epithet muḥannad (Indian, referring to the prized Indian tempered steel), declaring them emphatically “not Indian” (lā al-hindiyyatu). Yaseen Noorani has suggested in a similar Neo-‘Abbāsid context that the conventional muḥannad has now become associated with Britain’s Indian subalterns who fight on her behalf. Thus with his own badi‘-twist, Shawqī adds a contemporary colonial political commentary: the Muslims fight out of commitment to their religion (as is indeed made emphatic in verse 140),
whereas British Indian troops are—as the (subliminal) change of a dot in *khudhum* (cutting) to *khadam* (servants) suggests—mere servile subalterns. As we observed in our discussion of the cognate passage of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah (BB part 8) and as Shawqī’s anticolonial stance requires, the Muslim warriors fighting at Muḥammad’s side serve synecdochically for all Muslims fighting jihād in the service of Islam.

Verses 140 and 141 work in combination to convey the idea that the highest rank and glory are achieved by those who fall in jihād—who give their lives to honor their promise to fight unflinchingly *fi sabīl Allāh* (in the way of God). If we insist on our reading of verse 139, then this must be read in contrast to those subalterns, such as the Indians, who fight and die in abject service to their British overlords.

**PART 9: THE SHARĪʿAH (VV. 142–154)**

142. Muḥammad, with your Sharīʿah you made the minds of men
Burst forth with all kinds of knowledge, like a bounding sea.

143. Its gem-like essence sparkles round God’s resplendent Unity
As jewels adorn a sword, or embroidery a banner.

144. It is a law of toleration, around which hover souls and minds;
He who thirsts for wisdom is drawn to its sweet water.

145. It is the light on the path, by which the worlds are guided;
It is men’s surety in the youth of time and time’s old age.

146. Fate and its decrees run according to the sentence it hands down,
Which is forever in force and inscribed upon creation.

147. When the dominion of Islam arose and spread
Its kingdoms walked in the Sharīʿah’s perfect light.

148. It taught a nation of desert-dwellers,
After herding sheep and camels, to herd Caesars.

149. How many a domain, proud in its might, east and west,
Did the Reformers, enacting the Sharīʿah, build?

150. For the sake of knowledge, justice, and civilization,
They resolved upon their actions and girded their loins.

151. How quickly they conquered the world for their religion
And led mankind to drink of the Sharīʿah’s cool sweet water.
152. As mankind’s guides, they followed the Sharī‘ah;
   Through them it became man’s clear path to prosperity.

153. Time cannot topple the column their justice erected,
   But the wall of tyranny, if you touch it, will collapse.

154. They obtained felicity in both abodes,
   And all partook of the general distribution of God’s favor.

Shawqī pursues his polemic with a twelve-verse passage devoted to the Sharī‘ah (Islamic law). This passage is the thematic counterpart to the first passage of Part 5: Sīrah Themes, on the Birth of the Prophet (vv. 75–82), where Shawqī dwelt on the tyranny, oppression, and ignorance that reigned before the coming of the Prophet. In part 9, by contrast, he demonstrates the justice, prosperity, and learning brought by Islamic rule under the Sharī‘ah. The choice and meaning of the term in this context require some clarification—or at least exploration. In many ways, this passage seems to be Nahj al-Burdah’s counterpart to al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah Part 6: The Noble Qur‘ān (BB vv. 88–104). For al-Būṣīrī, in keeping with classical and medieval Islamic theology, as well as rhetorical precepts, the Qur‘ān is Muḥammad’s evidentiary miracle, the proof of his Prophethood and of the Islamic faith. In my reading of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, part 6 constitutes the culmination of the panegyric and the literary centerpiece of the poem. Although Shawqī duly recognizes the preeminence of the Qur‘ān as Muḥammad’s evidentiary miracle (NB part 4, vv. 69–71), nevertheless the Sharī‘ah is given pride of place. Shawqī has essentially shifted the balance of his poetic intentions in Nahj al-Burdah to the politics of this world—the dunyā half of the al-dīn wa-al-dunyā formulation. Hence his emphasis on the Sharī‘ah—that is, the institutional means for the establishment of Islamic dominion and the perpetuation of an Islamic polity. I argue, in other words, that Shawqī foregrounds the Sharī‘ah rather than the Qur‘ān because of his concern, in Nahj al-Burdah, with the Iḥyā‘ Project of the recuperation of the worldly dominion of Islam.

What does Shawqī mean by the Sharī‘ah? When we examine the attributes promulgated in this passage, it becomes clear that Shawqī’s vision, as we would expect from the Neo-Classical era, is deeply informed by the values of the Nahdah, the Arab Awakening, what we could probably accurately term Enlightenment values, i.e., Western humanistic
ideas. In Shawqi’s intellectual formation these have been received as part of the Nahḍah and Islamic reformist discourse concerning contemporary Western enlightenment, humanism, social justice, science, and progress, as opposed to current Eastern superstition, despotism, ignorance, and backwardness. His achievement here is to create, as did the Islamic reformers, an Arab-Islamic vision or model of justice, knowledge, and prosperity—in a word, civilization—that conceives of these values as essentially Islamic and as recuperable from Arab-Islamic history, especially of the Golden Age of the ʿAbbāsid caliphate. What is perhaps most interesting here is that by his exposure of the hypocrisy and violence of the Christian West in parts 7 and 8, Shawqi has stripped them of their moral claim to these liberal values, which he now reassigns to, or projects back upon, the Islamic past. This he accomplishes in two steps. First, in Part 9: The Sharīʿah, he establishes the principle; and second, in Part 10: The Glory of Baghdād, he adduces the historical evidence and model.

In its general understanding, the term Sharīʿah, “Within Muslim discourse . . . designates the rules and regulations governing the lives of Muslims, derived in principal [sic] from the Qurʿān and ḥadīth.” Shawqi refines this general usage to create his own Iḥyāʾ Project vision of the Sharīʿah as embodying the highest ideals of justice, knowledge, and civilization as understood in Western-derived humanist terms. His formulation is more intellectual or ideological than historical, but his purpose is clear: to propose an Arab-Islamic model—one for which he claims or creates a historical precedent—of enlightenment, humanism, and progress to counter both the current climate of oppression and backwardness of the Islamic world and the Christian West’s current domination and claim to a superior (vision of) civilization. Here we should cite once more Hourani’s remark cited above that these Reformist ideas “took place under the stimulus of European liberal thought, and led to the gradual reinterpretation of Islamic concepts so as to make them equivalent to the guiding principles of European thought.”

However much it is informed by contemporary Western expressions of enlightenment and humanistic values, Shawqi’s formulation must be understood above all in the context of his twin experience of the brutality, injustice, and hypocrisy of Western colonial domination, which professed universally recognized values such as knowledge, justice, etc., on
the one hand, and the appalling backwardness and ineptness of Ottoman rule, which claimed the Islamic caliphate, on the other.

It is worth noting, first of all, that Shawqi opens this section by binding the idea of the Sharī‘ah to knowledge and learning. This is thematically consistent with his understanding of Muhammad’s message, as he has described it toward the end of the Night Journey and Ascension passage of Part 5: Sirah Themes: “You have written out the sciences for both religion and the world,” etc. (vv. 91–92). Here in part 9, Shawqi employs a subtle etymological manipulation to combine sharī‘ah in the sense of “path to water” with the image of a (metaphorical) “sea of knowledge” (v. 142). His further images, too, are grounded in the classical lexical and etymological understanding of sharī‘ah:

Shari‘ah signifies a place of descent to water or a way to water, and signifies al-Dīn ([Islamic] religion), because it is a way to the means of eternal life. . . . The religious law of God; consisting of such ordinances as those of fasting and prayer and pilgrimage and the giving the poor-rate and marriage and other acts of piety, or of obedience to God, or of duty to Him, and to men. . . . [italics in original] 68

In terms of images, Shawqi’s passage plays on this well-established etymological and semantic link: depictions of the Sharī‘ah as “the way to water”—that is life, nourishment, eternal life, salvation, etc. Thus the Sharī‘ah is depicted as “a bounding sea bursting with all kinds of knowledge” (zājīrin bi-ṣunūfī al-‘ilmi multaṣīmī) (v. 142); “sweet water of wisdom” (salsalān min ḥikmatin) (v. 144); “cool sweet water” (salsāliḥā al-shabīmi) (v. 151); and further, as a “light on the path by which the worlds are guided” (nūru al-sabīli yūsāsu al-‘ālamūna bihā) (v. 145), and again, the Islamic kingdoms walked “in its perfect light” (fi nūriḥā al-tamīmī) (v. 147). The most striking rhetorical achievement is Shawqi’s use of the lexicon of humanistic values in his poetic formulation of the Sharī‘ah to create a total fusion of the concepts of humanism and Sharī‘ah: “minds bursting with all kinds of knowledge” (fajjarta al-‘uqūla . . . bi-ṣunūfī al-‘ilmi) (v. 142) must perhaps first be read as a response to the poet’s lament for the current state of ignorance of the Islamic world (v. 117); “wisdom” (ḥikmah) (v. 144); “knowledge, justice, civilization” (lil-‘ilmi wa-al-‘adli wa-al-tamdīnī) (150); and ultimately, in a term intimately associated with the Islamic call to prayer, but also in the Iḥyā‘ context of combating contemporary material as well as spiritual backwardness and poverty, “prosperity” (al-falāh) (v. 152).
Two particular elements can be highlighted as specific responses to the standard Christian and Western polemic against Islam: “tolerant” (samḥā; the adjectival form of the more common Form VI verbal noun tasāmuḥ, “[religious] tolerance”) (v. 144), in the first place evokes the traditional epithet of Islam as al-ḥanīfah al-samḥā (“the true tolerant religion,” i.e., Islam); but in the colonial context it takes on a further urgency in countering Christian claims to the contrary, and Christian cooption of the term. The second is the invocation of zamān (time or fate), which operates poetically as a synonym for dahr, thereby invoking the poetic convention of fate or time controlling all things (v. 146). Here, however, Shawqī follows the same bādī’-inspired model on which he constructed verse 138, in which the convention is overturned. There Shawqī declares that Muḥammad can stop inexorable fate dead in its tracks—that is, the divinely appointed and inspired Prophet determines the course of events, not blind fate. Similarly in verse 146, in Shawqī’s Iḥyā’ formulation of the course of history, “time” or “fate” itself and its decrees (akhām) are subject to the decision or sentence (ḥukm) that the Sharīʿah hands down. That is to say, Islamic dominion and governance in accordance with the Sharīʿah (now understood to promote justice, prosperity, and the promotion of culture and science [below, part 10]), not inexorable fate, determines the course of events.

Conversely, we are to understand that the current state of decline of the Islamic world is not an act of fate, nor even something divinely preordained, but rather the result of failure to enact the Islamic principles of the Sharīʿah. Shawqī meticulously constructs his verse 146 not to contradict divine determination of events; the second hemistich refers to a divine sentence (law, decree) that does not take the form of predestination resulting in fatalism and passivity. As I understand Shawqī’s formulation, in the Sharīʿah, Allāh has instituted or inscribed a law that is in force or effective (nāfidh, literally “piercing”—almost an antonym of “passive”) among His creation. Therefore, like “natural law,” divine law is inexorable (“What goes up must come down”; if a polity enacts and follows the Sharīʿah, it will prosper), but it is not predestined. Verse 146 must therefore be understood as Shawqī’s Nahdah/Iḥyā’ response to Western Christian claims that Islam is responsible for the fatalism and passivity, the mentality of blind imitation (taqlid), and the resultant stagnation (jumūd) that characterize the Islamic world of his day. For Shawqī,
Islam—particularly the Sharī‘ah as the embodiment or enactment of Islam in the world—is not the problem, but the solution.

In keeping with Shawqi’s political vision, the elements of dominion and conquest are included here (vv. 147, 149, and 151). What is of note, however, is that, in contrast to the brutality, materialism, and hypocrisy of Western imperialism, Islamic dominion is presented as the vehicle for the promotion of justice, prosperity, and civilization. (In fairness, I can think of no empire in history that claimed to be spreading oppression, poverty, and ignorance, though many did, and are.) In Shawqi’s formulation, the Islamic worldly conquest was “for the sake of knowledge, justice, and civilization” (v. 149–150). Ironically, the concept of “civilization” (al-tamdīn) is itself a derivation from the West. Hourani writes, concerning the seminal Reformist thought of al-Afghānī:

At this point we become aware of a novelty in al-Afghani’s thought, or at least a new emphasis. The centre of attention is no longer Islam as a religion, it is rather Islam as a civilization. The aim of man’s acts is not the service of God alone; it is the creation of human civilization flourishing in all its parts. The idea of civilization is indeed one of the seminal ideas of nineteenth-century Europe, and it is through al-Afghani above all that it reaches the Islamic world. It was given its classical expression by Guizot, in his lectures on the history of civilization in Europe, and al-Afghani had been impressed by him. The book was translated into Arabic in 1877, and al-Afghani inspired ‘Abduh to write an article welcoming the translation and expounding the doctrine of the book. 69

It is of note, too, that the builders of the Islamic empire(s), what we would normally term “conquerors” or “rulers,” are termed muṣliḥūn (v. 149), which conveys the meaning of “reformers,” “restorers,” “those who bring peace and prosperity.” In Shawqi’s vision of Islamic dominion, might and justice are inseparable. Here, too, we can see Shawqi’s formulation as derivative of the ideas of al-Afghānī as they had penetrated Egyptian intellectual thought of his time. Hourani writes of al-Afghānī’s formulation of Islamic civilization:

In its great days, the umma had all the necessary attributes of a flourishing civilization: social development, individual development, belief in reason, unity and solidarity; later it lost them. Being of fiery and political temperament, he tended to see both the greatness and the decline in political and military terms, but in reality the military successes of early Islam
were for him only a symbol of the flowering of Islamic civilization. What had once been achieved could be achieved again: on the one hand by accepting those fruits of reason, the sciences of modern Europe, but also, and more fundamentally, by restoring the unity of the umma.70

As I see it, in Shawqī’s case at least, particularly within the context of the British military occupation of Egypt, the political and military successes of early Islam were not merely symbolic of the flowering of Islamic civilization, but part and parcel of it, just as any recuperation of Islamic civilization would require a political and/or military solution to the British occupation and Western domination in general.

In historical terms, then, Shawqī sees the Sharī‘ah, the earthly embodiment or enactment of Islam, as both the means and the ends for the rise of Arab-Islamic civilization, through which the sheep- and camel-herders of the desert learned how to “herd Caesars” and rule over a just and prosperous realm (v. 148). Al-Bishrī spells this out for us in his commentary on verse 148:

This verse and the one before it serve as proof for the preceding verses about the universality of the Islamic Sharī‘ah and its revealing the means for success and prosperity [al-fawz wa-al-falāḥ] for all mankind in every age and in every circumstance. For the first of mankind to receive it were the Arabs, who were at that time a people of the barren desert,71 mired in utter ignorance, and abased by grinding poverty. They had become so familiar with the means of death and destruction and committing outrages against one another that there was no one left among them who was not seeking vengeance or sought for it—so much so that if it were not for their paying the blood-wite, there would not have been a man left standing in the Arab Peninsula. Such was their state when Allāh the Most High sent them the Sharī‘ah of Islam: then the corrupt among them became virtuous, the poor became rich, the ignorant became learned, their few became many, their humbled became mighty. So, when Allāh granted them victory, subjected the nations of the earth to them, and put the reins of kingdoms in their hands, they administered their domains soundly on the basis of religion, treated their subjects well in accordance with the Sharī‘ah, and led them to the utmost felicity and prosperity.—Not to mention the brilliant civilization they achieved in their rule over al-Andalus, the flourishing of the sciences and arts in their age, and the industries they promoted for the people, etc., all of which the people of the West took from them and made the basis of their civilization with which they try to subdue and confound us [yu‘ājizūnanā] today. (WN v. 148)
Of note are al-Bishrī’s subtle rhetorical employ of evocative Islamic diction in support of his Iḥyāʿ anticolonial manifesto. In Qurʿānic usage, the word fawz (success, triumph, victory) is invariably, in the formulae al-fawz al-ʿazīm (the great triumph; QK 4:13, etc.), al-fawz al-mubīn (the clear victory; QK 6:16, etc.), al-fawz al-kabīr (the big success, QK 85:11), an expression of salvation and felicity in the heavenly garden. The word falāḥ denotes simply prosperity or success, but through its use in the call to prayer (hayyā ʿalā al-ṣalāh, hayyā ʿalā al-falāḥ / Come to prayer, Come to salvation) has also come to connote salvation. In the Iḥyāʿ- determined context of al-Bishrī’s commentary, these words are redirected (or directed back) to their ordinary denotations to express the worldly success and prosperity, i.e., dominion and civilization, that the application of the Sharīʿah has conferred upon the Arabs. Likewise he effectively employs the Form III verb ʿājaza (to attempt to confound or debilitate) for Western attempts to subdue the Muslim world, as opposed to the Form IV verb ʿaʿjaza (to confound, debilitate), which in Islamic parlance is used for the confounding or debilitating effect of the Qurʿān on those who would dare to imitate it (the doctrinal principle of the inimitability of the Qurʿān, ʿījāz al-Qurʿān). Al-Bishrī is well aware of the irony of the West’s borrowings, especially in science and technology, from Arab-Islamic civilization now being turned against their source, but his subtle juxtaposition of the attemptive Form III ʿājaza for the West as opposed to the causative/effective Form IV ʿījāz of the Qurʿān/Islam leaves no doubt in our minds that he has put his faith in the ultimate victory of Islam.

Needless to say, Shawqi is presenting an idealized vision of Islamic history, one that derives from the Islamic Reformist and Arab Nahḍah identification of Islamic civilization with Western humanistic ideals. Verse 153 states with compelling eloquence the permanence of justice as opposed to the fragility of tyranny—a hopeful message to an oppressed people. Finally, part 9 concludes by declaring that those who conquered in the name of Islam and ruled in accordance with the Sharīʿah “achieved felicity in both abodes” (nālū al-saʿādata fī al-dārayni, v. 154). Again, we can understand this as an expression of Iḥyāʿ or Nahḍah ideas that rejected the backwardness and fatalism that aimed at felicity solely in the next world while settling for political, moral, and physical degradation in this one. At the same time, this celebration of a past Islamic dominion characterized by “knowledge, justice, and civilization” serves as a “silent
“hijā” or implied invective against the failed Islamic polities of Shawqī’s own day—his own Khedive and his despotic Ottoman sovereign.

In sum, we must understand Shawqī’s attempt to identify Islam with justice and knowledge as his response to the colonial period’s characterization of Islam, or Islamic societies, as despotic and backward. In this regard, it is of note that Shawqī makes no attempt to defend the Islam of his own day, and its rulers, from these charges, but feels compelled to return to an idealized image of a Golden Age. Above all, in Shawqī’s formulation, justice, knowledge, and civilization are not presented as borrowings from Western humanist thought; rather he has construed them through a process of rhetorical fusion as essential, historically grounded, Islamic values. This he seeks to demonstrate in part 10.

PART 10: THE GLORY OF BAGHDĀD (vv. 155–164)

155. Forget the glories of Rome and Athens,
   For all the sapphires are in Baghdād, and all the pearls.

156. Let Chosroes and the Arch in which he took such pride
   Go up in smoke on the ashes of the Zoroastrian fires!

157. Don’t speak of Ramses, for the true sign of dominion
   Is instituting justice, not erecting pyramids.

158. Whenever Baghdād, the House of Peace, is mentioned,
   Rome, the House of Laws, throws up her hands in surrender.

159. Rome could not equal Baghdād in eloquence at council,
   Nor could she match her adjudication of disputes.

160. And the ranks of her Caesars never contained
   A Rashīd, or a Maʿmūn, or a Muʿtaṣīm,

161. Of those who, when their battalions went forth to conquer,
   Imposed their will upon the borders and the boundaries of the earth.

162. They held councils devoted to science and knowledge;
   Their intellect and understanding no man approached.

163. The scholars, when they addressed a session, bowed their heads
   In awe of knowledge, not in awe of sovereign power.

164. They poured forth so profuse a rain of bounty
   That the land knew no drought; its people knew no want.
In part 10, Shawqī takes the battle to the enemy to address the West and/or its supporters. He moves the celebration of justice and knowledge from the generalized depiction of Islamic civilization of Part 9: The Sharī‘ah to a more explicit celebration of what he sees as the pinnacle of Islamic civilization (dominion, justice, learning) in the early ‘Abbāsid Caliphate at Baghdād. In this respect we should keep in mind that the Nahḍah and Iḥyā‘ movement for the recuperation of the Islamic Ummah did not take the period of the Prophet and his Companions as their model, but rather, in keeping with their need to respond to current Western humanistic ideas of civilization, they turned to the periods of greatest “worldly” achievement, in terms of dominion, learning, and scientific and literary production. For this they chose the ‘Abbāsid and Andalusian periods. The poet perfunctorily dismisses the boasts of the West—Rome and Athens—and also the Persian Chosroes and his Arch at Ctesiphon, and even Ramses and the Pyramids of Ancient Egypt. Baghdād outshone them all in the two principles Shawqī most esteems: justice and the promotion of science and knowledge. Among the ‘Abbāsid caliphs he singles out three for special mention: Hārūn al-Rashīd (r. 170/786–193/809), whose name, perhaps more in the popular imagination of the Thousand and One Nights than in the historical record, is associated with the Golden Age of Islamic glory and justice; al-Ma‘mūn (r. 198/813–218/833), who is renowned for the scientific and cultural accomplishments of his rule, notably the establishment of the Bayt al-Ḥikmah (House of Wisdom) academy for science, especially the translation of Hellenistic philosophical and scientific works from Greek into Arabic, and the theological debates at his court; and al-Mu‘taṣim (r. 218/833–227/842), whose name suits the rhyme, but also, through whose military conquests, such as that over the Byzantine Christian city of Amorium, or more precisely their poetic celebrations by panegyrists such as Abū Tammām, became proverbial of Arab-Islamic dominion (see above). In brief: Hārūn al-Rashīd, especially in his folkloric persona, exemplifies justice; al-Ma‘mūn, the promotion of science; and al-Mu‘taṣim, military conquest, particularly against a Christian aggressor. The three together thus epitomize Shawqī’s Iḥyā‘-based conception of ideal Islamic rule.

The three-verse passage 161–163 encapsulates Shawqī’s vision of the early ‘Abbāsid period as a model Islamic polity: it begins with military conquest and the expansion of Islamic dominion (v. 161), but joins this
immediately to the holding of scientific councils and the expansion of knowledge (v. 162). Perhaps the most compelling expression of Shawqi’s vision of an Islamic Enlightenment is verse 163, describing scholars bowing their heads as they addressed the caliphs’ sessions: “In awe of knowledge, not in awe of sovereign power.” The last two of these verses are striking for the sheer intensity of Ihya'-inspired diction of science and learning: science, knowledge, intellect, understanding, scholars, knowledge (‘ilm, ma’rifah, ‘aql, fuhum, ‘ulamā’, al-‘ilm) (vv. 162–163).

The concluding verse (164) works at several levels to encapsulate the virtue of ‘Abbāsid rule: in the more immediate sense, the “pouring forth of profuse rain” means the lavish gifts and prizes that the ‘Abbāsid caliphs bestowed on the scholars and men of letters who adorned their courts and attended their sessions. The meaning quickly expands, however, to refer more broadly and archetypally to the fertility and prosperity, both literal and figurative, of their realms. At this point we should notice that Part 9: The Sharī‘ah and Part 10: The Glory of Baghdād both follow the same three-step conceptual trajectory: the Islamic conquest of vast domains; the institution of an Islamic rule that promotes justice, science, and civilization; and, as a result, an Islamic polity that prospers both materially and spiritually.

We must keep in mind, however, that Shawqi’s presentation of the early ‘Abbāsid caliphate is idealized, or at least extremely selective, and does not reflect the political, military, and religious turmoil of the period in question: Hārūn al-Rashīd’s massacre of the Barmakid vizierial family and the roots of the disintegration of the empire under his rule; the civil war between his sons al-Amīn and al-Ma’mūn; the perennial Islamic controversy over the Mu’tazilite doctrines, with the accompanying Miḥnah (inquisition) introduced by al-Ma’mūn and pursued by al-Mu’tasim; as well as the many military uprisings of the period, to give but a few examples. That is, Part 10: The Glory of Baghdād falls under the rubric of panegyric, not history, and like all Arab-Islamic panegyric presents a “legitimizing vision” (Tarif Khalidi’s term) of its subject. It is worth noting that the original vision of an ‘Abbāsid Golden Age is the creation of the great ‘Abbāsid court panegyrist. What is striking in Nahj al-Burdah is that the subject of the panegyric of part 10 is not the dedicatee of the poem, Shawqi’s patron the Khedive ‘Abbās Ḥilmi II, but a long-gone Golden Age.
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Taken together, Part 9: The Sharī‘ah and Part 10: The Glory of Baghdād, one more conceptual, the other more historically embodied, constitute Shawqī’s vision of an alternative empire or imperialism, one that combines the enlightened humanist values professed but not enacted by the West with the Islamic legitimacy and divine sanction currently claimed by the backward, debilitated and debilitating, Ottomans. That is, within the contemporary political context, Shawqī on the one hand challenges the hypocrisy of the West/British by establishing a historical (Islamic) precedent for the political enactment and realization of the values to which they merely give lip service. With respect to the Ottomans, Shawqī’s reaching to the distant Islamic past for a vision of legitimate and enlightened rule constitutes an unstated challenge to—or denial of—their legitimacy. Certainly as official panegyrist to the Khedival court, Shawqī would have been expected to portray the Islamic legitimacy and effectiveness of his patron’s rule, and his allegiance to it, through an idealized, indeed adulatory, vision of his realm. His silence in this respect is an eloquent condemnation. We are reminded in this respect of al-Buḥtūrī’s renowned Sīniyyah describing the Arch of Chosroes, a similar case in which, I argue, the poet’s silence concerning his contemporary ‘Abbāsid masters and rhapsodic praise of the lost Persian past can only be read as a complaint against or condemnation of his erstwhile ‘Abbāsid patrons.76

PART 11: THE ORTHODOX CALIPHS (vv. 165–176)

165. God’s Caliphs are too great to be measured against others,
So don’t compare them with mere worldly kings.

166. For who among mankind resembles al-Fārūq* [*=‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb]
In justice, or humble, modest Ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz?* [*=‘Umar II]

167. Or the Imām ‘Ali when he scattered a crowd
With tears that gathered in the corners of his men’s eyes?

168. ‘Ali, abundant sweet water in science and letters,
Victorious and vigorous in war and peace.

169. Or ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān, in his hand the Qur’ān
Over which he bends, yearning like a mother over her new-weaned babe,
170. Gathering its verses in order, and arranging them
In a string of pearls, never to be scattered, on the neck of the nights.

171. Two wounds in the heart* of Islam have never healed: [*lit. “liver”]
The wound of the martyred ʿUthmān and the wound that bloodied
the Holy Book.

172. The valor of Abū Bakr can never be doubted
After his glorious achievements in deeds and services.

173. With resolve and determination he protected Religion
From trials that tested the patience of mature, forbearing men.

174. Trials that led astray even the right-guided ʿUmar al-ʿArūq
Concerning death, which is certain and not subject to doubt.

175. ʿUmar contended with the people, drawing his Indian blade,
Concerning the greatest of prophets, how could he not live forever?

176. Don’t blame him for being bewildered:
The beloved Prophet had died, and an ardent lover, despite himself,
erred.

Verse 165, on the superiority of God’s caliphs to ordinary rulers, serves
as a transition from the ʿAbbāsid caliphs of Baghdād in the preceding
part 10 to the Orthodox Caliphs (al-Khulafāʾ al-Rāshidūn), i.e., the first
four caliphs to succeed the Prophet Muḥammad in leading the Islamic
state, in part 11. These are, in chronological order: Abū Bakr (r. 11–
13/632–634); ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb (r. 13–23/634–644); ʿUthmān ibn
ʿAffān (r. 23–35/644–656); and ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālīb (r. 35–39 or 40/656–659
or 660). The invocation of the Orthodox Caliphs serves to complement
the praise of the ʿAbbasids of the preceding section. For although the
ʿAbbāsid and also Andalusian periods are celebrated as the pinnacles
of Islamic civilization and its attendant accomplishments in arts, sci-
ences, and governance, etc., the Sunnī Islamic construction of history
normally gives precedence to the Orthodox Caliphs in terms of close-
ness—physical, moral, and spiritual—to the Prophet and of establish-
ing the foundations of the Islamic polity. Further, inasmuch as the rec-
ognition of all four Orthodox Caliphs is Sunnī as opposed to Shiʿī
document (the Shiʿah consider the Prophet’s cousin and son-in-law ʿAlī
ibn Abī Ṭālīb the rightful successor to the Prophet and hence reject the
first three Orthodox Caliphs), part 11 serves as the poet’s Sunnī credo and pledge of allegiance, in keeping with the staunch Sunnism of the Ottoman Empire.

At this point we should note that the Ottoman sultans had long entertained claims to the title of caliph, which was explicitly proclaimed by Sultan ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd II. Although as suzerain of Shawqī’s patron, Khedive ʿAbbās Hīlmi II, Sultan ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd II had been the recipient of the poet’s panegyric, by the time of the composition of Nahj al-Burdah he had just been deposed by the Young Turks (1909) and replaced with Sultan Muḥammad V. Shawqī, while praising the Arab Orthodox and ʿAbbāsid caliphs, is as remarkably mute on the subject of the contemporary Ottoman title-holders as he is on his patron the Khedive.

Shawqī does not present the Orthodox Caliphs in a historical, chronological, or narrative form. Rather he presents rhetorical epitomes of their significance to Islamic history that, in the end, move chronologically backward to conclude with their response to the death of the Prophet. In verse 166, employing a rhetorical form of riddle with the pun word omitted, he invokes the two celebrated caliphs named ʿUmar without mentioning their shared name: “al-Fārūq” (traditionally taken to mean “he who distinguishes between truth and falsehood”) is the epithet of the second Orthodox Caliph, the stern and uncompromising ʿUmar [I] ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, “a driving force behind the early conquests and the creation of the early Islamic empire.”77 “Ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz” is ʿUmar I’s Umayyad namesake, the proverbially pious ʿUmar [II] ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz (r. 99–101/717–720), traditionally considered the saving grace of the otherwise worldly and self-indulgent Umayyad “kings.”78 Verse 167 introduces the Prophet’s cousin and son-in-law, the fourth caliph, ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib, through a complex word-play involving a pun on fadda (meaning both to shed tears and to disperse a crowd) and jinās (root-play) of muzdaḥam (crowded, gathered, crowded place) and muzdaḥīm (crowding, gathering). Al-Bishrī’s commentary is silent concerning the occasion to which this refers. It is related however, that when battles against “erring” Muslims ended, ʿAlī “showed his grief, wept for the dead, and even prayed over his enemies.”79 Further, as verse 168 relates, he was renowned for his eloquence, his learning, and his bravery in battle, the last evidenced by his epithet Ḥaydar, “lion.”80
Verses 169–171 encapsulate the identity of the third Orthodox Caliph, ʿUthmān ibn ʿAffān (r. 23–35/644–656), who is credited with ordering the first recension of the Qurʾānic text. It is said that his murder, which set off Islam’s First Civil War (al-Fitnah al-Ūlá), took place while he was reading the Qurʾān, so that his blood spilled on the Holy Book. In Nahj al-Burda, these events are not narrated, but rhetorically encapsulated. In verse 170, using diction and similes more often applied to poetry, Shawqi describes the now-ordered verses of the Qurʾān as forming a necklace on the neck of the nights (i.e., of time or fate), which is to say, an eternal order, never to be broken. Similarly, in a complex rhetorical interplay of figurative and literal wounds, Shawqi expresses not merely the “facts” of ʿUthmān’s murder but also its profoundly disturbing effect on the Islamic community. The image of spilled blood defiling the Qurʾān, here grammatically inverted for rhetorical emphasis, as al-Bishrī notes (WN v. 171; literally, “a wound bloodied by the Book,” jurḥun bi-al-kitābi damī), serves metonymically to express an outrage against Islam itself.

The closing verses (172–176) of part 11 open with the first caliph, who had also been during the Prophet’s lifetime his closest friend and staunchest ally and defender, Abū Bakr (r. 11–13/632–634; cf. The Miracle of the Cave, NB Part 5: Sirah Themes, vv. 94–99), before returning to the second caliph, ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb. Verse 173 may refer primarily to the major accomplishment of Abū Bakr’s caliphate, that is, his preserving the Islamic community through his dealing with the Ḥurūb al-Riddah (Wars of Apostasy) against tribes that withdrew their allegiance to the nascent Islamic state and religion when the Prophet died. However, the following verse (174) connects these “trials” to the crucial moment of Islamic religion and history. The death of the Prophet in the year 11/632 triggered a profound spiritual and political crisis in his community. Al-Bishrī relates from the Sirah of the Prophet that ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, when he heard people say that the Prophet had died, took up his sword and threatened to cut off their hands and feet. It was Abū Bakr who then arose and famously declared: “Let him who worships Muḥammad know that he is dead; let him who worships Allāh know that He lives forever.” (WN v. 175).

It is revealing to compare Ibn Hishām’s Al-Sīrah narration with Shawqi’s poetic presentation. In Al-Sīrah, ʿUmar’s disbelief expresses the incredulity of a religious community that, up until that moment, was defined by its Prophet’s guiding presence among them. The violence of
‘Umar’s response is an expression of his passion and devotion. However, in doctrinal, as well as narrative, terms it serves as a dramatic foil for Abū Bakr, the closest and most loyal of Muḥammad’s followers, to make the pronouncement that defines the Islamic faith—and that ultimately distinguishes it from Christianity—that the Prophet Muḥammad is a mortal and that Allāh alone is immortal and divine. In Shawqī’s passage the dramatic tension of the scene and the lapidary eloquence of Abū Bakr’s formulation are replaced by a subdued and sympathetic explanation of, or excuse for, ‘Umar’s error. The word-play in verse 174—concerning right guidance and error, certainty and ambiguity—sets the stage for the confusion and error of al-rāshid al-Fārūq (the rightly-guided one who distinguishes between truth and falsehood), and the closing verse, 176, then offers a plea for forgiveness for him, on the grounds that his fervent love for the Prophet was what led him to err.

Curiously, Part 11: The Orthodox Caliphs opens with the exaltation of the caliphs (v. 165) but, unlike Part 10: The Glory of Baghdaḍ, does not present an idealized vision of the justice and prosperity of their age. Instead, the blasphemous murder of the third caliph, ‘Uthmān, is mourned as a wound to Islam that will never heal (v. 171), and, moreover, the final lines about ‘Umar’s response to the Prophet’s death close the section on a melancholy note of ardent love, bewilderment, and error—and a plea for forgiveness, “Don’t blame him” (vv. 174–176). We can now begin to understand the reason for this curious emotional trajectory. The poet asks forgiveness for ‘Umar for the greatest of all Islamic sins, shirk (polytheism, assigning partners to Allāh, which is how Muslims understand the Christian belief in the divinity of Jesus, and how they would understand a claim for the immortality of Muḥammad); he pleads for this forgiveness on the grounds that the source of this error was ardent love for the Prophet.

In the context of the Nahḍah and the Islamic Reformist ideology of his period, Shawqī’s description of ‘Umar’s mistake is identical to that of the devout Muslims of the Post-Classical “Age of Decline” (‘Aṣr al-Inḥāṭ) and of the popular Islam of his own day, who were excessive in their veneration of the Prophet: they are ardent lovers of the Prophet who have nevertheless, out of bewilderment, erred. As the poet pleads for forgiveness for ‘Umar, it is really the excesses of the Post-Classical veneration of the Prophet that he has in mind. For certainly if the pious and noble rightly guided (al-rāshid) al-Fārūq, “he who distinguishes between truth and falsehood,”
Part 12: Benediction and Supplication (vv. 177–190)

177. O Lord, Your blessing and peace for as long as You desire
   Upon him who dwells at Your throne, the best of all Messengers.

178. Who kept prayer alive through the night,
   Uninterrupted except by tears of apprehension flowing down,

179. Glorifying You beneath the wing of night,
   Enduring sleeplessness and the pain of swollen feet.

180. His soul content, with no complaint of weariness,
   For if your love is true, it never grows weary.

181. And bless, my Lord, his family, the elect, among whom
   You set Muhammad, the banner of the House of the Ka’bah and of Mecca’s Sacred Precinct,

182. Their faces white when fate’s face is pitch-black;
   Their heads aloof from hot-headed fortune’s blows.

183. Bestow your best blessing on those four of the Prophet’s Companions
   Whose friendship was a bond inviolate,

184. Who rode forth when the Prophet summoned them
   To dreadful exploits and fearsome deeds,
185. Who stood fast when the very earth was shaking;  
Who laughed as they plunged, reckless, into perils.

186. O Lord, peoples before have risen from death,  
And nations have awakened from the slumber of privation.

187. Good- and ill-fortune and dominion, You are their master!  
Your decree confers both blessings and chastisements.

188. In its wisdom Your divine decree has passed its judgment on us.  
How noble is your countenance as judge and as avenger!

189. So, for the sake of the Messenger of the worlds, be gracious unto us;  
Do not deepen the humiliation of his people and their disgrace.

190. O Lord, in him you gave the Muslims a good beginning,  
Now complete your grace and grant them a good end.

In the final part of Nahj al-Burdah Shawqi returns to and completes the ritual and poetic requirements specific to madīḥ nabawī, especially as they have been established in the paradigm for the genre and the base-text for his poem, al-Būṣirī’s Burdah. At the same time, of course, he is fulfilling the broader generic dictates of the classical Arabic qaṣīdat al-madīḥ (panegyric ode) of the supplicatory sort, that vast literary tradition to which he, the major proponent of Arabic Neo-Classical poetry (Shīr al-Iḥyā’), and his Nahj al-Burdah belong. The Benediction (duʿāʾ), whereby the poet calls on Allāh to bless the mamdūḥ, grant him long life and prosperity, etc., is a standard feature of Arab-Islamic court panegyric. It is worth noting that despite its explicitly religious formulation, the duʿāʾ functions, perhaps above all politically, as a sort of declaration or oath of allegiance. This is especially clear if we keep in mind the awful efficacy attributed to such speech acts as the blessing and curse in traditional societies.

It is crucial to remember that in Arabic panegyric the Benediction is a prayer to Allāh to bless, protect, and prolong the life of the patron to whom the praise poem is addressed—that is, the mamdūḥ, as well as his progeny, etc. In court panegyric, the Supplication (stated or otherwise) consists of the poet supplicating the patron. In madīḥ nabawī, then, the standard as set by and seen in al-Būṣirī’s Burdah is that the Supplication consists of the poet asking the Prophet for intercession (ṣafāʾah) on Judgment Day, and the Benediction is, in the first place, for Allāh to bless
the Prophet Muḥammad and, further, his family. The benediction is then often extended to call down Allāh’s blessing upon the Companions of the Prophet, upon the Orthodox Caliphs in Sunnī madiḥ nabawī, to the Muslims in general, and often to the poet himself and his family. Let us remember, too, that, as we have established in general (see chapters 1 and 2, and chapter 3, Part 3: Repentance, Submission, Supplication, vv. 39–46) the parts that I have termed the “ritual core” of the poem, which are performative in nature, are characterized by rhetoric that is simple, direct, transparent, and highly emotively charged.

In Part 12: Benediction and Supplication, then, Shawqī achieves a strikingly moving and effective ritual and emotional climax in what is perhaps both the most traditional and, at the same time, most original passage of Nahj al-Burdah. Part 12 is clearly set off from the preceding Part 11: The Orthodox Caliphs. Part 11 is constructed of third-person encapsulations of the Orthodox Caliphs and thus addressed to the reader. The change of tone and direction is signaled in the opening of part 12 by the emotive and dramatic second-person address to Allāh, “O Lord” (yā Rabbi, literally “O my Lord” [v. 177]), a phrase whose repetition in this part of the poem (vv. 186, 190) serves to build momentum toward the final climax. This use of apostrophe is comparable to Shawqī’s “O Aḥmad” (v. 100) to create an effective and dramatic opening to Part 6: Metapoetic Recapitulation of Prophetic Praise.

The Benediction (duʿāʾ—the term denotes as well any personal prayer) begins with the tašliyah (God bless him [the Prophet] and give him peace), the speech act that, as we discussed with regard to al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah, is an essential ritual component in traditional Islam to guarantee the efficacy of a prayer (chapter 2, part 10). In verse 177, therefore, Shawqī is explicitly following obligatory religious as well as poetic (ritual) practice. At the same time, the Benediction (vv. 177 to 185), which like all benedictions and curses is a speech act, is a carefully formulated declaration of religious and political allegiances. Again, this is not description, but the performance of the speech act of declaring allegiance, that is, establishing a spiritual and political bond of mutual obligation between the poet and those upon whom he calls down Allāh’s blessing. The poet’s allegiances are hierarchically ranked and exclusive.

Allāh, as the source of all the blessings for which the poet pleads, is first. At the head of the list to be blessed comes, of course, the Prophet
Muhammad, the prophet nearest to God’s throne and the best of God’sMessengers (v. 177). Muhammad is invoked here, not for his miracles ormessage or military prowess, as in earlier parts of Nahj al-Burdah, butas the exemplar of pious devotion stemming from his sincere love forGod. Al-Būṣīrī’s image and diction of the Prophet’s praying through thenight until his feet became swollen (BB v. 29) is quite explicitly echoedin verses 178–179: alḥyā/muḥyī (revive, keep alive); durr (harm, pain),waram (swelling). With verses 181–182 Shawqī adds the Prophet’s family(Āl al-Bayt), conveying their nobility and superiority with precisely thediction and image we found in Ka‘b ibn Zuhayr’s (chapter 1) descriptionof the Emigrants (Muhājirūn) toward the end of Suṣād Has Departed(vv. 51–52): “haughty, high-nosed” (shumm) and “white” (bid), that is,noble. The next three verses (183–185) confirm or establish Shawqī’s Sunnīallegiance with the plea for God’s blessing on the four Orthodox Caliphs.Here, too, verses 183–184 remind us of Ka‘b’s Suṣād Has Departed verses54–55 in their description of the reckless heroism of those fighting in theway of God. Once more, it is noteworthy in the context of Shawqī’s prosededication of Nahj al-Burdah to the Khedive ʿAbbās Ḥilmi II that thereis no mention in this “pledge of allegiance” section of the poet’s worldlypatron or his Ottoman overlords.

The ritual and poetic climax of the poem comes at the end, verses186–190, which fall under the heading of Supplication. What is extraordi-nary in Nahj al-Burdah is that it does not end with the Benediction—neither for the Prophet, nor the Muslims altogether, nor the poet and hisfamily. Nor is the Supplication what we have come to expect in maddih nabawi. In al-Būṣīrī’s Part 10: Fervent Prayer and Petition (BB vv. 152–160)the first three verses are Supplication to the Prophet for intercession onJudgment Day (BB vv. 152–154), followed by a plea to God for forgivenessand mercy on Judgment Day, and His grace in both abodes (BB vv. 156–158).Al-Būṣīrī closes his Burdah with an exquisitely lyrical nasīb-derived Bene-diction (vv. 159–160), appealing for God’s blessing upon the Prophet,

For as long as the eastern breeze stirs the boughs of the ben-treeAnd the camel-driver stirs his light-hued beasts with song. (BB v. 160)

Shawqī, by contrast, does not provide a final lyrical resolution or emo-tional release; rather he maintains, indeed intensifies, the highly chargedemotive urgency right through to the end. By reiterating in verse 186 and
again in verse 190 the “O Lord” of verse 177, he builds his poem toward its conceptual and emotional climax. Here he gathers the Ihyâ’ threads that run throughout Nahj al-Burdah to seal the poem with an eloquent and moving plea for the revival of the Islamic Ummah. The diction of this section achieves through its eloquence and transparency a direct and forceful expression of the Ihyâ’ or Nahdah call for cultural revival and awakening. Thus verse 186, with its “peoples before have risen from death” (habbat shu’ubun min maniyyatihâ) and “nations have awakened from the slumber of privation” or of “nonexistence.” (wa-istayqázat ’umamun min raqdati al-‘adami), echoes the key formulations of part 4 verse 74 (“bring dead aspirations back to life”) and part 6 verses 116–117 (“revive whole generations of decaying bones,” “raise mankind from ignorance or from the grave”) of the spiritual and cultural revival and renewal of the Islamic world. Whereas in parts 4 and 6 the plea for revival is addressed to the Prophet, in the closing passage the plea is addressed directly to Allâh (vv. 186–190). Verse 186 is a plea by indirection: other peoples have arisen from [cultural] death, so why not we?

There follows in verses 187–188 a careful formulation of divine power with respect to the rise and fall of nations. In terms of the panegyrical component of the supplicatory ritual, these two verses recognize Allâh’s power and authority and the concomitant submission of the Islamic Ummah, and the poet, to it. Verse 187 invokes Allâh’s mastery of the fate of nations through distinctly Qur’ânic diction and locutions. Thus: “a dominion” of which “You are . . . master” (mulkun ‘anta mâlikuhu) evokes the Qur’ânic verse: “Say: ‘O God! Master of Dominion. You confer dominion on whomever You will and you strip dominion from whomever you will. . . .’” (quli Allahumma mâlika al-mulki tu’tî al-mulka man tashâ’u wa-tanzì’u al-mulka mimman tashâ’u. . . . [QK 3:26]). Likewise the verb tudîlu (Form IV = to confer [ascendency]) evokes the Form III verb of the same root, dâwala (to make alternate between) in the Qur’ânic: “. . . those days [of good- and ill-fortune] We give to men by turns. . . .” (. . . wa tilka al-‘ayâmu nudâwiluhâ bayna al-nâsi. . . . [QK 3:140]; see WN v. 187). Through what is essentially a double entendre on the loaded word qâdâ’, which can mean either “divine decree” or “fate,” verse 188 performs an Ihyâ’-inspired verbal feat of establishing Allâh’s qâdâ’ not as predestined fate, but as an expression of His wisdom (hikmah) and judgment. In brief, Shawqi makes it clear that the so-called “vicissitudes
of fate” are not the work of “blind fate” but of divine decree and judgment. Further, by invoking Allāh’s might and justice, the poet implies that the current degraded state of the Islamic Ummah is a divine judgment for their having abandoned true Islam. Having established a divine judgment in this world, the poet then appropriates for himself the role of intercessor and pleads for mercy for his community.

Perhaps the most moving verse of Nahj al-Burdah is verse 189, the poet’s Iḥyā’-inspired plea, not for mercy on Judgment Day, but for the Islamic Ummah’s release in this world from its current state of “humiliation” and “disgrace” (khasf). In the context of Shawqī’s Egypt, that disgrace is the combination of the failure of the Ottoman and Khedival states to create an advanced, prosperous, and powerful Islamic polity and the particular humiliation experienced by Egypt under British (Western, Christian) occupation. In terms of the panegyric pact of the ritual of supplication, we can perceive in these verses of praise to Allāh not only the elevation of the deity but also the self-abasement of the worshipper. In other words, a supplicatory ritual—now addressed to Allāh rather than to the Prophet—is being performed in this brief but extraordinarily powerful passage. Allāh’s omnipotence (vv. 187–188) and His worshipper’s humiliation (v. 189), define the two in their respective roles as supplicated and supplicant. The role of Muḥammad as Intercessor is presented in inverted form, as the poet, who appropriates the role of intercessor for the earthly judgment, beseeches Allāh for Muḥammad’s sake to be gracious unto his, that is, Muḥammad’s, people. The poet’s employ of the first person plural adds poignancy and intimacy, but also a communal element, to this verse.

Shawqī’s prayer for the restitution of Islamic dignity and dominion in this world reaches its climax in the closing verse. Verse 190 opens reiterating the “O Lord” of verses 177 and 186 to bring the poem to its emotive peak. The structure of this verse itself, however simple it appears, provides an encapsulated panegyric pact: the first hemistich is praise: “You gave the Muslims a good beginning”; the second is the petition, “now grant them a good end.”

Perhaps the most striking element of the closure (vv. 186–190) is the manner in which Shawqī establishes a worldly judgment as the earthly counterpart to the Last Judgment and then appropriates for himself the role of intercessor that the Prophet will perform on Judgment Day, pleading for
God’s mercy on the community of believers. This is the culmination of the identification or correspondence of poetic and prophetic roles that Shawqi introduced in Part 6: Metapoetic Recapitulation of Prophetic Praise.

The simple transparent diction of verse 190 combines with a rhetorically complex but eminently lucid verbal play—the antithesis between “beginning” and “end” (bad/mukhtatam), the root-play between “make good or beautiful” and “goodness or beauty” (ahsanta/husn)—that provides a striking example of the type of directness and transparency of expression that typifies the ritual core of supplicatory poetry. At the same time, however, Shawqi is invoking, however lightly and elegantly, the tradition of the badi‘iyyah, that distinctive subgenre of madih nabawi which, like Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burda, consists of a mu‘āraḍah imitation of al-Būṣīrī’s Burda, but with the added programmatic requirement that each verse must exemplify a particular rhetorical (balāghah or badi‘) device. In fact, Shawqi here invokes the later development of this subgenre, first produced by ‘Izz al-Dīn al-Mawṣili (d. 789/1387), in which each verse must, additionally, contain a pun (tawriyah) on the name of the device being demonstrated (chapter 3, introduction). In Shawqi’s closing verse, then, he pays homage to this Post-Classical poetic tradition through his word-play on the rhetorical devices of husn al-ibtidā’ (beautiful opening) and husn al-khitām (beautiful closure) are employed in the form of a pun (tawriyah) (ahsanta al-bada, husna mukhtatam) to provide a “beautiful beginning” and “beautiful ending” not merely for the verse and the poem, but for the Islamic Ummah.

At first glance it seems ironic that Shawqi would seal his Iḥyā’ Project with a rhetorical turn so distinctive of the poetics of what was termed ‘Aṣr al-Inḥiṣāṭ (the Age of Decline). However, a comparison of his closure with that of Ibn Hijjah al-Ḥamawi’s (d. 837/1434) closure of the badi‘iyyah around which he composed his celebrated Khizānat al-Adab (Storehouse of Literature) has the effect of highlighting the difference between the otherworldly concerns of the ‘Aṣr al-Inḥiṣāṭ and the earthly political goals of the Iḥyā’ Project of the Nahda. Ibn Ḥijjah closes his badi‘iyyah:

By my beautiful beginning [husnu ibtidāya] of this poem, I hope to escape [al-takhallus]
From Hellfire, and I hope for a beautiful end [husna mukhtatami].

[75]
In it, Ibn Ḥijjah, following his model al-Mawṣilī, first puns on the names of three rhetorical figures: beautiful beginning or opening (ḥusn al-ibtīda’), beautiful transition (ḥusn al-takhallus), and beautiful closure (ḥusn al-khitām): thus, he at once sums up and seals the poem with an epitome of its poetic structure. At the same time, however, these terms refer to the final disposition of his immortal soul, for through this poem of madīh nabawī with its praise of the Prophet and petition for his Intercession on Judgment Day, the poet hopes to escape (takhallus) Hellfire and perdition and to achieve salvation—a “beautiful end” in the heavenly Garden. In sum, Ibn Ḥijjah’s closing verse encapsulates the entire madīh nabawī project of the Post-Classical Age.

Taken out of its Nahḍah-period poetic context, Shawqi’s closure verse, with its puns on “beautiful beginning” and “beautiful end” reads much like Ibn Ḥijjah’s:

O Lord, in him you gave the Muslims a good beginning (‘ahsanta bad’a),
Now complete your grace and grant them a good end (ḥusna mukhtatami).

Within the context of the Iḥyā’ Project of Nahj al-Burdah, however, we understand that here the “good beginning” is the mission of the Prophet Muhammad and the “good end” for which the poet pleads is not otherworldly salvation on Judgment Day, but the restoration of Islamic military, political, and cultural dominion in this world. This redirection of Burdah-derived madīh nabawī away from the Post-Classical concern with otherworldly intercession and salvation toward a Neo-Classical Nahḍah-inspired call for the restitution of an Islamic Golden Age is brilliantly and movingly achieved in Shawqi’s closing verse.

**Conclusion**

**UMM KULTHŪM, AL-QARAḌĀWĪ, AND NAHJ AL-BURDAH**

The power of Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burdah has not diminished with the passage of time, nor, conversely, have the political events of the past century made its message obsolete. In addition to its appearance in the several editions
of Al-Shawqiyyāt, its separate publication with al-Muwayliḥī’s introduction and al-Bishrī’s commentary has never gone out of print. It has been adapted, too, to the arts and technologies of the century since its composition. Throughout the Arab-Islamic world, Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah is best and most widely known through recordings of its rendition by the beloved and immensely popular Egyptian songstress, Umm Kullūm (d. 1975). Composed by Riyāḍ al-Sunbāṭī and first performed by Umm Kullūm in 1946, its plea for the restoration of Arab-Islamic political power and dignity coincided with the aspirations of Arab and Egyptian nationalism, through the Nasserite era, and resonates all the more in the period of sustained demoralization the Arab world has suffered since the 1967 defeat. As Huda Fakhreddine has established in her analysis of Umm Kullūm’s performance of al-Sunbāṭī’s musical composition, the thirty verses that al-Sunbāṭī has selected from Shawqī’s 190-verse text belong to what I have termed the “ritual core” of the poem and are performed in such a way that “the anti-colonial polemic is transformed into a profound spiritual performance through which the audience is guided.”

A search of the Internet reveals that Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah continues to inform the contemporary understanding of the anticolonial situation of the Arab-Islamic world. The same self-defensive stance against Western Christian attacks that shaped both Shawqī’s poem and al-Bishrī’s commentary informs in the 2002 discussion of the Egyptian Islamic scholar Shaykh Yūsuf al-Qaraḍāwī on the Al Jazeera TV channel program, “Al-Sharī‘ah wa-al-‘ayāh” (The Sharia and Life), a transcript of which has been translated and posted on the website of “UK Charity Organisation, Jam’iat Ihyaa’ Minhaaj al-Sunnah (JIMAS),” as the site says, “due to the continued and increasingly virulent attacks now being directed against the honour and sanctity of the final messenger of God to mankind, Muhammad (pbbu).” Posted under the title: “Weapons Used by the Other Religions to Wage War on Islam,” an excerpt reads:

Some of them say that Islām was spread by the sword. Our Shaykh al-Ghazālī—may Allāh have mercy on him—used to say, “On the contrary, Islām was not victorious by the sword, it was victorious over the sword, because the sword was raised to it, and it countered the sword with the sword, for force can only be defeated with force.” And Shawqī—may Allāh have mercy on him—has a few beautiful lines of poetry in Nahj al-Burdah in which he says,
They said the battles, and the Messengers of Allāh were not sent
To kill a person, nor did they come with bloodshed,
Lies and misguidance, dreams and sophistry,
Conquests with the sword came after conquests with the pen.
If you meet evil with good, it cannot bear it,
And if you meet it with evil, it will be erased. [Italics in original].
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قال النابِيَّةُ الذُّبِيَّانِ[11]
فَضِلًا على الناس في الأُدْنِ وَفي البَعْدِ
من المواَهبِ لا يُعَطَى على نُكْبٍ
أَعتِن لفَارِضَةَ حَلُوَّ تَوَاعُبُها
الواَهبِ الْهَالَاءِ الْمَعَكِّاءِ زَيَّتُها
مُشْدُودةَ بِرَجَالِ السَّحِيرَةِ الْجَدِّ
والآذَمْ قد خَيِّسَتْ فَتَأْ مُمَرَّقَتُها
ورَكِيَاتِ دُحُولِ الرَّيْطِ فانَّقَتْها
وِّالْخَيْلِ مُّرْعَ عِزَّبًا وَفَيْ أَعْتُنَّها
الْطَّيِّرُ تَنْجَوُنَّ مِن الشُّؤْبَوبِ ذِي الْبَرَدَ

قال النابِيَّةُ الذُّبِيَّانِ[12]
فَما السَّمَّرُ إذا هَبَّ الرَّياَجُ لِهِ
يُمُّدُهُ كُلٌّ وَإِلَى مُّرْعَ لِحِبِّ
وَلا يَحُولُ عَطَاهُ الْيَوْمِ دُونَ غَدٍّ
كَما أطَاعَكَ وَأَذهَلْتُهُ عَلَى الرَّمْدَ
وُمَنْ عَصَاكَ فقَاعِتُهُ مُعَاذَبَةٌ

قال النابِيَّةُ الذُّبِيَّانِ[13]
هَذَا السَّنَاءُ فَإِنَّ تَسْمَعُ به حَسَنًا
فَأَمَّن ذي عِدَّةٍ أَكِشَّتْهُ
وَلَا فَِّرْحًا ابنةُ البَكْرِيِّ مَا وَعَدَتْ
هَذَا السَّنَاءُ فَإِنَّ تَسْمَعُ به حَسَنًا
فَأَمَّن ذي عِدَّةٍ أَكِشَّتْهُ

قال زُهَيْ بْنُ أَبِي سُلْمَى[14]
إِنَّ الخَلِيطَ أَجَدَّ الْبَيْنَ فَانْقَرَقَ نَا
وَفَارَقْتَكَ بِرَحيِّنَا لَا فَِّكَاكُ لَهُ
فَأَصِبْحَ الخَيْلُ مِنْهَا وَاهِيًا خَلْقًا
مِن الْطَبَاءِ شَرايعًا صَانِيًا خَرْقاً
قال زُهَيُّ بْنُ أَبِي سُلْمَى

أمَّسَى بِذَاك عَرَبُ البَيْنِ ۗ قِدْ تَعَقَّبِ

۳۴

فَلْأَدْرِكَهُ سَيِّامًا بِئِنْها خَلْلٍ
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فِي سَيِّامٍ وَسْيِلَ الصَّفْصَفِ الْقَرْقَاءِ

۳۶

عَنِ السَّيِّامِ أَضَاءَ الصُّبْحُ فَأَنْطَلَقَ قَالَ يَلْتَهُ كُلَّها حِسَبًا
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وَقَانِصٍ لَا تَرَى فِي فِعْلِهِ خَرْقًا
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وَخَافُ مِنْ جَانِبهِ الْنَّهْزَ وَالرَّهَقَا

۳۹

حَتَّى إِذَا ظَنَّ قَرْنَ السَّمَسَ غَالِبَةً

۴۰

كَرَرَ فَمَضَرَّجَ أُولاهُ بِنَافِذَةٍ

۴۱

قَالَ زُهَيُّ بْنُ أَبِي سُلْمَى١٨

۴۲

بِلْ أَذْكُرْنَ حَيْرِ قَلْبًا كُلَّها حَسَبًا

۴۳

قَالَ زُهَيُّ بْنُ أَبِي سُلْمَى١٩

۴۴

فِضَّلَ الجَوْاِد عَلَى الْجَوْلِ الْبَطِءِ فَلا٢٠

۴۵

قَدْ جَعَلَ الْمُعْلِنَ عَيْنَهُ ۗ فِي هَرِمٍ
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۳۲
لا يَلْحَقْ بشَأْوِهِما
وَادَف ُفِيهِ فِي ذَهِبِهِ فِي الْمَهَلِ
وَلَمْ يُضْرِعُهُما وَلَمْ يُهَزُّهُما وَلَمْ يُخَفِّقُهُما وَلَمْ يُفْسِدُهُما
ذَّبَ عن أَقْرانِه صَدَقاً..
فهَلْ لك فيما قلتُ بالخَيْفِ هَلْ لَكَ الآثِرُ؟
فَنَّجِوُ إِذَا كان النَّجاة وَتَسْلُمْ
لَتَبِيَّنَتْ وَلِيْسَ بَبِلْنِ تَسْلُمْ
فَعِيدٌ رَّهِيْرٌ وَهُوَ لا شيء دِينِهْ
قال دَرِيْةُ بْنُ زَهْيَرُ

من مُّبِلْعٍ كَعْبٌ فهَلْ لَكَ في الَّتِي
tًلْومَ عليها باطلاً وَهُنَّ أَحْرُمٍ
إِلَى الله لاَ العَرْيَ واللَّاتِ وَحَدَّهُ
لَتَدْبَّرَهُ لا يَنْجُو وَلِيْسِ بَبِلْنِ
فَعِيدٌ رَّهِيْرٌ وَهُوَ لا شيء دِينِهْ
قال دَرِيْةُ بْنُ زَهْيَرُ

أَمْرُهُمُ أمَرُي مُنْعَرَجَ اللَّوَى
فَلَمْ يَعْثَرْهنِ كَثِبْتُ مِنْهُمۚ وَقَدْ أَرَى
وَهَلْ أَنَا إِلَّا مَنَ عَزِّيْةٌ إِنَّ غَوْتُ
بَنْتُ سَعَادَ لِكَعْبَ بْنُ زَهْيَرُ

بَنْثُ سَعَادَ فَقَلْبِي الَّيْلَ مَتْبَوْلُ
مُّنْبِيْمَ اذَّرَهَا مَ يَفْدِدُ مَكْبُولُ
هَوَّا مِنْ عَدَاةِ السَّيْنَ إِذَ رَحْلَا
كَأَنَّهُ مُنْهَلَ بَلَّرَاحَ مَعْلُولً
تَجْلُو غَوْرَاضُ ذِي ْعُلْمٍ إِذَا ابْتُسْمَتُ
كَأَنَّهُ مُنْهَلَ بَلَّرَاحَ مَعْلُولً
سُجُعُتْ بِذَيْ شَيْمُ مِنْ مَاء مَحْيِيْةٍ
تَجْلُو الرَّيْاهَ الْغَفِّيْئَةَ عَنْهُ وَأُقِرْطَهُ
يا وَيْحَا خَلَّةَ لَوْ أَنَا صَدَقَتُ
لِكَيْهَا خَلَّةٍ قد سِيْطَ مِنْ دِمَهَا
فَجَعَ وَوَلْغَ وَإِخْلَافٍ وَتَبْدِيلٍ
بَنْتُ سَعَادَ لِكَعْبَ بْنُ زَهْيَرُ

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
فما تَدَوَّمُ على حالٍ تكونُ بها
ما مَسَّك بالوضّ الذي رَغَمَت
وَما مَوالِدَها إلا الأُبيَّالِ
وَما نَهْنَ طَوَالِ الدُّهْرِ تَعْجِيلٌ
إِن الأمَانِيّ والأَحْسَامِ تَضْعِيلٌ

12
[29]
بانتُ سَعادٌ لَكَُغَيب بن زِهْرُ

13
أَمَستُ سَعادًا بَآرَضٍ لا يَبْلُغُها

14
وَلَن يَبْلُغُها إلا عَناَفٌ

15
من كُلِ النََحْفٍ الدَّخِرِي إِذَا غَرَقت

16
ثَرَمي الغَرَابِيلَ بِعَفْيِ مَفرَدٍ قَفَقٌ

17
حَرَفُ أَخوَها أَبوُها عِن مُهُجِّلٍ

18
مَشيَ القُرَّادَ عَلَى ثَمّ يَرْكُبُها

19
عَريانَةً فَقَتَتْ في الْحَمِ مَعْ عُرْضٍ

20
كَانَ مَا فَات عَبْيَتُها ومُذْبَحُها

21
فِي غَارِضٍ مَتَجَّهَتْ الْأُحَرَّالْ

22
عَيْنَ مُبْنِّهِ وَفِي الخَدَّيْنِ تَسْهِيلٌ

23
دَوَابِلٌ وَفَقَعتَ الأَرْضَ تَخْلِيلٌ

24
مِنْ خْطِطِها وَمِن النََوْرِ مَرْتِبٌ

25
مَيْر مِثْل عَسِيب النَّغَلِ ذَا حَصِلٌ

26
قُفَّاَوَهُ فِي حُرْمِهِا لِلنَّصِيرُ بِهَا

27
تَخْرى عَلَى يَسْرَات وَهُدِّي لَاجِحَةٌ

28
سُمُرُ الْعُجَابَاتُ يَتَرْكُنَ الحَصِ خَيْمَةٌ

29
يَوْمَا يَظْلُ مِهِ الْجَزْبا مُصْطَحِخًا

30
كُنَّ أَوْبَ ذِراعِهَا وَقَد غَرَقَتْ

31
وَقَال لِلَّقُوم حَادِهِمُ وَقَد جَعَلَتْ

32
شَدَّ النَّهَار ذِراعًا عَطْلَ نَضْفٍ

33
نَواحِيٍّ إِخْرَاحٍ الشَّيْعُينِ ليس لِها

34
تَفْرُي الْلَّبَانِ يَكْفَيْهَا وَمَدْرُعُهَا
بانَتْ سُعادُ لكَعَبِي بْنِي زُهَيْرُ
جُنِّحُ الطَّيْلَ وَتُوَّبُ اللَّيْلَ مُسْبِلٌ ٣٠
ما ذُلِّتْ أَقْطَعُ البَيْداةُ مُدَّرًا٠
[Banat Saida b. Kubayz b. Zuhayr]

بسَعَتُ لَكَعَبِي بْنِي زُهَيْرُ
يشعَى الْوَشَاءُ يَجْنُبُهَا وَقُولُهُمْ
لا أَطْيَبُهَا إِلَّا عَنْكَ مُشدُوعُ٣١
وقَالَ كُلُّ خَيْلٍ كَئِبْتَ أَمْلَهُ
فَقُلْتُ خَلَوا طَرِيقَيْ لا أَبا لَكُمْ٣١
كِلْ أَبِينَ أَنْذِرْتُ وَإِنَّ طَالِبٍ سَلَامَتِهِ
أنْبِتُنَّ أَنْ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ ﷺ أَوْلَدَيْ٣٢
مُهِلَا هَذا الْذِّي أُعْطَاهُ نَافِلَةَ الْبُيْتِ
نَ أَب سُلَيْمَى لَمْ قَتُولَيْسُعْى الوُشَاةُ بِجَنْبَيهَا وقَوْلُهُمُ٢٣
۴۱
کَ ي تُ آمُلُهُ٣٣
۴۲
نْ ل كُ يِّ مَشْغولُوق
۴۳
كَ إِنِّي عَنْكَ٢٤
۴۴
لُّ خَ لَ كُ
۴۵
لُّ لُّ خَ لَ كُ
۴۶
۴۷
۴۸
۴۹
۵۰
۵۱
۵۲
۵۳
لا يَفْرَحُونَ إذا نالت رماحهم
ما إنّهم عن حياض الموت تهليَّل
وقد تَعْقُف الرُّسُوم وتَهْمَد
هها مثير الهداي الذي كان يصعد
وَرَبْعَ لَه في مُصلّ ومسجدُ
قال حسان بن ثابت

ولِ وَمَعْهَم ١
وَرَّسُل مُلِمٌ وَقَ
ومُتوَّهَب بِطَيِّبَة رَسْ
وَرَبْع دَت لَهِ في جَ
قال أبو ذؤيب الهذليِّ

هُذيان نازعا عن شَانهٍ
وفي نَيْلّ ذاك اليَوْم أَسْعَى وأَجْهَدُ
وإذا المُنية أَنْشَجت أَفظارها
قال أبو مَام

ولَّصَحَ فِي جَنَّةَ الخَلد أَخْلَدُ
وايَ نِه في جَنّة الخَلد أَخْلَدُ
وإذا المُشَدا لا يَتَكَ هالِكٍ
قال أبو مَام

تَدَّيِّر مُعْتَصِم بالله مُتْقَيم
قال أبو مَام

يُسَلَ حَسْبَة صَحِب مِن الْلَّهِ
غادَرُت فيها بِهِمَ اللَّيْل وَهُوَ ضَحِي
حَتَّى يَنْتَيِّك المَشِدا لا يَتَكَ هالِكٍ
قال أبو مَام

ضَوْءٌ مِن النَّار والظَّلْماء عاِكِفَة
قال أبو مَام

كَم أَحْرَزتُ فَضُبُ البَنِتِ مِلْتَة
قال عمر بن الفَاضٍ

هُل نَار لَيْلِي بَدَّت لِيَلًا بِنَد سَلْم
قال حسان بن ثابت

قُوماً وَلِيسوا مَجَازِبّاءًا إذا يَنْبُوا
ما إنّهم عن حياض الموت تهليَّل
وقد تَعْقُف الرُّسُوم وتَهْمَد
هها مثير الهداي الذي كان يصعد
وَرَبْعَ لَه في مُصلّ ومسجدُ
قال حسان بن ثابت

ونَ إذا نَرَح فْ
قوماً ولِيسوا مَجازيعاً إذا نَيْلُوالا يَ
عُ الطَّعْنُ إلاّ في نُحورِهِمُ
قال القَرَزْدَق ذِي كان لَهَ  *
هُ يُتَمَّلُوَالفَحْلُ عَلْقَمَةٌ ال
لاَمُوكِ كَلّ نَمِرٌ وَقَ
قال حسان بن ثابت

أَظْفارَها  *
بَتَأْتِي أَنْ
ولا تَنْفَعُوإذا المَ
مِنْبَ الهادِي الَّذي كان يَصْعَدُولا تَْتَحِي الآي
ي مَعالِمٍ  ٣
قال حسان بن ثابت

مَثَّلُوَالفَحْلُ عَلْقَمَةٌ ال
لاَمُوكِ كَلّ نَمِرٌ وَقَ
قال حسان بن ثابت

مِنْبَ الهادِي الَّذي كان يَصْعَدُولا تَْتَحِي الآي
ي مَعالِمٍ  ٣
قال حسان بن ثابت

مَثَّلُوَالفَحْلُ عَلْقَمَةٌ ال
لاَمُوكِ كَلّ نَمِرٌ وَقَ
ةَ بِفَمِأَرْوَاحَ نَعْمانَ هَلاَّ نَسْمةٌ سَحَراً وماءَ ٢
هْ لاَّ نَرَةَ هَاءَ وَجْطَّي السِّجِّلِّ بِذاتِ الشِّيحِ مِنْ إضَم‌٣
خَميلةَ الضَّالِ ذاتَ الرَّنْدِ والخُزُمِ جْبالَ الحِمَى يا رَعاكَ اللهُ مُعْتَمِداً ٤
لاَتٌ بُنْسَجِوَقِفْ بِسَلْعٍ وَسَلْ بالجِزْعِ هل مُطِرَتْ٥
لِمَ أُثَّرَقْ السَّلاَمَ عليهِمْ غرَ مُحْتَشِمَنَشَدْتُكَ اللهَ إنْ جُزْتَ العَقِيقَ ضُحًى ٦
أَرَواَخُ نِعْمَانُ هَلاَّ نَسْمَة سَحْراً وماءَ ٢
وُقِفَ بِسَلْعِ وَسَلْ بالجِزْعِ هل مُطِرَتْ ٦
فَاقْرَ السَّلاَمَ عليهِمْ غرَ مُحْتَشِمَنَشَدْتُكَ اللهَ إنْ جُزْتَ العَقِيقَ ضُحًى ٦
" مـهـجـرة هـلـاً نـسـمـة سـحـراً وماء ٢
عَجْبَ الطيْرِ يُطْوَي السِّجِّلِّ بِذاتِ الشِّيحِ مِنْ إضَم‌٣
خَميلةَ الضَّالِ ذاتَ الرَّنْدِ والخُزُمِ جْبالَ الحِمَى يا رَعاكَ اللهُ مُعْتَمِداً ٤
لاَتٌ بُنْسَجِوَقِفْ بِسَلْعٍ وَسَلْ بالجِزْعِ هل مُطِرَتْ٥
بِهِ عِلْيَةُ عَدْوَٰلُ السَّلَّمِ والصَّمْع٢
وأَثْبَتَ الْمَجَذَبُ حَتَّى غَيْبَةٌ وضَنى٧
باِسْتَغْلِبَ الطَّيْرِ يُطْوَي السِّجِّلِّ بِذاتِ الشِّيحِ مِنْ إضَم‌٣
خَميلةَ الضَّالِ ذاتَ الرَّنْدِ والخُزُمِ جْبالَ الحِمَى يا رَعاكَ اللهُ مُعْتَمِداً ٤
لاَتٌ بُنْسَجِوَقِفْ بِسَلْعٍ وَسَلْ بالجِزْعِ هل مُطِرَتْ٥
إِنْ جُزْتَ العَقِيقَ ضُحًى ٦
"
رُزِّهُ البُروصي: القسم الثاني: التحذير من هوى النفس

8. نعم سرى طيف من أهوى فاقئن
9. يا لامري في الهدية العدنري معززة
10. عدتنك حالي لا سي ومشتر
11. محضني النصغ ولكن نست أسمعه
12. إن المحب عين الغضال في ضمم
13. إني آنتمت نصيح الشيب في عفني
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42. فإن أشاري بالسوء ما أعطت
43. ولا أعطت من الفعل الجميل قرى
44. لو كنت أعظم آتي ما أفرق
45. من لي يزد جماح من غوايتها
46. فلا ترم بالمعاصي كسر شهوتها
47. والنفس كالطفل إن تهيله شب على
48. فأضره هواها وحائز أن توليه
49. وراعها وهمي في الأغمال سامه
50. كم حستت لذة للمرأة قائلة
51. وأخذ النساء من جوع ومن شبع
52. واستفرد الدمع من غني قد أمثالت
53. وخالف النفس والشيطان وأعصهما
54. وإنما محاض النصغ فاتهم
55. ولا تطبع منها خصما ولا حكما
56. استغفر الله من قول بلا عمل
57. أمرتكم الخبر لك ما أنتمرت به
58. ولا تسرودت قبل الموت نافلة
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61. من جهيلها يتدير الشيب والحرم
62. ضيف الله يسرى غير مجتشم
63. كدت میرا بل لا منها بالكتم
64. كما يزد جماح النظر بالمج
65. إن الطعام يفوقى شهوة النجم
66. واختبرها وهمي في الأغمال سامه
67. وإن هي استحلت المرعى فلا تقيم
68. فإن حبه لم يدر أن النجم في الدس
69. قرب مخصصة ضر من التحم
70. من المحرام والحرم الحرام النجم
71. وإنما محاض النصغ فاتهم
72. فلا تطبع منها خصما ولا حكما
73. دعس عينها عينها لذي غضم
74. وما استقطبت فيها قولي لك استقم
75. وَلَّم أصلُ سوء فرض والآدم أصم
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79. ظلمت شنة من أخير الظلم إلى
80. وشد من سعى إخشاءة وطوى
81. تحوت الحجاره كشحا مترق الأدم
وَوَأَوْدَتْهُ الْجِبَالُ الشَّمْسُ مِنْ ذَهَبٍ ٣١
وَأَكَّدَتْ زَهْدَهُ فِيهَا ضَرْوَةُ ٣٢
وَكَيْفَ تَدْعُو إِلَى الْذِّنْهَا ضَرْوَةُ مُنْ ٣٣
مُحَمَّدٌ سَيْدٌ الكَوْنِيّينَ وَالْقَلِيْلِ ٣٤
تَبْيِنَا الأَمْرُ النَّاهِي فِيْلَا أَحَدٍ ٣٥
هُوَ الحَبِيبُ الَّذِي تُرْجَى شَفَاعَتَهُ ٣٦
دَعَّاً إِلَى اللَّهِ فَاتَسْمِمَهُ بِهِ ٣٧
فَقَلَ الْتَّبْيِينَ فِي حُلْقِ وَفِي حُلْقِ ٣٨
وَكَلِمَهُ مِنْ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ مُلْتَمِسَ ٣٩
وَوَقَفْنَ لَدَيْهِ عِندَ خَدَحُهُمْ ٤٠
فَهْوَ الَّذِي نَمَّ مَعَنَّاهُ وَصُورَتُهُ ٣٤
مُنْتَبِّهً عَنْ شَرِيكِ فِي مَحَاسَبِهِ ٤٢
دَعَّ مَا أَدْعَعْتُهُ الْبَصَارِيَ فِي نَبِيِّهِ ٤٣
وَأَنْشَبَ لَهُ مَا شَدَّتَ مِنْ عَظَمٍ ٤٤
فَإِنْ قَضَلَ رَسُولُ اللَّهَ لَیُسْ لَهُ ٤٥
لَوْ نَاشِبَ قَذَرَةً أَيْانَهُ عَظِمًا ٤٦
لَمْ یَجْنَ اَنْ وُلَدَهُ الْمُقَوَّلُ بِهِ ٤٧
أَعْيَا الْوَزْرِ فِيهِ عِنْدَ مَعَنَّاهُ قَدْسٌ ٤٨
كَالْحَسَّامُ تَظْهَرُ لِلْغَيْبِ مِنْ بَعْدٍ ٤٩
وَكَيْفَ يُحَدِّثُ فِي الْدُّنْيَا حَقِيقَتُهُ ٥٠
فَمَبَلَغَ الْعَلَّمُ فِيهِ آلَهُ بَعْرٌ ٥١
وَكَلَّآ أَيَّآ الرَّسُولُ الْكَبَّارُ بِهَا ٥٢
فَإِنَّهُمْ سَمَّسُ فَضْلُ هُمْ كَوْاِبُهَا ٥٣
أَكْرِمْ بِحُلْقِ نَبِيٍّ زَانَا حُلْقَ ٥٤
كَالْزِرْحُ فِي ضُرْفِ وَالْحُبَّارُ فِي ضُرْفٍ ٥٥
١٣ هُ الجِبَالُ الشُّمْسُ مِنْ ذَهَبٍ
١٤ صحَبُهُ مَنْ ٢٣
١٥ أَيْرَاهُ ٣٣
١٦ هِ ف ١٣
١٧ سِ ١٣
١٨ فْ ١٣
١٩ نْ ٣٣
٢٠ هِ ١٤
٢١ عَ ٢٢
٢٢ فيها ضُراوَةُ ٣٢
٢٣ وَ وَالْمَرْفِقِينَ مِنْ عَرْبٍ وَمِنْ عَجْمٍ ٣٤
٢٤ أَنْهُمْ مِنْ الأَهْوَالِ مُقَشَّحٌ ٣٦
٢٥ مُسْتَمِسِكُونَ بِحَبْلٍ غَرِّ مُنْفَصِمِ ٣٤
كانَه وُهِـوُ قُـرْدَ مِـن جَـلَالِهِ
كانَهَا السَـلَوْلُو المَـلِكَمُوَن في صَـدِيفُ
لا طِبْبٌ يُعِدَّل ذَرْبّاً ضَمْ آَغْظَمَةً
قوـيْ لِمَنْتِشِقِّي مَـنَّه وَمُلْتِنَّم
قال أبو تَـمْـام
تَـذِيـرُ مَعْتِصِم يَالِهِ مَنْتِقِمٍ
للِه مُرْتَقِبٌ في اللِه مِـرْتَغِبٌ
قل البَـحْتُرِيٌّ
فَيْنَ لُؤْلُؤ تَجْلُوهُ عند أنْبِسَامِهَا
وَمِن لُؤْلُؤٍ عِندَ الْحَدِيثِ تُسَاقِطُهُ
سَاءَ سِاءَةً أَن غَاـضَتْ بُحَرْتُهَا
وَجِرْحُ البَّـئِـهِ مِـنْ بَـعْدِ مَا أَخَـرَةُ
فِنُّ كَـشْمَل أَصْحَابِ كِسَـْى غَرَْ مُلْتَـمِيوب
وَبَاشِرُ السُـبُـكُو مِـنْ سَدَمِ وَالْنَـاَرُ خَمَـِـِدةٌ أَنْفَـَـِـِسٍ
مُـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّـُّ~

بُرْدَةُ الْبُوصِيِيٍّ: القَـسْـمُ الَّـثِـالِثُ: التَّـحَدْـثُ عَـن مُوْلِيْهـُ

[44]

بُرْدَةُ الْبُوصِيِيٍّ: القَـسْـمُ الْفََـتِـحُ: التَّـحَدْـثُ عَـنْ مَـعْـجِـيْـزَـاتِهـِ

[45]

بُرْدَةُ الْبُوصِيِيٍّ: القَـسْـمُ الْقَـصَـمُ: التَّـحَدْـثُ عَـنْ مُعْـجِـيْـزَـاتِهـِ

[46]

بُرْدَةُ الْبُوصِيِيٍّ: القَـسْـمُ الْخَـامِسُ: التَّـحَدْـثُ عَـنْ مُعْـجِـيْـزَـاتِهـِ

[47]
بُرْدةُ البَوْصِييِّ: القسم السادس: التَّحَدُّثُ عَن القُرْآن الكَرِييم

[48]
اللهّ - فَأَعْتَصِمَ

٩٩ ١٠٠ ١٠١ ١٠٢ ١٠٣ ١٠٤

٩٩ ١٠٠ ١٠١ ١٠٢ ١٠٣ ١٠٤

٩٩ ١٠٠ ١٠١ ١٠٢ ١٠٣ ١٠٤
بكل قرر إلى لحم العدا قرم

يرمي ماء من الأطلال مقطو

يضطع مستصل للكبر مصطل

من بعدي عزتيها موضوعة الرجم

وخير بعل قلتم تيتم ولم تيتم

ما رأى متهم في كل مصطل

فصول حتف لهم أدنه من الوجم

من العدا كل مسعود من اللحم

أقلاهم حرق جسم غير منهج

والمؤود يئثبح بالسيما عن السم

فحضب الزمر في الأكماح كل كي

من شدة الحزيم لا من شدة الحزيم

فما تعرق بين البنهم والبهم

إن تلكة الأسد في آجامة تحم

بها ولا من عدو غيد منقيد

قال أهل أمته في حجره منبه

كل بذلت كليات الله من جدل

كما بالعلم في الأمسي محجرة

قال عمرو بن كننوم

فزدلهم مننزل الأضياف منا

قال بشر بن أبي خازم

عمن بن أه أذ ولى ولي ولي ولي ولي...

قال أبو مام

يا رب خوائب لبنا أجنث دابره

طابت ولو سمحت بالميسك لم تطب
قال أبو قَام: [54]

إن كان بين صروف الدهر من رحم مؤصلة أو دمам غير مفقض
فَبَين آيام اللاتي تصررت بها
بَرَدة البَوْصِرِي: القسم التاسع: التَّوَسُّلُ والتَّشَفُّع

[55]

徐州هٍ مدَّدُق أَستقبلٍ به
ذُوبٍ عُمٍّ مَّضى في الشُّعر والأخِدم
كَأَنني بهما هذى من النَّعم
رِّمنقِبٍ إنْ كان بَ

بُردَة الْبَوْصِرِي: القسم العاشر: المَناجِةُ والتَّضَُّع

[56]

 وقال البَحْتُييّ

[57]

يا أَكْرَم الْرَّسْلِ ما لي مَن أَلوُدُ بِه
إذا الكَرمُ تَحلى بِأمَّ مُنتقم
يا تَفَس لا تقفطِي مَن زَلةٍ عَظِمَت
يا زَبِ رَأَيْ رَجاءٍ غَيرِ مُنعكِسٍ
قال الأخطل التغلبَيّ: النحَّاء المنشمُوٱئْهَنْبُِءَ رَيّ مُسْتَفْرِغٍ باتَتْ عَزَالِيهِ تَسْحَلُسَقَى اللهُ أرْض
حُ الصَّبا في فُرُوجِهِ٣٥ لِأنْجَلَإِذا طَعَنَتْ رِي احَدَّثَتْني النَّفْسُ قائِلَةً٣ ماّ رَنٌّ ومُنْسَجِوَٱئْهَنْبُِءَ
٨٥١ قَالَ الأَخْطَلُ التَّغْلِيبِيّ رُْ أهْلِها٢٥ كَأَسْهَرْتَ مُضْناكَ في حِفْظِ الهَوَى فَنَمِيا ناعِسَ الطَّرْفِ لا ذُقْتَ الهَوَى أَبَدًا٨
٤٥ كَأَلْفًا وَلا آلوالخَيالَ فِدًى٩ كَأَلْفًا وَلا آلوالخَيالَ فِدًى٩ ٩٠٠١ أَعْرَكَ بالْبَخْلِ مِن أَغْرِقَةْ بِإِلْكَرَم١٠ أَعْرَكَ بالْبَخْلِ مِن أَغْرِقَةْ بِإِلْكَرَم١٠
١٠ سَرى قَصَادَ فُجُرًا دَامِيًا قُاسَا١١ مِنَ الدِّيَوَانِ بَانَا يَحَرُّ وَقَتا١٢ السَّافِرُتُ كِأَشْتَالٌ بَلْدَوُ صَحٍّ١٣ المَقَائِلَتُ بِأَجْفَانِ بِهَا سَقُمُ ١٣
١١ ٱلْعَلَايَتُ بِأَلْسَيِّبِ الرَّجُالِ وَما١٤ وَلِلْمَيْتِيَّةِ أَسْبَابٌ مِنَ السَّفَمُ١٤
نهج الزيارة لأحمد شوقي: القسم الثاني

يا نفسي دنياك تخصي كل مبكيّة
فُحيتُ تقولوا فأخلا كلما صحت
مخطوبة منه كان الناس خاطبة
يقفوا الرجال ويبلقين من إسائتها
لا تحفلي بجناها أو جنائها
كم نائم لا يراها وهم ساهرة
طؤوأ مسّك في نسيم وعافية
كم سُلبتكم ومن تحجب بصرتة
يا ويلتانيا ينفيها راعها ودما
مُسودة الصحف في مُميزة اللحم
أخذت من حميّة الطاعات للْتَّحُم
والنسى إنْ يدعها داعي الصبا تهم
هامّب على أثر السدّات تطلبهما
سألت أمرك للاخلاق مرجعة
والنسى من خيرها في خير عافية
خطئي إذا مكتبت من لدّة ودوى

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
نَهْجُ الْبُرَّةَ لِأَحْمَد شَوْقِيّ: القسم الثالث

39 إنْ جَلَّ ذَنْبِي عَنِ الْغَفْرَانِ لِي أَمَلِ

40 أَلْقَيْتِي رَجَالًا إِذَا غَرَّ الْمُجُرُّ عَلَى

41 إِذَا حَقَصَتْ جَنَاحُ الْدُّلْلِ أَسْفَلَةُ

42 وَإِنْ تَقَدَّمَ دَوَّارًا يِنْتُجُّ بِصَالِحَةٍ

43 لَفَيَّسَتْ بَابٌ أَمْرِ الأَنْبِياءَ وَمَنْ

44 فَكَّلْ قَضَائِرَ وَإِخْرَاسَ وَعَرَافَةٍ

45 عَلِفَتْ مِنْ مَدْحِهِ حُبلاً أَعْرُضُ يَهِ

46 يُبَيْزِي قَرْضِي زَهْرًا حَيْثُ أَمْدَحُهُ

نَهْجُ الْبُرَّةَ لِأَحْمَد شَوْقِيّ: القسم الرابع

47 مَحْمُودُ صَفْوَةُ الْبَارِي وَرَحْمَتُهُ

48 وَصَاهِبُ الْحُوَضُ يُوْمَ الرُّسْلُ سَائِلٌ

49 سَائَةُ وَسَناَةُ الشُّمَسِ طَالِعٌ

50 قَدْ أَخْطَأَ النَّجْمَ ما نالَتْ أُبُوَّتُهُ

51 وَمَا إِلَيْهِ قَوْلُوا فِي الْفَوْزِ شَيًْا

52 حَوَّاهُ فِي سَبْعَاتِ الْظَّهْرِ قَبْلَهُمْ

53 لَمْ يَرَ كَحِيْرًا يَقُولُ نَفْرُقهَا

54 سَائِلٌ جَرَاءِ وَرُوحُ الْمُسْلِمِينَ هُلَّ عَلَى

55 كَمْ خَيْيَةٌ وَدُهُهَا شَرَفُتُ بِيَها

56 وَوَحَشَةُ لَانِعَ غَرْبِيِّ الْلَّهِ بَيْنَهَا

57 يَسَامُو الْوَحَيِّ فِيهِا قَبْلٌ مُهْيْطٍ

58 لَنَا ذَا الصَّحَبِ يُسْتَسْفَعُ مِنْ ظَلَّةٍ

59 وَظَلَّلَتْهُ قَصَارتُ تَسْطُّلُ يَهِ

60 مَحْبَةٌ لِلْرُسُولِ الْلَّهِ أَشْبَهَا

61 إِنَّ الشَّمَالِ إِنْ رَقَّتْ يُكَادُ يَهَا
لا يُوصِيكَ بِالحَقِّ وَالتَّقْوَى وَبِالرَّحْمِيَّةِ مجَّةً٢٧
...
لا أُخْرِجُ الْبَلَدَينَ مِن صَدْمَةِ القُدُمِ رِيِّقًا٣٨
لا أُعَدَّلُ عَبَادَ اللَّهِ فِي شَيْءٍ١٨
لا يَغْلِبُ الْمَعَالِمُ عَلَى أَيْضَاءِ أَصْدَاقِهِ٣٨
ابة لغة الله في السماء أو ما فوقه يفهم
86
ركوب لدمن عز ومن شرف
87
مشيئة الخلاق الباري وصنعته
88
حتى بلغ سماه لا يطأر له
89
وقيب كله نبي عند ربتيه
90
خططت لذين والذين علموها
91
أحبت بينهما نضر وانكشفت
92
وضافع القرب ما قلدته من متين
93
سعل عصبة الشرك حول الفار سالفة
94
هل أبقروا الأذار الفضاء أم سمعوا
95
وهله قائل تسج العنكبوت لهم
96
فأكدرا ووجوه الأرض تعلنهم
97
لؤلؤ يدل الله الجازين ما سلما
98
تواريا بجناح الله واسترا
99
[65]
نهج البُردة لأحمد شوقي: القسم السادس

يا أحمد الخير لي جاه بتسميتني
100
الملاحم وأوزبات الهوى ذي القدم
101
مديحية فيك حب خالص وهوى
102
الله يشهد ألي لا أعراضه
103
وإذا أنا بغض الغابتين ومن
104
هذا مقام من الرحمان مقتبس
105
البجد دوتك في حسن وفي شرف
106
والأنجام الزهر ما واسمتها تسم
107
إذا سميت إلى شاكي السلاح كمي
108
تهفو إليك وإنآدميت حبيبتها
109
۱۱۰ محبة الله ألقاها وهبنيه
۱۱۱ كأن وجهك تحت النفق بندار دجى
۱۱۲ بذر تطلع في بذر فقرته
۱۱۳ ذكرت بالземم في المـرار تكرمة
۱۱۴ وقيمة اللؤلؤ المكون في اليمـم
۱۱۵ والله قسم بين الناس رزقهم
۱۱۶ إن قلت في الأرـم لا أو قلت فيه نعم
۱۱۷ وأنت أحييت أجيالا من النـم
۱۱۸ والجهل موت فإن أوتيت مفرجة

۱۸۰ لقتيل نفس ولا جاؤوا لسخف دم
۱۸۱ فحتت بالسيف بعد المفتاح بالقلم
۱۸۲ تكفـل السيف بالجهال والعمم
۱۸۳ درعها وإن فلتقه بالخير ضفت به
۱۸۴ وبالضاب من شهوت الطالب العلم
۱۸۵ في كل جهن قتال ساطع الحجـم
۱۸۶ طريدة الشرك يؤذيها ويصعـها
۱۸۷ لولا حجة لها هبوا ليصرـتها
۱۸۸ لولا مكان ليعيسى عند مسيله
۱۸۹ لوـينك لنصبر على الـنح اتـت يرحب
۱۹۰ وحمرة وجبت للروح في القدين
۱۹۱ لوينك لم يضـى مؤذيه ولم يجم
۱۹۲ لوينك المـسيح وذاق الصلب شائعة
۱۹۳ أخذ التطبي ورؤوـ الله في دُرِّ

۱۹۴ نهج البَرِّدة لأحمد شوقِي: القسم السابع

۲۱۸ قالوا غروت ورسـل الله ما بيعتـوا
۲۱۹ جهن وضـليل أحلام وفـسفة
۲۲۰ لما أن لك عموا كـل ذي حسب
۲۲۱ والشرك إن فلتقة بالخير ضفت به
۲۲۲ للسيف ما انتفعت بالرفق والرجم
۲۲۳ بالضاب من شهوت الطالب العلم
۲۲۴ في كل جهن قتال ساطع الحجم
۲۲۵ طربدة الشرك يؤذيها ويصعـها
۲۲۶ لولا حجة لها هبوا ليصبرـها
۲۲۷ لولا مكان ليعيسى عند مسيله
۲۲۸ لوـينك لنصبر على الـنح اتـت يرحب
۲۲۹ وحمرة وـجبت للروح في القدين
۲۳۰ لوينك لم يضـى مؤذيه ولم يجم
۲۳۱ لوينك المـسيح وذاق الصلب شائعة
۲۳۲ أخذ التطبي ورؤوـ الله في دُرِّ

۲۳۴ نهج البَرِّدة لأحمد شوقِي: القسم الثامن

۲۴۹ علمنهم كـل شيء يجهلون به
۲۵۰ دعواهم ليجهاد فيه سوددهم
۲۵۱ لـولاهم لم تزيل الدولات في زمن
۲۵۲ تلك السَّواهد تستوى كـلـ أونـة
لا القنابل لَم تُقَلَ وَلَمْ يُصمم
وظِم يُعَدُّ سَوَاء حالات مُنْقَصَم
دُّوا لِي بِالْبُرْجِيْنَ سَيَّاطٍ لِلله مَعْظَم
الله مُستَقَّمٍ في السَّبَبِ مَعْظَم
شُوقًا على سَبَبِ كَالْبَرْقِ مِضْطَرِم
لَو صادف الْدُّهْرِ يَنْبِي نَقْلَةً فَرَمِي
يَخُذُّهُ مِن فَعْلِ الحُروبِ يُهِم
مِن مُّعَلاَفٍ نَافِعٍ أو مِن مَؤَامَرِنُه
تَفَاؤلُ النَّاسِ فِي الْأَقْصَادَ وَالْقِيَمِ
قال أبو مُحَمَّد
رَآى بَيْنَ الله بْنيَها فَهَدَّمَها
قال أبو مُحَمَّد
تَدْبِيرُ مُعْتَصِمٍ بِالله مَنْتَقِمٍ
قال أبو مُحَمَّد
أُمَرَّتَهُمُ عُرُمَتْ لَوِ رَمَيْتُ بها
تَنَجَدُ النَّبِيدة لأَحْمَد شَوْقِيّ: القسم التاسع
شَريعةٌ لَك فَجَبَرْت العقولُ يِها
يُلْجَعُ حَوَلََتْ سَنَا التَّوْحِيد جَوْمُهَا
سَمَحاَتْ عَلَيْها اِنْفُس وَنَهى
نورَ السَّبْيلِ يَسَاسُ العَالِمُونَ يِها
يا جَهْرَ الزِّمْانَ وَاكَهَامُ الزِّمْانُ عَلَى
لَبَا اعْتَلَتْ دُوَلَةُ الإسلام وَاتَّسَعتْ
رَغْيَ الْقِيَادَيْ بَعْدَ الشَّاء وَالْتَّعَمَ
كَمْ شَيْدَ المَصْلِحُونَ العَامُىْنَ يِها
لِالْعَلِمَ وَالْعَدُوُّ وَالْتَمْدِينِ ما عَرَمَوا
مَنُ الأَمْوُور وَما سَدَّوا مِنَ الْحُرُمِ
لا يُهمُّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ طَمَدَّ ابْتَلِعَتْ نَفْسَهُنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّهُمُّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
وَلَا يُهْمَّ النَّازِرُنَّ النَّجُومُ قَدْ باَتِتْنَا نَزْعَتِهِنَّ في الْأَرْضِ
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بالآلهة منكَ أربَعَةٌ٣٨١
فِ وَأَنَّهادَى العَدَمِيا رَبِّ هَبَّتْ شُعُوبٌ مِن مَنِيَّتِها٦٨١

ما هالِّ من جَلَلٍ وَاشْتَدَّ مِن عَمَمِالرَّاكِب٥٨١
إِلى الأَحْصَارِ وَالْفَحْم٢٦٥
يا رَبِّ هَبِّئُ شَعْوبٌ مِن مَيْتِيَها١٦١
استَبْقِطْت أَصْمَ مِن رَفْقَةِ الْعَدَم١٧٦
سَعَدُ وَنَخَسَ وَمُلْحَ أَنتَ مَا لَكَ٧٧٩
رَأَى قَضَأَوْلاً فينَا رَأَى جَكَمْتِه١٨٨
فَالْطَّفِ لأَجَلِ رَسُولِ الْعَالَمِينَ بِنَا١٨٩
يا رَبِّ أَحْسَنتَ بَنْذَةِ المُسْلِمِينَ بِه١٩٠
قال ابنُ جَحَّةِ العَمْوَ٧٥

حَسْنٌ أَنْبَيِدَانِي بِهٰ أَرْجُو التَّحْلَلُ مِنْ نَارِ الْجَحِيمِ وَأَرْجُو حُسْنٌ مُخْتَنَمٌ
NOTES
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madā’iḥ nabawiyah are Muḥammad ibn Abī al-ʿAbbās al-Abiwardi’s (d. 507 AH) lāmiyyah, a muʿāradah of Kaʿb ibn Zuhayr’s Bānat Suʿād (al-Nabhāni, Al-Majmūʿah, 3:26–28) and ʿAṣif al-Dīn al-Tīlīmi’s bāʾiyyah composed in 696 AH. (al-Nabhāni, Al-Majmūʿah, 1:357–58). Makkī presents medieval madiḥ nabawi in both the Mashriq and Maghrib/al-Andalus in the context of mawlidiyyāt (poems of the birth of the Prophet) and the growing popularity of the celebration of the Prophet’s birth in this period (Makkī, Al-Madāʾiḥ al-Nabawiyah, 96–106,125–140). The starting point for the exploration of Andalusian devotional poetry to the Prophet is Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad al-Maqqarī, Naṣḥ al-Ṭīb min Ghusn al-Andalus al-Raʾib, 8 vols., ed. Isisān Abbās (Beirut: Dār al-Ādir, 1968); for madiḥ nabawi, see 7:432–516. I wish to thank Prof. Sulaymān al-ʿArār of Cairo University and Hassan Lachheb of Indiana University for their help and advice as concerns madiḥ nabawi in al-Andalus and the Maghrib. For further materials, see Makkī, Madāʾiḥ Nabawiyah, bibliography. In English, Meisami’s translation and analysis of Ḥāzim al-Qarājānī’s (d. 684/1284) madiḥ nabawi in the form of a tashīr of Imruʾ al-Qays’s Muʿallaqah provides an interesting basis for comparison in terms of themes and structure with al-Būṭārī’s Burdah, especially as concerns the military aspects. See Meisami, “Imruʾ al-Qays Praises the Prophet,” passim.


10. This is not to say that there are not other forms, particularly of the more purely lyrical-devotional type. Nevertheless a clear formulation of the genre characteristics of al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah and its vast poetic progeny should be a necessary first step toward sorting out the poetic forms that have been gathered under the rubric of madiḥ nabawi.


14. The poets and scholars of rhetoric of the period did not see it this way. On this subject, see S. Stetkevych, “From Jāhiliyyah to Badiʿiyyah.”


16. On Johnsonian “wit” and Metaphysical poetry, see Mustafa Badawi, “From Primary to Secondary qaṣīdahs,” Journal of Arabic Literature 11 (1980), 23–24. The concept of “mannerism” as it might be applied to Arabic poetry, introduced by J. C. Bürgel and then Wolfhart Heinrichs, has been explored further by Sperl (although the issues of “classicism” versus “mannerism” as well as various concepts of “mimesis” as they apply in various periods of Arabic poetry remain problematic, Sperl’s application, following Bürgel and Heinrichs, of H. Friedrich’s observations concerning the Italian baroque lyric is a fruitful approach). See Stefan Sperl, Manner-


27. See S. Stetkevych, *The Poetics of Islamic Legitimacy*, 152–79 passim.

28. See S. Stetkevych, *The Poetics of Islamic Legitimacy*, 180–240 passim on al-Mutanabbi and, on the imitation of High ‘Abbāsid badi‘ panegyrics as emblems of political legitimacy in the Umayyad court in Cordoba, 241–82. Also of note in this regard is the conscious employ of High ‘Abbāsid poetics and the many mu‘āradāt of the ‘Abbāsid master panegyrists in Arabic Neo-Classical poetry.


31. Modern biographies include Mubārak, *Al-Madā‘īl al-Nabawīyyah*, 140–49 (the most lively); Kīlānī’s introduction, in Kīlānī, *Dīwān al-Bū‘ārī*, 5–47 and his excerpts from al-Kutubī’s *Fawāt al-Wafayāt*: al-Qazwīnī’s *Al-Muqaffā*; and Ibn Taghri


33. See references in note 31 above.

34. A more extensive exploration of the Burdah myth, its variants, and its relation to the Burdah myth associated with Kaḥb ibn Zuhayr’s Burdah, is the subject of my unpublished paper “From Text to Talisman: Myth, Relic, and Miracle in the Two Mantle Odes.”

35. Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥammad al-Bājūrī al-Kharṣūtī, *Hādhā Kitāb Ḥāshiyat Ibrāhīm al-Bājūrī al-Kharṣūtī . . . alá matn al-Burdah . . . al-Būṣīrī* ([Istanbul], 1290 [1872]), 2–3. According to Juynboll, it is a gloss (*ḥāshiyah*) on al-Muṣannifī’s (d. 875/1470) commentary on the Burdah. See Th. W. Juynboll, “Bādjūrī, Muḥammad Ibrāhīm,” *EI2*. The wide influence and popularity of al-Bājūrī’s *ḥāshiyat al-Burdah* is attested not only by the many printings (see, for example, Dār al-Kutub al-Miṣriyyah, *Fihris*, part 3, 80–81), but also Zakī Mubārak’s remarks in his formative 1935 study of madīnabawī, that the *wa‘lumā‘* of al-Azhar used to hold classes on al-Bājūrī’s *ḥāshiyat al-Burdah* every Thursday and Friday that were very popular with the students, although they were not required. See Mubārak, *Al-Madā‘iṣ al-Nabawīyyah*, 164.


Egypt: Al-Maḥāba’āh al-‘Āmirah al-Sharīfah, 1306 [1888]), 1:39–47. See also the translation and notes, esp. on place-names, in A. J. Arberry, trans. and ann., The Mystical Poems of Ibn al-Fārid (Dublin: Emery Walker, 1956), 96–97. I have followed the al-Būrīnī/al-Nābulusī sharḥ (p. 41) in taking mu’tasifان of v. 3 to be a ḥāl describing the desert (“random” = “wayless”)—rather than describing the “rolling up” or “traveling” of the camel-driver, as Arberry (p. 95) has done—and reading the rhyme-word of v. 7 as lil-saqami (p. 43).


43. Al-Ghazzī, Al-Zubdah fi Sharḥ al-Burdah, 6 and passim. I have corrected the editor’s misnumbering of the lines.

44. On the Supplicatory Ode, i.e., the qaṣīdah as a supplicatory ritual, see chapter 1 of this book, and S. Stetkevych, The Poetics of Islamic Legitimacy, esp. “Supplication and Negotiation,” 110–43; also 32–47, 75.

45. On the supplicatory panegyric qaṣīdah as a ritual exchange along the lines formulated by Mauss, see chapter 1 of this book; S. Stetkevych, The Poetics of Islamic Legitimacy, 18, 77–78, 120, 182–83; and S. Stetkevych, “Umayyad Panegyric and the Poetics of Islamic Hegemony.” On his formulation, see Mauss, The Gift. See also S. Stetkevych, “Pre-Islamic Panegyric and the Poetics of Redemption,” passim.

46. For the text, I have followed al-Ghazzī, Al-Zubdah fi Sharḥ al-Burdah, correcting the mistakes in numbering the verses. For vocalization and translation, I have relied on al-Ghazzī's text and commentary and also on al-Bājūrī, Ḥāshiyah . . . ‘alā Matn al-Burdah, and the Egyptian grammarian Khālid [ibn ‘Abd Allāh] al-Azhari [d. 905/1499], Sharḥ Qaṣīdat al-Burdah lil-Shaykh Khālid al-Azhari ([Istanbul]: Der Saadet/Sharīkat ‘āfiyyah Uthmāniyyah, 1318 [1900]). As these exist in numerous other manuscripts and print editions, I have referred to verse numbers rather than page numbers. Of the several English translations available, the most accurate is probably that of R. A. Nicholson. I have consulted it as it appears in Arthur Jeffery, ed., A Reader on Islam: Passages from Standard Arabic Writings Illustrative of the Beliefs and Practices of Muslims (’S-Gravenhage: Mouton, 1962), 605–20. I have also referred to Stefan Sperl’s translation and notes: Sperl, “Al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah,” 2: 388–411 (trans.); 2:470–76 (intro. and notes). Please note that some differences in translation are due to the variety of interpretations offered by various commentators, and some minor differences reflect minor textual variants.

47. More precisely, according to Yāqūt, Dhū Salam is a wadi on the way from Basra to Mecca (3:240); Kāzimah is on the Bahrayni seashore on the way from Basra (4:431); and Idam is a wadi in the mountains of Tihāmah in which Medina lies (1:214–15). See Yāqūt al-Ḥamawī, Mu’jam al-Buldān, 5 vols. (Beirut: Dār Şādir, 1955–57). As others have noted, these places do not correspond to a pilgrimage route that al-Būṣīrī (or anyone) would have taken from Egypt. Hence my point that they form a poetic and spiritual genealogy rather than a geographical itinerary.

48. See al-Ghazzī, Al-Zubdah fi Sharḥ al-Burdah, v. 2. According to Maḥmūd ‘Ali Makki, it was Mihyār al-Daylamī who introduced the Ḥijāzī place-names associated with the Islamic pilgrimage routes and rites (manāzil al-hajj) into the nasīb, which otherwise had been characterized by the evocative place-names associated with the poet’s beloved or his tribal homeland, e.g., of Najd (verbal communication, Cairo, May 2001). It seems it may actually go back to Mihyār’s teacher, al-Sharīf al-Rađī (Arberry, The Mystical Poems of Ibn al-Fārid, 96, n. 1). Although she does not mention
these earlier precedents, Schimmel provides a brief overview of the theme of the poet’s longing for Medina in Arabic and other Islamic poetries (Schimmel, And Muhammad Is His Messenger, 189–94). See J. Stetkevych, Zephyrs of Najd, 80–89.


50. I have followed Sperl in translating khasm (enemy, opponent) as “litigant” to capture the play on ḥakam (arbiter, judge) and khasm. As Sperl notes, al-Būṣīrī derives this word-play from v. 12 of al-Mutanabbī’s mimiyah, although he uses it quite differently. See al-Mutanabbī, Diwān, 3:366; Sperl, “Al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah,” 2:474, n. 10.


54. Schimmel succinctly explains this concept:

In Sufism, after Ibn ‘Arabi the preexistent essence of the Prophet, called al-haqīqa al-muḥammadiyya, is considered to be the fountainhead of all prophetic activity. For this haqīqa muḥammadiyya—a term often translated as “archetypal Muhammad”—manifests itself first in Adam, then in all the other prophets until it finds its full expression once more in the historical Muhammad, who thus becomes, as it were, the Alpha and Omega of creation. Muhammad the Prophet is the all-comprehensive and perfect manifestation of the primordial light, and with him the cycle of manifestations is completed, for he is the Seal of the Prophets.

Schimmel, And Muhammad Is His Messenger, 132; and see further, ch. 7 of the same book, “The Light of Muhammad and the Mystical Tradition,” 123–43.

55. In Gerhard Böwering’s words, “The origin of the nūr Muḥammad in pre-eternity is depicted as a luminous mass of primordial adoration in the presence of God which takes the shape of a transparent column, ‘amūd, of divine light and constitutes Muḥammad as the primal creation of God.” Gerhard Böwering, “The Prophet of Islam,” 49–50, as cited in Schimmel, And Muhammad Is His Messenger, 125.

56. Kīlānī, Diwān al-Būṣīrī, 30–31; see also al-Qabbānī, Al-Būṣīrī, 121–23.


58. Ibid., 1:424–27 (part 1, ch. 3, sect. 10).

60. Connerton, *How Societies Remember*, 73–74; S. Stetkevych, *The Poetics of Islamic Legitimacy*, 247; and see above, chapter 1, Ka‘b’s vv. 39–41; and below, chapter 3, part 5, vv. 83–93.


62. I have given the line as it appears in al-Būṭurī, *Dīwān*, 2:1230; poem no. 486, v. 6.


65. As noted above, parts 4–8 of the Burdah are, in terms of the qasīdah form of the poem, structurally appended to the madīḥ section proper (part 3).


68. As mentioned above, the modern editions of al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s *Al-Shifā* provide detailed references to authoritative sources. The commentaries on al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah likewise provide references, although not very systematically, for Sīrah-related events that appear in the poem. This does not, of course, prove that these are precisely the sources that the judge or the poet relied upon, but rather that their writings are in keeping with materials recognized and accepted as authoritative. It is interesting that al-Bājūrī also wrote a commentary on *Al-Shifā*. See Juynboll, “Bādjudūrī.”


73. As far as I know, the crushing down of idols at the Ka‘bah is most commonly said to have occurred when Muhammad entered the mosque of Mecca in the year of the conquest, when he pointed a staff at the sixty idols surrounding the Ka‘bah and declared, “The truth has come and falsehood has perished” (jā‘a al-ḥaqq wa-zahāqa al-bāṭilu; QK 17:81), whereupon the idols fell over on their faces. See al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ, *Al-Shifā*, 1:592 (part 1, ch. 3, sect.18).

74. See al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ, *Al-Shifā*, 1:588 (part 1, ch. 4, sect. 16). It seems to me that there may be a conflation of the story of pebbles singing praises as in *Al-Shifā*, where no mention is made of battle (and the notes give reference to Ibn ‘Aṣākir), with the accounts of Muḥammad’s miraculously routing the enemy with a handfull
of pebbles, which, as al-Ghazzī and al-Bājūrī tell us, occurred at the battle of Badr (according to al-Būkhārī) or Ḥunayn (according to Muslim).

75. The term “mythic concordance” I adopt from Connerton, How Societies Remember, 43 and passim. I have used this concept in an extended way in S. Stetkevych, The Poetics of Islamic Legitimacy, passim and index, with regard to the cognition established between different poets and also between their patrons through the employ of the ritual structure of the panegyric ode.

76. The second hemistich is a paraphrase of a hadīth that al-Ghazzī (v. 82) cites from Al-Ṣaḥīḥān (i.e., the Ṣaḥīḥ of al-Būkhārī and Muslim), that the Prophet said, “My two eyes sleep but my heart sleeps not” (‘inna ʿaynayya tanāmāni wa-lā yanāmu qalbī).

77. In the Arabic I have followed the variant al-yamm for sea or ocean, rather than al-Ghazzī’s al-baḥr.

78. For an overview of traditional Islamic beliefs concerning the Prophet Muḥammad’s miracles, special attributes, and signs of divine favor (muʿjizāt, khaṣāʾīs, karāmāt), see al-Qādī ʿIyād, Al-Shīfā, 1:479–743 (part 1, ch. 4); Schimmel, And Muhammad Is His Messenger, 67–80 and references.


80. See al-Qādī ʿIyād, Al-Shīfā, 1:573–80 (part 1, ch. 4, sect. 16); al-Ghazzī and al-Bājūrī, vv. 72–73.

81. See Ibn Hishām, Al-Sīrah al-Nabawiyyah, 1:179–80, where the event takes place in Muḥammad’s childhood; al-Qādī ʿIyād, Al-Shīfā, 1:336–38 (part 1, ch. 3, sect. 1), where it is placed in the section on Muḥammad’s place or status (makānah), which directly precedes the section on the Night Journey and Ascension but is related by the Prophet as part of his childhood; and 1:347 (part 1, ch. 3, sect. 2) where the author states that it did not occur at the time of the Night Journey, but in Muḥammad’s childhood. See further, Schimmel, who notes that the splitting and cleansing of Muḥammad’s breast “serves clearly as an initiatory preparation for his prophetic calling and mission” (69); see Schimmel, And Muhammad Is His Messenger, 67–69 and especially her references.

82. Al-Bājūrī objects that the verse should be understood to mean “I swear by [the Lord of] the moon,” because legists forbid swearing by other than God. Obviously my reading differs from this.

83. See Ibn Hishām, Al-Sīrah al-Nabawiyyah, 2:423–24, part of al-Isrāʾ wa-al-Miʿrāj, the etiological anecdote of the Prophet giving Abū Bakr the sobriquet “al-Ṣiddiq” most explicitly because he believed in Muḥammad’s description of Jerusalem in his Night Journey when others did not. The entire anecdote is an extended word-play on ṣadaqa (to tell the truth); ṣaddaqa (to believe, give credence), and ʿiddīq, demonstrating both Abū Bakr’s loyalty and his giving credence to Muḥammad.

84. See al-Qādī ʿIyād, Al-Shīfā, 1:602 (part 1, ch. 4, sect. 19); al-Ghazzī and al-Bājūrī, vv. 76–77. The Miracle of the Cave is said to have as its Qurʾānic text-source QK 8:30: “[Remember how] the Unbelievers plotted against you, to keep you in bonds, or kill you, or expel you. They plot, but Allāh plots too, and Allāh is the best of all plotters.”
85. Ibid., 1:617–24 (part 1, ch. 4, sect. 21).
86. See al-Qâdi ‘Iyâd, Al-Shifâ’, 1:628 (part 1, ch. 4, sect. 22).
87. Ibid.
89. I follow al-Azharī and al-Bājūrī in my reading of this line—i.e., that Muḥammad passed by the other prophets, which is more in keeping with the Ascension narratives (and my discussion of the Ascension as an initiatory contest, below), rather than Nicholson’s more literal reading “With them [the other prophets] thou didst pierce through the seven heavenly spheres.”
91. See B. Schrieke—[J. Horovitz] “Mi’rāдж,” part 1, and J. E. Bencheikh “Mi’rāдж,” part 2, and references El2; Schimmel, And Muhammad Is His Messenger, 159–75. See further the discussion of the Night Journey and Ascension in Ahmad Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burda, chapter 3 of this volume, part 5.
93. See al-Qâdi ‘Iyâd, Al-Shifâ’, 1:363 (part 1, ch. 3, sect. 3).
94. I have followed al-Bâjūrī in identifying the third person masc. pl. pronoun as the enemy. There is some confusion in the commentaries (see al-Ghazzî and al-Bâjūrī, v. 122) in identifying the antecedents of the pronouns in this passage: however, with careful attention to the context, I have taken the 3m pl. of verses 118–122 to refer to the enemies/Infidel; 123–133 to refer to the Muslim warriors/Companions.
95. Unlike al-Ghazzî and al-Bâjūrī, I take minhum to be a case of min al-bayān and to refer to the warriors/Companions. This is consistent in terms of grammar and sense with the preceding and following verses (125 and 127).
96. As Nicholson points out (v. 138), “proof” (burhān) may be an allusion to QK 4:174, which also seems to refer to the Qur’ān itself. I take it to mean the Qur’ān.
97. Although he does not discuss the literary genesis of passages such as al-Būṣîrî’s, Fawzî Amin makes a similar point in his explanation of the appearance of the Prophet’s battles and raids in madiḥ nabawi of the Mamlûk period: that they present an idealized picture of warriors of the faith that is equally applicable for all


101. See Bishr ibn Abī Khāzim al-Asadi, *Dīwān*, 2nd ed., ed. ‘Izzat Ḥasan (Damascus: Manshūrāt Wizārat al-Thaqāfah, 1972), 137–41; poem no. 28, v.13. The rhetorical *sal* (or *is‘al*, ask) is commonly found in other poetic contexts (*ḥijā’, *madīḥ*, etc.) as well, but its use in *fakhr* is nevertheless pronounced. Al-Ghazzī cites a Qur‘ānic referent, *wa-is‘al al-qaryata* (QK 12:82), but the poetic *fakhr* convention seems much closer in this case.


104. Ibid., vv. 69–70.


106. On the general Muslim tenet that the Prophet was *ummī*, in the sense of unlettered, illiterate, and that this constitutes proof of his divine inspiration, plus references to scholarship that explores other interpretations, see Schimmel, *And Muhammad Is His Messenger*, 71–74 and references.

107. See chapter 1 of this volume; S. Stetkevych, “Pre-Islamic Panegyric and the Poetics of Redemption,” 33–37; and S. Stetkevych, *The Poetics of Islamic Legitimacy*, 65–70.


3. Åhmad Shawqi and theReweaving of the Mantle

1. Under the title “Imperialisms and Identities: Åhmad Shawqi’s Nahj al-Bur-dah,” parts of this study, in earlier versions, were presented at the annual meetings of the American Comparative Literature Association, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 17 April, 2004, and the Middle East Studies Association, Montreal, Canada, 17 November 2007.


masterpiece in terms of Interarts Theory as an imitation of al-Buḥṭūrī’s Siniyyah, on the one hand, and an ekphrastic portrayal of the Islamic monuments of al-Andalus, on the other.

10. Al-Bārūdī, Kashf al-Ghummah, 43.
11. See Sa’d al-Zalām, introduction to al-Bārūdī, Kashf al-Ghummah, 32. An extended discussion of al-Bārūdī’s Kashf al-Ghummah and its relationship to Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah is beyond the purview of the present study. Zaki Mubārak has undertaken the comparison and evaluation of the three madā‘iḥ nabawiyyah, al-Būṭūrī’s Burdah, al-Bārūdī’s Kashf al-Ghummah, and Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdah; see Zaki Mubārak, Al-Muwāzanah bayn al-Shu’arā’ (Cairo: Dār al-Andalūs, n.d.), ch. 19 (al-Būṣīrī wa-Shawqī), 171–80; and esp. ch. 20 (Bayn al-Būṣīrī wa-Shawqī wa-al-Bārūdī), 181–95. His discussion does not go much beyond comparing individual lines or passages of the nasīb, especially for the first two; but he does have some interesting comparative examples from other poets.
12. Al-Shawqīyyāt, ed. Haykal, 1:34–41; and see chapter 2.
15. Shawqī, Nahj al-Burdah, frontispiece. In later editions, such as the Cairo 1994, the frontispiece with the Khedival seal is gone, and the dedication is relegated to a footnote to al-Muwaylihi’s introduction. Shawqī, Nahj al-Burdah (Cairo: Maktabat al-Ādāb, 1994), 9.
16. For a brief biography of Salīm al-Bishrī and references, see Goldschmidt, Biographical Dictionary of Modern Egypt, 37–38. It is of note that as a student at al-Azhar al-Bishrī studied with al-Bājrūrī, whose commentary on al-Būṣīrī’s Burdah I discussed in chapter 2. What is most curious is that Mubārak notes a literary controversy over whether it was actually Salīm al-Bishrī who composed the Waḍāḥ al-Najh commentary or his son, Shaykh ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz al-Bishrī. The latter vehemently denies this (this is from after Salīm al-Bishrī’s death). See Mubārak, Al-Muwāzanah bayn al-Shu’arā’, 180. My point here is that Salim al-Bishri undoubtedly put his name to the Waḍāḥ al-Najh and it is therefore his authority that the Waḍāḥ al-Najh invokes.
18. See notes 15 above and 31 below.
19. This is a major theme of S. Stetkevych, *The Poetics of Islamic Legitimacy*.


23. Hussein N. Kadhim, in his discussion and analysis of Shawqī’s poetic responses to these two incidents, offers an eloquent and compelling formulation of the colonial experience in Egypt and the Arab world in light of post-colonial theory and provides an excellent backdrop and references. See Kadhim, *The Poetics of Anti-Colonialism*, preface and ch. 1.


26. Ibid., 65–75.

27. Ibid., 88–98. Oddly, Khouri, in tracing the political poetry of precisely this period—indeed, the very year 1910—makes no mention of Shawqī’s Nahj al-Burdaḥ. He writes, summarizing Shawqī’s poetic production of the period from 1907–14:

> The reversal of Cromer’s policies after his retirement and the conciliatory attitude adopted by Gorst toward the Khedive, who was able to reassert his authority, left their mark on Shawqī’s poetry. From the violent attacks on Cromer in the early poems, the poet shifted gradually to the less bitter criticism reflected in the Dinshawāy poems. *These were followed by a long overwhelming silence interrupted* shortly after the dethronement of ‘Abbās by an ambiguous poem, which, as will be shown later, actually precipitated Shawqī’s exile to Spain in December, 1914. [p. 88; emphasis mine]


32. Al-Bishrī takes this verse to mean that the girls have red cheeks that inflame men’s hearts. I take it to mean rather that the sight of the beautiful maiden’s make the men’s cheeks blush, revealing the passion in their hearts. Al-Bishrī, *Wadāḥ al-Nahj*, v. 15.


34. See above, note 13.

35. Although he does not explicitly divide Nahj al-Burādh into sections, al-Bishrī notes in his commentary to verse 25 that there is a transition (takhallus) here as the poet makes an abrupt cut-off from ghazal and tashbīb (lyrical and amatory verse) to censuring worldly pleasures and warning against their temptations (dhamm al-dunyā wa-al-tahdhir min kaydihā . . .). Al-Bishrī, *Wadāh al-Nahj*, v. 25.


38. Gerhard Böwering’s phrase, see chapter 2, part 3, vv. 52–53, and n. 55.


41. For a summary of the literature/lore concerning Muḥammad’s ancestors, see al-Bishrī, *Wadāh al-Nahj*, v. 51.


44. V. 81 is in the dual, referring, apparently, to both the Romans and the Persians of v. 80.

45. This correlates quite precisely to Hourani’s formulation of the Sunni conception of Islamic history. See Hourani, *Arabic Thought in the Liberal Age*, 7.

46. See the discussion of and references for the Night Journey and Ascension, chapter 2, part 7, and notes.

47. See R. Paret, “al-Burāk,” *El2*.


50. As it occurs in the Qur’ān, *lawḥ mahfūẓ* (preserved tablet) appears to refer to the original heavenly Qur’ān (QK 85:22), but further, it was believed to be the tablet upon which were written all the decisions of the divine will, past, present, and future. Likewise *al-qalam* (the pen; QK 68:1; 96:4) is said in *ḥadīths* cited by al-Ṭabarī to be the first thing that God created, so He could write down events to come. See A. J. Wensinck and C. E. Bosworth, “Lawḥ” and Cl. Huart and A. Grohmann, “Kalām,” *El2*.


53. It is also quite distinct from the sort of Andalusian *madīḥ nabawī* that pleads for military intercession, whether divine or Mashriqi, against the military advances of the Reconquista.

54. In his commentary al-Bishrī takes *jahl* to be *shirk*, as though the verse is referring to Muhammad’s era; I take the verse to be referring primarily to the ignorance and backwardness of the Islamic East in Shawqi’s own time. See al-Bishrī, *Waḍāḥ al-Nahj*, v. 117.

55. This is not to say that I have interpreted Shawqi’s Nahj al-Burdah in light of Hourani’s formulation, but rather that, after reading Shawqi’s poem, it seemed to me to embody—perhaps more precisely to shape and/or enact—the Sunnī conception of Islamic history that Hourani has so concisely expressed. I by no means intend to suggest that Nahj al-Burdah is merely a poetic rendition of these ideas.
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Three masterpieces of Islamic devotional poetry in their cultural contexts

"A work of scholarship at the highest level, critically groundbreaking, textually grounded, elegantly argued, and of a depth and breadth that are rare in any field."

Michael A. Sells, author of Desert Tracings: Six Classic Arabian Odes

"A great achievement in literary theory and Islamic thought and a significant contribution to Arabic literature."

Muhsin al-Musawi, author of Reading Iraq: Culture and Power in Conflict

Three of the most renowned praise poems to the Prophet, the Mantle Odes span the arc of Islamic history from Muhammad's lifetime to the medieval Mamluk period to the modern colonial era. Over the centuries, they have informed the poetic and religious life of the Arab and Islamic worlds. Suzanne Pinckney Stetkevych places her original translations of the poems within the odes' broader cultural context. By highlighting their transformative power as speech acts and their ritual function as gift exchanges, this book not only demonstrates the relevance of these poems to contemporary scholarship but also reveals their power and beauty to the modern reader.
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